

Semisimple k -algebras

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring)

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \leq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \leq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular,

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \leq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \prec N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular,

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' .

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subset N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subset N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules,

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules,

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subset N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct:

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct:

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X$,

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X, Y \neq Z$.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, $Y \neq Z$. Any sum of simple submodules equals an independent sum

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subset N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, $Y \neq Z$. Any sum of simple submodules equals an independent sum (ZL),

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subsetneq N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, $Y \neq Z$. Any sum of simple submodules equals an independent sum (ZL), which is a direct sum.

All \mathbb{A} -modules are sums of simples

Thm. \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring) iff every \mathbb{A} -module is a direct sum of simple modules.

Proof of “only if”:

- Let M be an \mathbb{A} -module, and $0 \neq N \leq M$ be a f.g.-submodule.
- Any proper $P \subsetneq N$ may be extended to a maximal proper $P' \subset N$.
- $\text{Sub}(N)$ is complemented and modular, so \exists minimal $Q \leq N$ complementing P' . Q is simple and disjoint from P' .
- Summary: If $0 \neq N^{\text{f.g.}} \leq M$, then $N = \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- $M = \sum N^{\text{f.g.}}$ is the sum of its f.g.-submodules, so $M = \sum_N \sum Q_i^N$ is a sum of simple submodules.
- The sum can be made direct: define a set X of simple submodules to be independent if $\sum Y \neq \sum Z$ for any $Y, Z \subseteq X, Y \neq Z$. Any sum of simple submodules equals an independent sum (ZL), which is a direct sum.
- \square

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring),

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module,

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module,

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$,

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$,

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$,

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.
- Any simple \mathbb{A} -module S is isomorphic to one of the Q_i 's.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.
- Any simple \mathbb{A} -module S is isomorphic to one of the Q_i 's.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.
- Any simple \mathbb{A} -module S is isomorphic to one of the Q_i 's.

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.
- Any simple \mathbb{A} -module S is isomorphic to one of the Q_i 's. (Otherwise $|S| = |\mathrm{Hom}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}, S)| = |\mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus Q_i, S)| = |\prod \mathrm{Hom}(Q_i, S)| = 1$.)

There are only finitely many isotypes of simples

Thm. If \mathbb{A} is a semisimple k -algebra (or ring), then \mathbb{A} has finitely many isotypes of simple modules.

(This affords a quick proof that \mathbb{Z} is not semisimple.)

Proof:

- The 1-generated free \mathbb{A} -module, ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, is a sum of simples.
- Only finitely many summands are needed to generate the generator of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}$, so ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i$.
- Any simple \mathbb{A} -module S is isomorphic to one of the Q_i 's. (Otherwise $|S| = |\mathrm{Hom}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}, S)| = |\mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus Q_i, S)| = |\prod \mathrm{Hom}(Q_i, S)| = 1$.)
- \square

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$,

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}}$

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}}$

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}}$

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra. Assume $k = k \cdot 1_D$ is a subfield of $Z(D)$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra. Assume $k = k \cdot 1_D$ is a subfield of $Z(D)$. If $d \in D$, then $k[d]$ is an algebraic field extension of k .

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. (**$\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.**)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra. Assume $k = k \cdot 1_D$ is a subfield of $Z(D)$. If $d \in D$, then $k[d]$ is an algebraic field extension of k . Hence $k \subseteq Z(D) \subseteq D \subseteq k$.

Schur's Lemma

Schur's Lm. If S is a simple \mathbb{A} -module, then $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}}(S, S) = D$ is a division ring.

Refinements.

- 1 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, then D has a canonical k -algebra structure.
- 2 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra and S is a f.d. over k , then D is f.d. over k .
- 3 if \mathbb{A} is a k -algebra, S is a f.d. over k , and k is alg. closed, then $D = k$.

Proof:

- If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$ is nonzero, then $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ and $\text{im}(\varphi) = S$, so φ is an isomorphism, so $\exists \varphi^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(S, S)$. ($\text{End}(S) \subseteq \{0\} \cup \{\text{units}\}$.)
- If $\alpha \in k$, and $\ell_{\alpha}(v) := \alpha \cdot v$, then $\ell_{\alpha} \in Z(D)$. The map $\Lambda: k \rightarrow Z(D): \alpha \mapsto \ell_{\alpha}$ makes D a k -algebra.
- If $\dim_k(S) = n$, then $\text{End}_k(S) = \underline{\underline{M_n(k)}} \supseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}(S) = \underline{\underline{D}} \supseteq \Lambda(k) = \underline{\underline{k \cdot I}}$. Hence $\dim_k(D) \leq \dim_k(S)^2$.
- Assume $k = \bar{k}$ and D is a f.d. k -division algebra. Assume $k = k \cdot 1_D$ is a subfield of $Z(D)$. If $d \in D$, then $k[d]$ is an algebraic field extension of k . Hence $k \subseteq Z(D) \subseteq D \subseteq k$.
- \square

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_A A \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_A A \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand,

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a : x \mapsto x \cdot a$.

