

Torsion abelian groups

The Ulm subgroup

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A .

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal.

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since

(i) A is a set,

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since (i) A is a set, (ii) there are ordinal-many superscripts,

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since (i) A is a set, (ii) there are ordinal-many superscripts, and (iii) once we have $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ the sequence can no longer change.

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since (i) A is a set, (ii) there are ordinal-many superscripts, and (iii) once we have $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ the sequence can no longer change. The least ordinal σ such that $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ is called the Ulm length of A .

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since (i) A is a set, (ii) there are ordinal-many superscripts, and (iii) once we have $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ the sequence can no longer change. The least ordinal σ such that $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ is called the Ulm length of A .

If σ is the Ulm length of A , the elements of $A^{\sigma+1}$ are the elements infinitely divisible in $A^\sigma (= A^{\sigma+1})$, so A^σ is divisible.

The Ulm subgroup

Let A be an abelian group and let $\lambda_n: A \rightarrow A: a \mapsto na$ be multiplication by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $A[n]$ for $\ker(\lambda_n)$ and nA for $\text{im}(\lambda_n)$.

The set $A' = \bigcap nA$ of elements divisible by every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in A is the first Ulm subgroup of A . (It is a subgroup of A .)

Recursively define $A^0 = A$, $A^{\sigma+1} = (A^\sigma)'$, and $A^\lambda = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} A^\sigma$ when λ is a limit ordinal. The sequence $A = A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq \dots$ must terminate, since (i) A is a set, (ii) there are ordinal-many superscripts, and (iii) once we have $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ the sequence can no longer change. The least ordinal σ such that $A^\sigma = A^{\sigma+1}$ is called the Ulm length of A .

If σ is the Ulm length of A , the elements of $A^{\sigma+1}$ are the elements infinitely divisible in $A^\sigma (= A^{\sigma+1})$, so A^σ is divisible. This forces $A \cong A^\sigma \oplus (A/A^\sigma)$. Call $A_{\text{div}} := A^\sigma$ the divisible part of A and $A_{\text{red}} := A/A^\sigma$ the reduced part of A .

The Ulm invariants

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p]$

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}) [p]$

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$,

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

$p^\omega A$ is the first Ulm subgroup of A .

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

$p^\omega A$ is the first Ulm subgroup of A . $p^\omega(p^\omega A) = p^{\omega \cdot 2} A$ is the second.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

$p^\omega A$ is the first Ulm subgroup of A . $p^\omega(p^\omega A) = p^{\omega \cdot 2} A$ is the second.

Df.

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

$p^\omega A$ is the first Ulm subgroup of A . $p^\omega(p^\omega A) = p^{\omega \cdot 2} A$ is the second.

Df. The σ -th Ulm invariant of A is

$$f_A(\sigma) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left((p^\sigma A)[p] / (p^{\sigma+1} A)[p] \right).$$

The Ulm invariants

Every torsion abelian group A is the direct sum $A_{\text{div}} \oplus A_{\text{red}}$ of a divisible torsion group and a reduced torsion group. Both components further decompose into p -primary components. If $D = (A_{\text{div}})_p$, then $D \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, so $D[p] \cong (\bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})[p] \cong \bigoplus^{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\kappa = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(D[p])$. Nothing more to say.

Henceforth, let $A = A_{\text{red}}$ be a reduced abelian p -group. A is m -divisible by every m coprime to p , so we focus on p -divisibility for such groups:

- $p^0 A = A$,
- $p^{\sigma+1} A = p(p^\sigma A)$,
- $p^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\sigma < \lambda} (p^\sigma A)$ if λ is limit.

$p^\omega A$ is the first Ulm subgroup of A . $p^\omega(p^\omega A) = p^{\omega \cdot 2} A$ is the second.

Df. The σ -th Ulm invariant of A is

$$f_A(\sigma) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left((p^\sigma A)[p] / (p^{\sigma+1} A)[p] \right).$$

This is a function from ordinals to cardinals.

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s .

