

Examples, Properties, Applications

G	1	k_2	\cdots	k_r
	1	g_2	\cdots	g_r
χ_1	1	1	\cdots	1
χ_2	d_2	$\chi_2(g_2)$	\cdots	$\chi_2(g_r)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
χ_r	d_r	$\chi_r(g_2)$	\cdots	$\chi_r(g_r)$

Each d_i divides $|G| = 1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2$

Each k_j divides $|G| = 1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r$

Character tables of abelian groups

Character tables of abelian groups

\mathbb{Z}_2	1	1
	0	1
ξ_1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1

Character tables of abelian groups

\mathbb{Z}_2	1	1
	0	1
ξ_1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1

	1	1	1
\mathbb{Z}_3	0	1	2
χ_1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	ω	ω^2
χ_2^2	1	ω^2	ω

Character tables of abelian groups

\mathbb{Z}_2	1	1
	0	1
ξ_1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1

\mathbb{Z}_3	1	1	1
	0	1	2
χ_1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	ω	ω^2
χ_2^2	1	ω^2	ω

\mathbb{Z}_4	1	1	1	1
	0	1	2	3
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	i	-1	$-i$
χ_2^2	1	-1	1	-1
χ_2^3	1	$-i$	-1	i

Character tables of abelian groups

\mathbb{Z}_2	1	1
	0	1
ξ_1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1

\mathbb{Z}_3	1	1	1
	0	1	2
χ_1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	ω	ω^2
χ_2^2	1	ω^2	ω

\mathbb{Z}_4	1	1	1	1
	0	1	2	3
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	i	-1	$-i$
χ_2^2	1	-1	1	-1
χ_2^3	1	$-i$	-1	i

$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	1	1	1	1
	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)
$\xi_1(x)\xi_1(y)$	1	1	1	1
$\xi_2(x)\xi_1(y)$	1	1	-1	-1
$\xi_1(x)\xi_2(y)$	1	-1	1	-1
$\xi_2(x)\xi_2(y)$	1	-1	-1	1

Completing a table from partial information

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

- (i) finding the missing irrep,

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

(i) finding the missing irrep, (ii) column orthogonality,

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

(i) finding the missing irrep, (ii) column orthogonality, (iii) row orthogonality,

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

- (i) finding the missing irrep, (ii) column orthogonality, (iii) row orthogonality,
- (iv) $\sum_i d_i \chi_i(h) = \chi_{\text{reg}}(h)$,

Completing a table from partial information

Let G be a nonabelian group of order 8. Necessarily $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so inflation gives us partial information about the character table.

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	?	?	?	?	?

The entries in the last row can be determined by:

- (i) finding the missing irrep, (ii) column orthogonality, (iii) row orthogonality,
- (iv) $\sum_i d_i \chi_i(h) = \chi_{\text{reg}}(h)$, ETC.

Completing a table from partial information, Q_8, D_4

Completing a table from partial information, Q_8, D_4

The table for either of $D_4(D_8)$ or Q_8 is:

Completing a table from partial information, Q_8, D_4

The table for either of $D_4(D_8)$ or Q_8 is:

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	2	-2	0	0	0

Completing a table from partial information, Q_8, D_4

The table for either of $D_4(D_8)$ or Q_8 is:

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	2	-2	0	0	0

The groups D_4 and Q_8 can be distinguished by the fact that $\det(\chi_5^{Q_8}) = \chi_1 \neq \det(\chi_5^{D_4})$.

Completing a table from partial information, Q_8, D_4

The table for either of $D_4(D_8)$ or Q_8 is:

G	1	1	2	2	2
	1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	1	-1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_5	2	-2	0	0	0

The groups D_4 and Q_8 can be distinguished by the fact that $\det(\chi_5^{Q_8}) = \chi_1 \neq \det(\chi_5^{D_4})$.

$$Q_8: i \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}, j \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad D_4: f \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, r \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation.

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3.

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3. This must be all.

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3. This must be all.

A_4	1	3	4	4
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	ω	ω^2
χ_3	1	1	ω^2	ω
χ_4	3	-1	0	0

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3. This must be all.

A_4	1	3	4	4
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	ω	ω^2
χ_3	1	1	ω^2	ω
χ_4	3	-1	0	0

Another way to produce χ_4 is to realize A_4 as the rotation group of the tetrahedron.

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3. This must be all.

A_4	1	3	4	4
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	ω	ω^2
χ_3	1	1	ω^2	ω
χ_4	3	-1	0	0

Another way to produce χ_4 is to realize A_4 as the rotation group of the tetrahedron. Or use orthogonality.

$A_4/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, so 3 linear characters arise from inflation. Also, A_4 acts 2-transitively on $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so $\chi_4 = \chi_S - \chi_1$ is an irrep of degree 3. This must be all.