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$.
Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand,

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\begin{matrix} n_1 + \cdots + n_k \\ \oplus_{i=1} S_i \end{matrix} \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$.

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\begin{matrix} n_1 + \cdots + n_k \\ \oplus_{i=1} S_i \end{matrix} \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\begin{matrix} n_1 + \cdots + n_k \\ \oplus_{i=1} S_i \end{matrix} \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \cong$$

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} S_i \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \cong \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} M_{n_1}(D_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & M_{n_k}(D_k) \end{array} \right] \right\} \cong$$

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} S_i \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$${}_{\mathbb{A}}\text{op} \cong \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} M_{n_1}(D_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & M_{n_k}(D_k) \end{array} \right] \right\} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j).$$

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} S_i \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \cong \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} M_{n_1}(D_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & M_{n_k}(D_k) \end{array} \right] \right\} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j).$$

Hence $\mathbb{A} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j^{\text{op}})$.

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} S_i \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \cong \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} M_{n_1}(D_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & M_{n_k}(D_k) \end{array} \right] \right\} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j).$$

Hence $\mathbb{A} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j^{\text{op}})$.

If G is finite, then $\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ where k is the number of isotypes of simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules,

The endomorphism ring of a 1-generated free module

Assume that ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} \cong S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k^{n_k}$.

On the one hand, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A})$ consists of the right multiplications $r_a: x \mapsto x \cdot a$. Since $r_a \circ r_b = r_{ba}$, $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}}({}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{\text{op}}$.

On the other hand, the elements of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k} S_i \right)$ may be represented by matrices $[\text{Hom}(S_j, S_i)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{op}} \cong \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} M_{n_1}(D_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & M_{n_k}(D_k) \end{array} \right] \right\} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j).$$

Hence $\mathbb{A} \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(D_j^{\text{op}})$.

If G is finite, then $\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ where k is the number of isotypes of simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules, as n_j is the k -dimension of S_j .

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\},$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\},$

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .

- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$,

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.

- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .

- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$,

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars)

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars)

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom,

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module:

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R$,

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M$,

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module.

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module.

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module. A hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ corresponds to a product hom $\varphi_R \times \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_R: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\varphi_T: Q \rightarrow Q'$.

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module. A hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ corresponds to a product hom $\varphi_R \times \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_R: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\varphi_T: Q \rightarrow Q'$.
- The category of $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules has finitely many simple members,

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module. A hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ corresponds to a product hom $\varphi_R \times \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_R: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\varphi_T: Q \rightarrow Q'$.
- The category of $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules has finitely many simple members,

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module. A hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ corresponds to a product hom $\varphi_R \times \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_R: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\varphi_T: Q \rightarrow Q'$.
- The category of $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules has finitely many simple members, every module is a direct sum of simple modules,

Modules over $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$

- The k -space $S = k^n$ of column vectors of length n is a simple $M_n(k)$ -module.
- $M_3(k) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and more generally $M_n(k)$ is the direct sum of n left ideals, each isomorphic to k^n .
- The category of $M_n(k)$ -modules has a unique simple member $S = k^n$, and every $M_n(k)$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus^{\kappa} S$ for uniquely determined κ .
- (Restriction of scalars) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is an algebra/ring hom, then any T -module M may be viewed as an R -module: $r \in R, m \in M, r \cdot m := \varphi(r) \cdot m$.
- If M is an $R \times T$ -module, then $M \cong P \oplus Q$ where P is an R -module and Q is a T -module. A hom $\varphi: M \rightarrow M'$ corresponds to a product hom $\varphi_R \times \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_R: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\varphi_T: Q \rightarrow Q'$.
- The category of $\prod_{j=1}^k M_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$ -modules has finitely many simple members, every module is a direct sum of simple modules, and the number of summands isomorphic to given simple module is uniquely determined.

Some terminology

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.
- A “trivial” representation of a group is a 1-dimensional and each group element acts like the identity element.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.
- A “trivial” representation of a group is a 1-dimensional and each group element acts like the identity element.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.
- A “trivial” representation of a group is a 1-dimensional and each group element acts like the identity element.
- For modules/representations, “completely reducible” or “semisimple” means a direct sum of simples.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.
- A “trivial” representation of a group is a 1-dimensional and each group element acts like the identity element.
- For modules/representations, “completely reducible” or “semisimple” means a direct sum of simples.

Some terminology

- For modules/representations, “irreducible” means simple.
- For modules/representations, “reducible” means not simple and not trivial (= not a singleton module).
- The 0-dimensional representation is neither “reducible” nor “irreducible”.
- A “trivial” representation of a group is a 1-dimensional and each group element acts like the identity element.
- For modules/representations, “completely reducible” or “semisimple” means a direct sum of simples.
- If $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$ is a permutation representation of G on the set X , then there is a corresponding linear representation $\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ for $V = \bigoplus_{x \in X} kx$ defined by $\hat{\rho}(g)(\sum \alpha_x \cdot x) = \sum \alpha_x \rho(g)(x)$. $\hat{\rho}$ is also called a “permutation representation”.