

1. Show that $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 2$ iff one the following is true.

- (a) $k = p_1 \cdots p_r$ is square-free and there is exactly one relation $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$ among the prime divisors.
- (b) The prime factorization of k is $p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$ (exactly one exponent $\neq 1$, and that exponent is 2), and there are no relations among the primes. Here p_i or q is related to p_j means " $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$ " or " $q \mid (p_j - 1)$ ", while p_j is related to q^2 means " $p_j \mid (q^2 - 1)$ ".

Proof. ' \Rightarrow ' Suppose that $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 2$. Consider the prime factorization $k = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$. First we claim that either $a_i = 1$ for all i or $a_j = 2$ for some unique j and $a_i = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. Suppose to the contrary that neither of these cases hold. Thus, there exists distinct i, j such that both $a_i \geq 2$ and $a_j \geq 2$. WLOG (up to reordering of the prime factors), suppose that $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. Then by the Structure Theorem for Abelian Groups, there exists the following three nonisomorphic abelian groups of order k ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{a_2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_3^{a_3}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{a_r}}, \\ G_2 &= \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{a_2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_3^{a_3}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{a_r}}, \\ G_3 &= \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{a_2-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_3^{a_3}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{a_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the assumption that $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 2$, so we have proven the claim. Note that the first case of the claim implies that $k = p_1 \cdots p_r$ is square-free and the second case of the claim implies that $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$, where $q = p_j$. Therefore we proceed in each of these cases to show that either (a) holds or (b) holds.

First consider the case when $k = p_1 \cdots p_r$. We want to show there is exactly one relation $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$ among the prime divisors. Suppose to the contrary that either there are no relations or there is more than one relation. If there are no relations, then k is a cyclic number, so $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 1 \neq 2$, a contradiction. So we only need to consider the case where there is more than one relation. WLOG, suppose $p_1 \mid (p_2 - 1)$ and $p_3 \mid (p_4 - 1)$. Then there exists nontrivial actions $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r})$ and $\beta : \mathbb{Z}_{p_3} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 p_4 \cdots p_r})$. So, $\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 p_4 \cdots p_r} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_3}$ are nonisomorphic, nonabelian groups of order k . We have that \mathbb{Z}_k is an abelian group of order k , and therefore witnesses a different isotype than the two semidirect products listed above, so $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) \geq 3$, which is a contradiction.

Now consider the case when $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$. We want to show there are no relations among the prime divisors of k . WLOG (up to reordering), suppose to the contrary that either $p_1 \mid (p_2 - 1)$ or $q \mid (p_2 - 1)$ or $p_1 \mid (q^2 - 1)$. By the Structure Theorem for Abelian Groups there are two isotypes of abelian groups of order k , specifically \mathbb{Z}_k and $\mathbb{Z}_{k/q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. So, it suffices to show in each case that there exists a nonabelian group of order k , because then $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) \geq 3$, which is a contradiction. If $p_1 \mid (p_2 - 1)$ then there exists a nontrivial action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r q^2})$, so $\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r q^2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$ is a nonabelian group of order k , a contradiction. By an almost identical argument, if $q \mid (p_2 - 1)$, then a nonabelian group of order k is given by $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1 \cdots p_r q} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_q$. If $p_1 \mid (q^2 - 1)$, then recall that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q^2)$ and $|\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q^2)| = (q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q)$. So $q^2 - 1$ divides the order of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$. Therefore, there exists a nontrivial action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \cdots p_r q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q)$, so there exists a nonabelian

group of order k given by $(\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 p_3 \dots p_r q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$. Thus we have shown that there must be no relations among the primes, so (b) holds.

‘ \Leftarrow ’ Let G be a group with $|G| = k$. Suppose first that (a) holds, so $k = p_1 \dots p_k$ and there is exactly one relation $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$. Since k is square free, G has cyclic Sylow subgroups, thus $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Reorder the primes so that $m = p_1 \dots p_t$ and $n = p_{t+1} \dots p_r$. Note that although we have re-indexed, we will still refer to the related primes as p_i and p_j where $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$. The structure of the semidirect product is determined by the action

