

**Problem 1** (Selker, Wakefield). *(a)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a cogroup in the category of abelian groups*  
*(b)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not a cogroup in the category of all groups.*  
*(c)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not a cogroup in the category of groups of exponent 4.*

*Proof.* **(a)** Because coproducts exist in the category of abelian groups we have that the functor  $\text{Hom}(G, \_)$  out of abelian groups lifts to a functor to groups iff  $G$  is a cogroup-object in the category of abelian groups. It is a well known fact (and routine to check) that if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $R$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  is an abelian group under pointwise addition of maps. Thus, as abelian groups are the same as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, we have that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, A)$  is an abelian group whenever  $A$  is an abelian group. Moreover, if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an abelian group homomorphism we have  $f_* : \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, B) : \alpha \mapsto f \circ \alpha$ . Then  $f_*(\alpha + \beta)(x) = f \circ (\alpha + \beta)(x) = f(\alpha(x) + \beta(x)) = f(\alpha(x)) + f(\beta(x))$ , so  $f_*$  is an abelian group homomorphism because  $f$  is. Thus  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \_)$  is a functor from abelian groups to groups, so  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  must be a cogroup in the category of abelian groups.

**(b)** Suppose for a contradiction that  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  were a cogroup in the category of all groups. We will observe how the possible comultiplication maps  $\mu : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$  define the multiplication on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$  to get a contradiction. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$  is the free product on two (noncommuting) involutions, thus has a presentation  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle a, b : a^2 = b^2 = 1 \rangle$ . We will denote the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  by  $x$ . Note that the only nonidentity elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$  are words consisting of all “ $a$ ”s and “ $b$ ”s such that  $aa$  and  $bb$  do not occur (we assume now and henceforth that all words are reduced if possible). Note that if  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  where  $w_i = a$  or  $b$ , then  $w^{-1} = w_n \dots w_1$ . If a word  $w$  has even length then its first and last letter are different so that  $w^{-1} \neq w$ , and in particular  $w^2 \neq 1$ . Thus, as order two elements must map to something of order 1 or 2, we cannot have  $\mu(x) = w$  for any even-length word  $w$  other than the identity. On the other hand if  $n$  is odd and  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  then  $w_1 = w_n$ ,  $w_2 = w_{n-1}$ , and so on, so that  $w^2 = 1$ . Thus we may have  $\mu(x) = w$  for any odd-length word  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mu(x) = 1$ . We consider first the case  $\mu(x) \neq 1$ , and fix  $w = \mu(x) = w_1 \dots w_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_1 \dots w_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} w_{\frac{n-1}{2}} w_{\frac{n-1}{2}+1} \dots w_n \\ &= w_1 \dots w_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} w_{\frac{n-1}{2}} w_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \dots w_1 \\ &= w_1 \dots w_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} w_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( w_1 \dots w_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for some  $u$ , we have either  $w = uau^{-1}$  or  $w = ubu^{-1}$ . By symmetry of the argument, we may assume that  $w = uau^{-1}$ . We have inclusions,  $i_1 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2 : x \mapsto a$ , and  $i_2 : x \mapsto b$ . Then for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$ , we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xleftarrow{i_1} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\
& & \uparrow i_2 & \searrow \alpha \amalg \beta & \downarrow \alpha \\
& & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & S_3 \\
& & \xrightarrow{\beta} & & 
\end{array}$$

Multiplication on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$  is thus given by  $\alpha \star \beta = (\alpha \amalg \beta) \circ \mu$ . Note that because  $(\alpha \amalg \beta) \circ i_1 = \alpha$  we have  $\alpha \amalg \beta(a) = \alpha \amalg \beta(i_1(x)) = \alpha(x)$ . Letting  $\alpha : x \mapsto e$  where  $e$  is the identity of  $S_3$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \star \beta(x) &= (\alpha \amalg \beta) \circ \mu(x) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(uau^{-1}) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(u) \cdot \alpha \amalg \beta(a) \cdot \alpha \amalg \beta(u^{-1}) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(u) \cdot \alpha(x) \cdot (\alpha \amalg \beta(u))^{-1} \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(u) \cdot e \cdot (\alpha \amalg \beta(u))^{-1} \\
&= e = \alpha(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\beta$  must be the identity of  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$ . However, as  $\beta$  was arbitrary, this implies that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$  is the one element group, a contradiction. On the other hand if  $\mu(x) = 1$  were the trivial homomorphism then also any composition  $f \circ \mu$  is trivial, so again  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, S_3)$  would have one element, which it does not. So  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not a cogroup in the category of all groups.

(c) Suppose again for a contradiction that  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  were a cogroup in the category of groups of exponent four. We will again consider the possible comultiplication maps  $\mu : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$ , but the coproduct here is different, namely we have that  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2$  is presented by  $\langle a, b : a^2 = b^2 = (ab)^4 = (ba)^4 = 1 \rangle$ . We will prove that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is not a group. Again we may have  $\mu(x) = 1$ ,  $\mu(x) = uau^{-1}$  or  $\mu(x) = ubu^{-1}$  for some word  $u$ . The only additional possibility is  $\mu(x) = (ab)^2 = (ba)^2$ . Multiplication  $\star$  on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is determined by  $\mu$  as above. First note that if  $\mu(x) = 1$  then for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2)$  we would have  $\alpha \star \beta = \alpha \amalg \beta \circ \mu = \mu$ , so the group is trivial, a contradiction. Let us now consider the possibility that  $\mu(x) = uau^{-1}$  for some  $u$ . Then if  $\alpha : x \mapsto 1$  we will have for any  $\beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \star \beta(x) &= \alpha \amalg \beta \circ \mu(x) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(uau^{-1}) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(u) \alpha(x) (\alpha \amalg \beta(u))^{-1} \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(u) (\alpha \amalg \beta(u))^{-1} \\
&= 1 = \alpha(x),
\end{aligned}$$

Which again would imply that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \amalg \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the trivial group, a contradiction. By symmetry,  $\mu(x) = ubu^{-1}$  is also impossible. Now consider the case  $\mu(x) = (ab)^2$ . Again take  $\alpha : x \mapsto 1$  and let  $\beta$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \star \beta(x) &= \alpha \amalg \beta \circ \mu(x) \\
&= \alpha \amalg \beta(abab) \\
&= \alpha(x)\beta(x)\alpha(x)\beta(x) \\
&= (\beta(x))^2 \\
&= 1 = \alpha(x),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction as above. Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  cannot be a cogroup-object in the category of all groups of exponent four.  $\square$