A_4	1	3	4	4
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	ω	ω^2
χ_3	1	1	ω^2	ω
χ_4	3	-1	0	0

Another way to produce χ_4 is to realize A_4 as the rotation group of the tetrahedron. Or use orthogonality. Or use the regular representation.

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 .

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 .

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality,

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality,

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality, or by realizing S_4 as the rotation group of the cube,

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality, or by realizing S_4 as the rotation group of the cube, or tensoring the degree-3 irrep with the sign representation

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality, or by realizing S_4 as the rotation group of the cube, or tensoring the degree-3 irrep with the sign representation we get another degree-3 irrep, $\chi_5 = \chi_2\chi_4$.

- $S_4/K \cong S_3$, so S_4 acquires 3 irreps from S_3 by inflation.
- S_4 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, so get a degree-3 irrep from that, χ_4 . (The ‘standard’ representation of S_n .)
- By orthogonality, or by realizing S_4 as the rotation group of the cube, or tensoring the degree-3 irrep with the sign representation we get another degree-3 irrep, $\chi_5 = \chi_2\chi_4$.

S_4	1	3	6	6	8
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2)	(1 2 3 4)	(1 2 3)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_3	2	2	0	0	-1
χ_4	3	-1	1	-1	0
χ_5	3	-1	-1	1	0

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1 1	15 (1 2)(3 4)	20 (1 2 3)	12 (1 2 3 4 5)	12 (1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with permutation character

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with permutation character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
π	5	1	2	0	0

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with permutation character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
π	5	1	2	0	0

yielding an irrep of degree 4, with character

A_5 is the smallest nonabelian simple group, which makes it an interesting example.

χ_1 is the only irrep of degree 1.

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with permutation character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
π	5	1	2	0	0

yielding an irrep of degree 4, with character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
$\pi - \chi_1$	4	0	1	-1	-1

A_5 acts 2-transitively by conjugation on its six Sylow 5-subgroups with character

A_5 acts 2-transitively by conjugation on its six Sylow 5-subgroups with character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
ξ	6	2	0	1	1

A_5 acts 2-transitively by conjugation on its six Sylow 5-subgroups with character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
ξ	6	2	0	1	1

yielding an irrep of degree 5, with character

A_5 acts 2-transitively by conjugation on its six Sylow 5-subgroups with character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
ξ	6	2	0	1	1

yielding an irrep of degree 5, with character

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
$\xi - \chi_1$	5	1	-1	0	0

A_5 may be realized as the rotation group of the dodecahedron, yielding an irrep of degree 3.

A_5 may be realized as the rotation group of the dodecahedron, yielding an irrep of degree 3. (Char value of a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 through $2\pi/n$ is $1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/n)$.)

A_5 may be realized as the rotation group of the dodecahedron, yielding an irrep of degree 3. (Char value of a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 through $2\pi/n$ is $1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/n)$.)

A_5	1 1	15 (1 2)(3 4)	20 (1 2 3)	12 (1 2 3 4 5)	12 (1 2 3 5 4)
θ	$1 + 2 \cos(0)$	$1 + 2 \cos(\pi)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/3)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/5)$	$1 + 2 \cos(4\pi/5)$
θ	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$

A_5 may be realized as the rotation group of the dodecahedron, yielding an irrep of degree 3. (Char value of a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 through $2\pi/n$ is $1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/n)$.)

A_5	1 1	15 (1 2)(3 4)	20 (1 2 3)	12 (1 2 3 4 5)	12 (1 2 3 5 4)
θ	$1 + 2 \cos(0)$	$1 + 2 \cos(\pi)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/3)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/5)$	$1 + 2 \cos(4\pi/5)$
θ	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$

$$\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

A_5 may be realized as the rotation group of the dodecahedron, yielding an irrep of degree 3. (Char value of a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 through $2\pi/n$ is $1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/n)$.)

A_5	1 1	15 (1 2)(3 4)	20 (1 2 3)	12 (1 2 3 4 5)	12 (1 2 3 5 4)
θ	$1 + 2 \cos(0)$	$1 + 2 \cos(\pi)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/3)$	$1 + 2 \cos(2\pi/5)$	$1 + 2 \cos(4\pi/5)$
θ	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$

$$\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

If α is the automorphism $\sigma \mapsto (4\ 5)^{-1}\sigma(4\ 5)$ of A_5 , then $\theta \circ \alpha$ is irreducible:

A_5	1 1	15 (1 2)(3 4)	20 (1 2 3)	12 (1 2 3 4 5)	12 (1 2 3 5 4)
$\theta \circ \alpha$	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$. It must be that $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, so G is abelian. \square

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$. It must be that $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, so G is abelian. \square

Theorem

Any group of order < 6 is abelian.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$. It must be that $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, so G is abelian. \square

Theorem

Any group of order < 6 is abelian.

Proof.