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m).$$

Note that the domain has cardinality $n = p_{t+1} \dots p_r$ and the codomain has cardinality $\phi(m) = (p_1 - 1) \dots (p_t - 1)$. Note that either $p_i \mid n$ or $p_i \mid m$ and either $p_j \mid n$ or $p_j \mid m$, so we consider the following four cases. If $p_i \mid n$ and $p_j \mid n$, then since no other primes are related we have that α must be the trivial action. Likewise, if $p_i \mid m$ and $p_j \mid m$, then α must be the trivial action. So in both of these cases, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$. If $p_i \mid m$ and $p_j \mid n$, then we still have that $\gcd(|\mathbb{Z}_n|, |\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)|) = 1$, so α must be the trivial action, therefore $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$. If $p_i \mid n$ and $p_j \mid m$, then since $p_i \mid (p_j - 1)$ and there are no other relations, we have that $\gcd(|\mathbb{Z}_n|, |\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)|) = p_i$. So there exists nontrivial actions $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ in this case. Moreover, if a nontrivial action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ exists, then $|\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_n)|$ must be a nonunit divisor of both $|\mathbb{Z}_n|$ and $|\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)|$. The only nonunit divisor of both $|\mathbb{Z}_n|$ and $|\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)|$ is p_i , so $|\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = p_i$. Reindex the prime divisors of k so that $n = p_1 \dots p_{j-1}$ and $m = p_j \dots p_r$ with $p_1 \mid (p_j - 1)$. If the action α is trivial, we get that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$ again. We will now prove the following claim:

Claim: If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ are distinct nontrivial actions as described above (where $p_1 \mid n$ and $p_j \mid m$ and $p_1 \mid (p_j - 1)$ is the only relation among primes), then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbb{Z}_n$, in other words there is only one other possible isotype for G .

Proof of Claim: We will show that $\alpha_1(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \alpha_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$. Recall from above that $|\alpha_1(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = |\alpha_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = p_1$. We will first show that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ has a cyclic subgroup of order $p_j - 1$. Note that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_m) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r})$. Choose g to be any generator of the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j})^\times$. Note that $p_j \neq 2$ since $p_1 \mid p_2 - 1$, so if $p_j = 2$, then $p_1 = 1$, which is not prime. Since $p_j \neq 2$ there exists nontrivial $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_r})$ defined by $\varphi(a_{p_j}, a_{p_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{p_r}) = (ga_{p_j}, a_{p_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{p_r})$. We then have that $\varphi^k(a_{p_j}, a_{p_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{p_r}) = (g^k a_{p_j}, a_{p_{j+1}}, \dots, a_{p_r})$. $\varphi^k = \text{id}$ if and only if $g^k a \equiv a \pmod{p_j}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_j}$ if and only if $a(g^k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j}$. Since \mathbb{Z}_{p_j} is an integral domain and a is not always 0, we have that $g^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$. By the fact that g is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j})^\times$, we have that the least value k so that $\varphi^k = \text{id}$ is $k = p_j - 1$. Therefore, φ is an element of order $p_j - 1$, so $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j \dots p_r})$ has a cyclic subgroup of order $p_j - 1$. Call this subgroup K .

Next, note that $p_1 \mid p_j - 1$ so we can consider the p_1 -Sylow subgroup P of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j \dots p_r})$ (the Sylow subgroup is unique since the automorphism group is abelian). P has order p_1^k and we will show that $P \subset K$. Since $p_1 \mid p_j - 1$, by Cauchy’s Theorem K has a subgroup of order p_1 . This subgroup is contained in a Sylow p_1 -subgroup, and hence contained in P , so $|P \cap K| \geq p_1$. Suppose $P \not\subset K$, then $|P \cap K| = p_1^a$, $1 \leq a < k$. Consider the subgroup PK

of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j \cdots p_r})$. We have that

$$|PK| = \frac{|P||K|}{|P \cap K|} = (p_j - 1)p_1^{k-a}.$$

By Lagrange's Theorem, $(p_j - 1)p_1^{k-a} \mid (p_j - 1) \cdots (p_r - 1)$, therefore $p_1^{k-a} \mid (p_{j+1} - 1) \cdots (p_r - 1)$. Since $1 \leq k - a < k$ and p_1 is prime, $p_1 \mid (p_i - 1)$ for some $j + 1 \leq i \leq r$, which is a contradiction since this is a new relation on the primes. Thus, $P \subset K$. So P must be cyclic and therefore contains a unique cyclic subgroup of order p_1 . Any other cyclic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j \cdots p_r})$ of order p_1 would be contained in P . Thus, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j \cdots p_r})$ has a unique cyclic group of order p_1 so $\alpha_1(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \alpha_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. The original claim about semi-direct products then immediately follows from the result of Exercise 6 in Section 5.5 of Dummit and Foote. \square Claim.

