

(5.1.9) Show that the class of a nilpotent group cannot be bounded by a function of the derived length.

*Proof.* In order to show that the class of a nilpotent group cannot be bounded by a function of derived length, we will show that there exist groups of a fixed derived length with nilpotency classes that can be as large as we want. More precisely, we will show that the collection of Dihedral groups with order a power of 2 are a collection of groups all with derived length 2. But, they have ever increasing nilpotency class as we increase the size of the specific group under consideration.

Consider the Dihedral groups  $D_{2n}$  where  $n$  is a power of 2 say  $n = 2^t$ . These are all nilpotent since they are finite 2-groups (by Proposition 5.1.3, pg. 122 Robinson.) We know that  $D_{2n}$  has presentation

$$D_{2n} = \langle f, r \mid f^2 = 1 = r^n, f^{-1}rf = r^{-1} \rangle.$$

So,  $D_{2n}$  has a subgroup  $\langle r \rangle$  of order  $n$  and this subgroup must be of index 2 which implies that it is normal in  $D_{2n}$ . Now, the quotient  $D_{2n}/\langle r \rangle$  must be of order 2 and therefore is abelian. So, we have the abelian series

$$1 \triangleleft \langle r \rangle \triangleleft D_{2n}.$$

Since  $D_{2n}$  is not itself abelian, we have that the derived length is exactly 2.

Now, we show that the nilpotency class of  $D_{2n}$ , where  $n$  is a power of 2, is exactly  $n$ . We do this by showing that its lower central series has length  $n$ , since by Proposition 5.1.9 in Robinson this shows that the nilpotency class of  $D_{2n}$  is  $n$ . We know that with

$$\gamma_1 D_{2n} = D_{2n}$$

$$\gamma_2 D_{2n} = [D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$$

$$\gamma_{i+1} D_{2n} = [\gamma_i D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$$

then the lower central series is

$$\gamma_1 D_{2n} \geq \gamma_2 D_{2n} \geq \cdots$$

We want to show that this series has length exactly  $n$ , and so we need to show that  $\gamma_n D_{2n} = 1$  and  $\gamma_i D_{2n} \neq 1$  for  $i < n$ . We do this by computing these groups explicitly.

We start with  $[D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$ . It is clear that

$$r^2 = f^{-1}r^{-1}fr$$

so  $r^2 \in [D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$  and so  $\langle r^2 \rangle \leq [D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$ . Since,  $D_{2n}/\langle r^2 \rangle$  has order 4, it must be abelian and so  $\langle r^2 \rangle \geq [D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$ . Therefore  $\langle r^2 \rangle = [D_{2n}, D_{2n}] = \gamma_2 D_{2n}$ .

Now, we consider  $\gamma_i D_{2n} = [\gamma_{i-1} D_{2n}, D_{2n}]$  where  $i > 2$ . We use the induction hypothesis that  $\gamma_{i-1} D_{2n} = \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$ . First we can see that

$$[r^{2^{(i-1)}}, f] = r^{2^{(i-1)}} f r^{-2^{(i-1)}} f^{-1} = r^{2^i}$$

so that  $r^{2^i} \in \gamma_i D_{2n}$  and so  $\langle r^{2^i} \rangle \leq \gamma_i D_{2n}$ . We additionally know that

$$\gamma_i D_{2n} \leq \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle = \gamma_{i-1} D_{2n}.$$

Now since  $\langle r^{2^i} \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$ , we must have either  $\gamma_i D_{2n} = \langle r^{2^i} \rangle$  or  $\gamma_i D_{2n} = \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$ . Therefore, as long as we can show that  $\gamma_i D_{2n} \neq \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$  then we have  $\langle r^{2^i} \rangle = \gamma_i D_{2n}$ .

Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\gamma_i D_{2n} = \langle r^{2^{(i-1)}} \rangle$ . Then we would have

$$\gamma_i D_{2n} = \gamma_{i-1} D_{2n},$$

and so for any  $j > i$  we would have

$$\gamma_j D_{2n} = [\gamma_{j-1} D_{2n}, D_{2n}] = \cdots = [\gamma_{i-1} D_{2n}, D_{2n}] = \gamma_i D_{2n}.$$

But this would show that the lower central series does not terminate. This would contradict the fact that  $D_{2n}$  is nilpotent, which we know as a consequence of it being a 2-group (since  $n$  is a power of 2.) Therefore,  $\langle r^{2^i} \rangle = \gamma_i D_{2n}$ .

Then this shows that the lower central series of  $D_{2n}$  is

$$D_{2n} \geq \langle r^2 \rangle \geq \langle r^4 \rangle \geq \cdots \geq \langle r^{2^t} \rangle = \langle r^n \rangle = 1$$

and therefore the nilpotency class of  $D_{2n}$  is  $n$  as desired. This further shows that the class of a nilpotent group cannot be bounded by a function of the derived length.  $\square$