Same idea.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$. It must be that $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, so G is abelian. \square

Theorem

Any group of order < 6 is abelian.

Proof.

Same idea.

Silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

The first column of the character table for G consists of at most p integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that (i) $d_1 = 1$, (ii) $d_j | p$ for all j , and (iii) $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = p$. It must be that $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$, so G is abelian. \square

Theorem

Any group of order < 6 is abelian.

Proof.

Same idea. The smallest number n that is a sum of squares $d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2$ where $d_1 = 1$, some $d_j > 1$, and all d_j divide n is 6. \square

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be $1, p$ or p^2 .

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$. Assume that there are m degrees satisfying $d_j = q$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$. Assume that there are m degrees satisfying $d_j = q$. The number of d_j equal to 1 is $n := [G : G'] \in \{1, q, p\}$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$. Assume that there are m degrees satisfying $d_j = q$. The number of d_j equal to 1 is $n := [G : G'] \in \{1, q, p\}$. We have $pq = d_1^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = mq^2 + n$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$. Assume that there are m degrees satisfying $d_j = q$. The number of d_j equal to 1 is $n := [G : G'] \in \{1, q, p\}$. We have $pq = d_1^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = mq^2 + n$. From this, $q|n$, so $q = n$.

More silly applications

Theorem

If $|G| = p^2$, p prime, then G is abelian.

Proof.

Each degree d_j must be 1, p or p^2 . The choices $d_j = p$ or p^2 are too big if $1^2 + d_2^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = p^2$. □

Theorem

If $|G| = pq$, $q < p$ primes, and G is nonabelian, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof.

Possible degrees are $d_j \in \{1, q\}$, and some $d_j = q$. Assume that there are m degrees satisfying $d_j = q$. The number of d_j equal to 1 is $n := [G : G'] \in \{1, q, p\}$. We have $pq = d_1^2 + \cdots + d_r^2 = mq^2 + n$. From this, $q|n$, so $q = n$. Dividing $pq = mq^2 + q$ by q we get $p = mq + 1$. □

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Unsilly applications

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

Unsilly applications

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not the principal character, then $K_\chi = Z_\chi = \{1\}$, since these are proper normal subgroups.

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not the principal character, then $K_\chi = Z_\chi = \{1\}$, since these are proper normal subgroups. If p is the prime of the theorem, then $p \nmid \chi(1)$ implies $\chi(h) = 0$ for any such χ .

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not the principal character, then $K_\chi = Z_\chi = \{1\}$, since these are proper normal subgroups. If p is the prime of the theorem, then $p \nmid \chi(1)$ implies $\chi(h) = 0$ for any such χ . Column orthogonality, applied to the columns of 1 and h , yields $1 + \chi_2(1)\chi_2(h) + \cdots + \chi_r(1)\chi_r(h) = 0$,

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not the principal character, then $K_\chi = Z_\chi = \{1\}$, since these are proper normal subgroups. If p is the prime of the theorem, then $p \nmid \chi(1)$ implies $\chi(h) = 0$ for any such χ . Column orthogonality, applied to the columns of 1 and h , yields $1 + \chi_2(1)\chi_2(h) + \cdots + \chi_r(1)\chi_r(h) = 0$, hence

$$(\chi_2(1)\chi_2(h))/p + \cdots + (\chi_r(1)\chi_r(h))/p = -1/p.$$

Silly applications

Theorem

A finite nonabelian simple group G has no conjugacy class h^G of prime power size other than the class $\{1\}$.

Proof.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is not the principal character, then $K_\chi = Z_\chi = \{1\}$, since these are proper normal subgroups. If p is the prime of the theorem, then $p \nmid \chi(1)$ implies $\chi(h) = 0$ for any such χ . Column orthogonality, applied to the columns of 1 and h , yields $1 + \chi_2(1)\chi_2(h) + \cdots + \chi_r(1)\chi_r(h) = 0$, hence

$$(\chi_2(1)\chi_2(h))/p + \cdots + (\chi_r(1)\chi_r(h))/p = -1/p.$$

Each summand on the left is an algebraic integer, but $-1/p$ is not. □

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Unsilly applications

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

Unsilly applications

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

Unsilly applications

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

If H is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h ,

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

If H is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h , then h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size.

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

If H is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h , then h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size. Conversely if h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size,

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

If H is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h , then h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size. Conversely if h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size, then $C_G(h)$ is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h .

Theorem

If G is a finite nonabelian simple group and H is a subgroup of prime power index, then H is centerless.

(This is a restatement of the previous theorem in the language of subgroups instead of conjugacy classes.)

Let's see:

If H is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h , then h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size. Conversely if h^G is a conjugacy class of G of p -power size, then $C_G(h)$ is a subgroup of G of p -power index with a nontrivial central element h . \square

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Corollary

If $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes, then G is solvable.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Corollary

If $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes, then G is solvable.

