

# Topics In Algebra, Homework 4

Nick Pratarelli, Charlie Scherer

March 18, 2010

**Problem (3).** Suppose  $a, b, m$  are positive integers with  $a, b \mid m$  so that  $\mathbb{Z}_a$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -modules.

1.  $\text{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b) \cong \mathbb{Z}_c$  with  $c = \gcd(a, b)$ .
2. For  $n > 0$ ,  $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b) \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$  with  $d = \frac{\gcd(a, b)m}{\text{lcm}(ab, m)}$ .

*Proof.* Note that the following is a free (hence projective) resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}_a$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{d_3} \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathbb{Z}_a \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(1 + m\mathbb{Z}) &= 1 + a\mathbb{Z} \\ d_{2k+1}(1 + m\mathbb{Z}) &= a + m\mathbb{Z} \\ d_{2k+2}(1 + m\mathbb{Z}) &= m/a + m\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

for all non-negative integers  $k$ . Recall that since  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -module,  $\mathbb{Z}_b \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \mathbb{Z}_b$  via the map  $\iota : n + b\mathbb{Z} \mapsto (1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (n + b\mathbb{Z})$ . So we may transfer the structure of the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_3 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b}} \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_2 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b}} \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b}} \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \mathbb{Z}_b \rightarrow 0$$

to the less typographically exacerbatng complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_3} \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Z}_b \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{Z}_b \rightarrow 0$$

where  $d_n = \iota^{-1}(d_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b})\iota$ .

Let's determine the boundary operators  $d_n : \mathbb{Z}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_b$ . Suppose  $n = 2k$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Then

$$d_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b}((1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + b\mathbb{Z})) = (m/a + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + b\mathbb{Z}) = (1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (m/a + b\mathbb{Z})$$

Thus,  $d_n(1 + b\mathbb{Z}) = a + b\mathbb{Z}$ . So we get  $\text{im}(d_n) = \langle m/a + b\mathbb{Z} \rangle$  which has order  $\frac{b}{\gcd(m/a, b)}$  so that  $|\ker(d_n)| = \gcd(m/a, b)$ .

Now suppose that  $n = 2k + 1$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . Then

$$d_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_b}((1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + b\mathbb{Z})) = (a + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + b\mathbb{Z}) = (1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (a + b\mathbb{Z})$$

So  $d_n(1 + b\mathbb{Z}) = a + b\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, as above,  $\text{im}(d_n) = \langle a + b\mathbb{Z} \rangle$  which has order  $\frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}$  so  $|\ker(d_n)| = \gcd(a, b)$ .

Therefore, when  $n$  is positive and even

$$|\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)| = \frac{|\ker(d_n)|}{|\text{im}(d_{n+1})|} = \frac{\gcd(m/a, b)}{b/\gcd(a, b)}$$

and when  $n$  is positive and odd

$$|\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)| = \frac{\gcd(a, b)}{b/\gcd(m/a, b)}$$

So whenever  $n > 0$  we have  $d := |\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)| = \frac{\gcd(a, b) \gcd(m/a, b)}{b}$ . But as a group  $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)$  is cyclic because it is a quotient of subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_b$ . There is only one cyclic group of order  $d$ , namely  $\mathbb{Z}_d$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b) \cong \mathbb{Z}_d$  as groups for all  $n > 0$ .

An elementary calculation involving the prime factorizations of  $a, b$  and  $m$  shows that  $\frac{\gcd(a, b)m}{\text{lcm}(ab, m)} = \frac{\gcd(a, b) \gcd(m/a, b)}{b}$ , the former expression being more interesting because it is symmetric in  $a$  and  $b$ . Indeed, if  $\prod p_i^{e_i}, \prod p_j^{f_j}$  and  $\prod p_i^{g_i}$  are the prime factorizations of  $a, b$  and  $m$  respectively then

$$\frac{m}{\text{lcm}(ab, m)} = \prod p_i^{g_i - \max(e_i + f_i, g_i)} = \prod p_i^{\min(f_i, g_i - e_i) - f_i} = \frac{\gcd(m/a, b)}{b}$$

The identity  $g_i - \max(e_i + f_i, g_i) = \min(f_i, g_i - e_i) - f_i$  is easily verified in cases.

Lastly, consider  $n = 0$ . We know

$$|\text{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)| = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_b|}{\text{im}(d_1)} = \frac{b}{b/\gcd(a, b)}$$

As before,  $\text{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)$  must be cyclic as a group and have order  $c := \gcd(a, b)$ . So  $\text{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b) \cong \mathbb{Z}_c$ .

One might observe that since  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is commutative the tensor product of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -modules has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -module structure. Therefore, one might demand also to know the  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -multiplication on  $\text{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b)$ . But since  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is unital and is generated as an additive group by its identity element there is one and only one  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -multiplication on any  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -module,  $M$ . To wit, if  $x \in M$  then  $(n + m\mathbb{Z}) \cdot x$  is the  $n$ -fold sum of  $x$  with itself.  $\square$