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Ex. 2 (direct sum of cyclic case)

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Ex. 2 (direct sum of cyclic case)

$$A = \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p)}_{\kappa_1} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})}_{\kappa_2} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^3})}_{\kappa_3} \oplus \cdots$$

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Ex. 2 (direct sum of cyclic case)

$$A = \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p)}_{\kappa_1} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})}_{\kappa_2} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^3})}_{\kappa_3} \oplus \cdots$$

A is reduced.

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Ex. 2 (direct sum of cyclic case)

$$A = \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p)}_{\kappa_1} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})}_{\kappa_2} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^3})}_{\kappa_3} \oplus \cdots$$

A is reduced. Its Ulm invariants are

$$(f_A(0), f_A(1), f_A(2), \dots) = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots).$$

Examples

Ex. 1. (divisible case)

If D is a divisible abelian p -group, then $f_D(s) = 0$ for all s . In particular, \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ have the same Ulm invariants.

Ex. 2 (direct sum of cyclic case)

$$A = \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p)}_{\kappa_1} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})}_{\kappa_2} \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^3})}_{\kappa_3} \oplus \cdots$$

A is reduced. Its Ulm invariants are

$$(f_A(0), f_A(1), f_A(2), \dots) = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots).$$

$f_A(\sigma) = 0$ if σ is an infinite ordinal, since no nonzero element of A is infinitely p -divisible.

Ulm's Theorem

Ulm's Thm.

Ulm's Theorem

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Ulm's Theorem

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary.

Ulm's Theorem

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary. A countable abelian p -group is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if it has no elements of infinite p -height. (I.e., its first Ulm subgroup is trivial.)

Ulm's Theorem

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary. A countable abelian p -group is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if it has no elements of infinite p -height. (I.e., its first Ulm subgroup is trivial.)

Bad Example.

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary. A countable abelian p -group is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if it has no elements of infinite p -height. (I.e., its first Ulm subgroup is trivial.)

Bad Example. The group $A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ is a reduced p -group whose Ulm invariants are $(f_A(0), f_A(1), f_A(2), \dots) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, and $f_A(\sigma) = 0$ if σ is an infinite ordinal.

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary. A countable abelian p -group is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if it has no elements of infinite p -height. (I.e., its first Ulm subgroup is trivial.)

Bad Example. The group $A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ is a reduced p -group whose Ulm invariants are $(f_A(0), f_A(1), f_A(2), \dots) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, and $f_A(\sigma) = 0$ if σ is an infinite ordinal.

The torsion subgroup B of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ has all of these properties, but $B \not\cong A$ since B is uncountable while A is countable.

Ulm's Thm. Two countable, reduced, abelian p -groups are isomorphic iff they have the same Ulm invariants.

Corollary. A countable abelian p -group is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if it has no elements of infinite p -height. (I.e., its first Ulm subgroup is trivial.)

Bad Example. The group $A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ is a reduced p -group whose Ulm invariants are $(f_A(0), f_A(1), f_A(2), \dots) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, and $f_A(\sigma) = 0$ if σ is an infinite ordinal.

The torsion subgroup B of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ has all of these properties, but $B \not\cong A$ since B is uncountable while A is countable. If B were a direct sum of cyclic p -groups, then we would have to have $B \cong A$, so this B is an example of a reduced abelian p -group that is not a direct sum of cyclic groups.

The bounded case

Prüfer's First Theorem. (Theorem 4.3.5) An abelian group satisfying the identity $nx = 0$, $n \neq 0$, is a direct sum of cyclic groups satisfying $nx = 0$.

Prüfer's First Theorem. (Theorem 4.3.5) An abelian group satisfying the identity $nx = 0$, $n \neq 0$, is a direct sum of cyclic groups satisfying $nx = 0$.

Proof goes here.

Kulikov's Theorem

Kulikov's Theorem

Theorem. If A is a torsion abelian group, then there is a universally exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

where C is a direct sum of cyclic groups and D is a torsion divisible group. (If C is bounded, then this sequence splits – Theorem 4.3.8.)

Kulikov's Theorem

Theorem. If A is a torsion abelian group, then there is a universally exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

where C is a direct sum of cyclic groups and D is a torsion divisible group. (If C is bounded, then this sequence splits – Theorem 4.3.8.)

Example. If A be the torsion subgroup of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, then $C = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ and $D = A/C$ work. The sequence does not split.