In all cases, the only possible isotypes for G were the cyclic group of order k or $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_n$. Therefore, we have shown that (a) implies $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 2$.

Now suppose (b) holds. So $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$ with no relations. We claim that any group G with $|G| = k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$ with no relations is abelian. We proceed by induction on r . If $r = 0$, then $k = q^2$, so G is abelian. Suppose now that for some arbitrary $r \geq 0$, we have that if k has r linear prime factors and exactly one square factor (k is of the form $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$) with no relations and G is a group with $|G| = k$, then G is abelian. Consider an arbitrary k of the form $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot p_{r+1} q^2$ and let G be a group of order k . Let P be a Sylow p_1 -subgroup of G . We will show that P has a normal complement. Note first that $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$ is abelian. By Burnside's Normal Complement Theorem, it suffices to show that $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$. We know that $N_G(P)$ acts on P by conjugation. In other words, there exists a homomorphism $\alpha : N_G(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1-1}$ defined as $g \mapsto \alpha(g) : P \rightarrow P$ via $\alpha(g)(x) = g^{-1}xg$. Notice that $\ker(\alpha) = C_G(P)$ ($g \in \ker(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \alpha(g)(x) = x$ for all $x \in P \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}xg = x$, $x \in P \Leftrightarrow gx = xg$, $x \in P \Leftrightarrow g \in C_G(P)$). Suppose for a contradiction that $N_G(P) \neq \ker(\alpha)$. So we can choose $g \in N_G(P) \setminus \ker(\alpha)$. So, $|\alpha(g)|$ divides $p_1 - 1$ and $|\alpha(g)| \neq 1$. But, $|\alpha(g)| \mid |g|$ and $|g| \mid k$ since $g \in G$. So $|\alpha(g)| \mid k$, hence $|\alpha(g)| = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} \cdot p_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}} \cdot q^b$ where $a_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $b \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Since $|\alpha(g)| \mid (p_1 - 1)$, p_1 does not divide $p_1 - 1$, and $|\alpha(g)| \neq 1$, it must be the case that some $a_i = 1$ with $i \neq 1$ or $b \neq 0$. In either case since $|\alpha(g)| \mid (p_1 - 1)$, we then have that either some $p_i \mid (p_1 - 1)$ or $q \mid (p_1 - 1)$, which is a contradiction in either case to the fact that there are no relations among the primes. Therefore $N_G(P) = \ker(\alpha) = C_G(P)$. So by Burnside's Normal Complement Theorem, P has a normal complement which we will call N . So we have that $G \cong N \rtimes P$. Since N is a group of order $p_2 \cdots p_r \cdot p_{r+1} q^2$ with no relations among the primes, by the inductive hypothesis N is abelian. So $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_2 \cdots p_{r+1} \cdot q^2}$ or $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_2 \cdots p_{r+1} \cdot q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q$. If $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_2 \cdots p_{r+1} \cdot q^2}$, then P can only act trivially on N since $|P| = p_1$ and $|\text{Aut}(N)| = (p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_r - 1)(p_{r+1} - 1)q(q - 1)$ so the existence of a nontrivial action would imply that p_1 divides some $p_j - 1$ or $q - 1$ (p_1 cannot divide q since q is prime and $p_1 \neq q$), which contradicts the assumption that there are no relations. If $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_2 \cdots p_{r+1} \cdot q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, then $\text{Aut}(N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_{p_2 \cdots p_{r+1}})^{\times} \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, so $|\text{Aut}(N)| = (p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_{r+1} - 1) \cdot (q^2 - 1)q(q - 1)$. The existence of a nontrivial action of P on $\text{Aut}(N)$ would imply that p_1 divides some $p_j - 1$ or $q - 1$ or $q^2 - 1$, which is a contradiction in all cases. Therefore, $G \cong N \times P$. So G is the product of two abelian groups and so G is abelian. By the principle of mathematical induction, we have shown that for any $r \geq 0$, if $k = p_1 \cdots p_r \cdot q^2$ with no relations among the

primes, then any group of order k is abelian. By the Structure Theorem for Abelian Groups, $\text{Spec}_{\text{Grp}}(k) = 2$ (the only possible isotypes for G are given by \mathbb{Z}_k and $\mathbb{Z}_{k/q} \times \mathbb{Z}_q$). \square