Proof.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Corollary

If $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes, then G is solvable.

Proof.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Corollary

If $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes, then G is solvable.

Proof.

If $|G| = p^a q^b$ and G is not solvable, then it must have a nonabelian simple section G' whose order divides $p^a q^b$.

Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem

Theorem

There is no nonabelian simple group G such that $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes.

Proof.

Apply the preceding theorem to $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ to derive a contradiction. \square

Corollary

If $|G| = p^a q^b$, p, q primes, then G is solvable.

Proof.

If $|G| = p^a q^b$ and G is not solvable, then it must have a nonabelian simple section G' whose order divides $p^a q^b$. There is no such group. \square

A theorem about simple groups

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

A theorem about simple groups

Theorem

If G is a nonabelian simple group and some irreducible character degree is a prime p , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p .

Recall, as an example:

A_5	1	15	20	12	12
	1	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 2 3 5 4)
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	ϕ	$-\phi^{-1}$
χ_3	3	-1	0	$-\phi^{-1}$	ϕ
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

There are zeros at the centers of the colored crosses.

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p .

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful.

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G .

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$.

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$. $[G : C_G(z)]$ divides $[G : P]$, hence z^G has size prime to p .

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$. $[G : C_G(z)]$ divides $[G : P]$, hence z^G has size prime to p . Thus $\psi(z) = 0$ for any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$.

Preliminaries

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$. $[G : C_G(z)]$ divides $[G : P]$, hence z^G has size prime to p . Thus $\psi(z) = 0$ for any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$.

What we are seeing here is important:

Let G be a nonabelian simple group with irreducible character ψ of degree p . If $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ affords ψ , then ρ is faithful. In fact, $K_\psi = Z_\psi = \{1\}$.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$. $[G : C_G(z)]$ divides $[G : P]$, hence z^G has size prime to p . Thus $\psi(z) = 0$ for any $z \in Z(P) \setminus \{1\}$.

What we are seeing here is important: a character is vanishing on the nonidentity elements of a subgroup, $Z(P)$.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character,

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Proof.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Proof.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Proof.

Compare χ_1 and ψ :

H	1	k_2	\cdots	k_r
H	1	a_2	\cdots	a_r
χ_1	1	1	\cdots	1
ψ	$\psi(1)$	0	\cdots	0

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Proof.

Compare χ_1 and ψ :

	1	k_2	\cdots	k_r
H	1	a_2	\cdots	a_r
χ_1	1	1	\cdots	1
ψ	$\psi(1)$	0	\cdots	0

$\langle \chi_1, \psi \rangle = \frac{\psi(1)}{|H|}$ must be an integer.

Recognizing multiples of the regular representation

Lemma

Let $\rho: H \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a (not necessarily irreducible) representation of a finite group, and let ψ be the character afforded by ρ . If ψ vanishes on $H \setminus \{1\}$, then $|H|$ divides $\psi(1)$.

Thus, ψ is a multiple of the regular character, and so ρ is a multiple of the regular representation.

Proof.

Compare χ_1 and ψ :

	1	k_2	\cdots	k_r
H	1	a_2	\cdots	a_r
χ_1	1	1	\cdots	1
ψ	$\psi(1)$	0	\cdots	0

$\langle \chi_1, \psi \rangle = \frac{\psi(1)}{|H|}$ must be an integer. Now use that representations are determined by their characters. □

Proof of theorem

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$,

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian. Since $P \subseteq C_G(z)$, P is abelian.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian. Since $P \subseteq C_G(z)$, P is abelian. Hence $P = Z(P)$,

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian. Since $P \subseteq C_G(z)$, P is abelian. Hence $P = Z(P)$, which has order p . □

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian. Since $P \subseteq C_G(z)$, P is abelian. Hence $P = Z(P)$, which has order p . □

The converse is false.

Proof of theorem

To be proved: If G is simple and there is an irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})$ (affording ψ) then a Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ has order p .

Proof.

We have shown that $\psi_{Z(P)}$ vanishes on the nonidentity elements of $Z(P)$. Hence $|Z(P)|$ divides $\psi_{Z(P)}(1) = \psi(1) = p$. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$. Moreover, $\rho_{Z(P)}$ is a (1-)multiple of the regular representation of $Z(P)$, so if $z \in Z(P)$ is a generator, then $\rho(z)$ has distinct e-values. It follows that $C_{\mathrm{GL}(p, \mathbb{C})}(z)$ consists of diagonal matrices, hence is abelian. Hence $C_G(z)$ is abelian. Since $P \subseteq C_G(z)$, P is abelian. Hence $P = Z(P)$, which has order p . □

The converse is false. $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ has Sylow 3-subgroups of size 3 for infinitely many prime powers q , but only $\mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$ have irreps of degree 3.