

Nullstellensatz = Zero Locus Theorem



A Galois connection

A Galois connection

Let k be a field,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A),

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$.

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory, a subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff $A = F^\perp = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory, a subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff $A = F^\perp = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, and a subset $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ is Galois-closed iff $F = A^\perp = I(A)$ for some $A \subseteq k^n$.

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory, a subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff $A = F^\perp = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, and a subset $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ is Galois-closed iff $F = A^\perp = I(A)$ for some $A \subseteq k^n$.

An informal way to say this:

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory, a subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff $A = F^\perp = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, and a subset $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ is Galois-closed iff $F = A^\perp = I(A)$ for some $A \subseteq k^n$.

An informal way to say this: $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff it is definable by polynomials,

A Galois connection

Let k be a field, and consider the relation $R \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \times k^n$ defined by

$$(f(\bar{x}), \bar{a}) \in R \iff f(\bar{a}) = 0.$$

What are the closed sets of the corresponding Galois connection?

For $A \subseteq k^n$, write $I(A)$ for $A^\perp = \{f \in k[\bar{x}] \mid (\forall \bar{a} \in A)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the ideal of polynomials that vanish on A), and for $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ write $V(F)$ for $F^\perp = \{\bar{a} \in k^n \mid (\forall \bar{f} \in F)(f(\bar{a}) = 0)\}$ (= the vanishing set of F = the set of common zeros of F).

Hence the Galois closure of A is $A^{\perp\perp} = V(I(A))$, while the Galois closure of F is $F^{\perp\perp} = I(V(F))$.

By the general theory of Galois connections, there is an order-reversing bijection between the Galois-closed subsets of k^n and Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$. Also, by the theory, a subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff $A = F^\perp = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, and a subset $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ is Galois-closed iff $F = A^\perp = I(A)$ for some $A \subseteq k^n$.

An informal way to say this: $A \subseteq k^n$ is Galois-closed iff it is definable by polynomials, and $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$ is Galois-closed iff it is definable by points.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

Dfs.

- ① A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”,

Dfs.

- ① A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”,

Dfs.

- ① A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$,

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ in can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induce the same function on Γ iff $g - h$ vanishes on Γ

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induce the same function on Γ iff $g - h$ vanishes on Γ iff $g - h \in I(V(f)) = \{f\}^{\perp\perp}$.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induce the same function on Γ iff $g - h$ vanishes on Γ iff $g - h \in I(V(f)) = \{f\}^{\perp\perp}$. We take the (coordinate) ring of polynomial functions on Γ to be $\mathbb{A}[\Gamma] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I(V(f))$.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induce the same function on Γ iff $g - h$ vanishes on Γ iff $g - h \in I(V(f)) = \{f\}^{\perp\perp}$. We take the (coordinate) ring of polynomial functions on Γ to be $\mathbb{A}[\Gamma] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I(V(f))$.

The assertion that $p \in I(V(f))$ means $(\forall \bar{a})((f(\bar{a}) = 0) \rightarrow (p(\bar{a}) = 0))$.

Zariski topology, coordinate ring

Dfs.

- 1 A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is an *algebraic set* if it is “definable by polynomials”, i.e. if $A = V(F)$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$.
- 2 The *Zariski topology* on k^n is the topology whose closed sets are the algebraic sets.

So we have defined $C \subseteq k^n$ to be Zariski-closed if $C = V(F) = F^\perp$ for some $F \subseteq k[\bar{x}]$, that is, if C is Galois-closed.

Example. Consider the circle Γ defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in $k^n = \mathbb{C}^2$. (So $\Gamma = V(f)$ for $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$.)

Polynomial functions $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ can be restricted to Γ to produce polynomial functions $g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ induce the same function on Γ iff $g - h$ vanishes on Γ iff $g - h \in I(V(f)) = \{f\}^{\perp\perp}$. We take the (coordinate) ring of polynomial functions on Γ to be $\mathbb{A}[\Gamma] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I(V(f))$.

The assertion that $p \in I(V(f))$ means $(\forall \bar{a})((f(\bar{a}) = 0) \rightarrow (p(\bar{a}) = 0))$. In this example, $V(I(f)) = \langle x^2 + y^2 - 1 \rangle$.

The Galois connection

The Galois connection

Thm.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- ① The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- ② The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

A corollary of the corollary is

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

A corollary of the corollary is

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

A corollary of the corollary is

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

A corollary of the corollary is

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$. If $f(x) \in k[x]$ and $V(f[x]) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f(x) \rangle = \mathbb{C}[x]$,

The Galois connection

Thm. Assume that k is algebraically closed.

- 1 The Galois-closed subsets of k^n are exactly the Zariski-closed sets.
- 2 The Galois-closed subsets of $k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the radical ideals. ($I = \sqrt{I}$)

The nonobvious claim of this theorem is that every radical ideal is Galois-closed.

Strong Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

A corollary is

Weak Nullstellensatz. Assume that k is algebraically closed. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

A corollary of the corollary is

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$. If $f(x) \in k[x]$ and $V(f[x]) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f(x) \rangle = \mathbb{C}[x]$, i.e. $f(x)$ is a unit in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz,

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz,

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show:

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$,

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$,

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right)$$

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

so, clearing denominators,

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

so, clearing denominators,

$$f^k(\bar{x}) = \sum C_i(\bar{x}) f_i(\bar{x})$$

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

so, clearing denominators,

$$f^k(\bar{x}) = \sum C_i(\bar{x}) f_i(\bar{x}) \in \langle f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}) \rangle$$

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

so, clearing denominators,

$$f^k(\bar{x}) = \sum C_i(\bar{x}) f_i(\bar{x}) \in \langle f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}) \rangle$$

showing $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

The Rabinowitsch Trick

It is easy to see that the Weak Nullstellensatz follows from the Strong Nullstellensatz, but the Rabinowitsch Trick shows that the strong follows from the weak.

To show: If $f \in I(V(f_1, \dots, f_m))$, then $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$.

May assume at the outset that f is not the zero polynomial.

If $\bigwedge (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0) \Rightarrow f(\bar{a}) = 0$, then $V(f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}), 1 - yf(\bar{x})) = \emptyset$.

By Weak N., $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m, 1 - yf \rangle = k[\bar{x}, y]$, so

$$1 = \left(\sum c_i(\bar{x}, y) f_i(\bar{x}) \right) + d(\bar{x}, y)(1 - yf(\bar{x})),$$

so

$$1 = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}) + d \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) \left(1 - \frac{f(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} \right) = \sum c_i \left(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \right) f_i(\bar{x}),$$

so, clearing denominators,

$$f^k(\bar{x}) = \sum C_i(\bar{x}) f_i(\bar{x}) \in \langle f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_m(\bar{x}) \rangle$$

showing $f \in \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle}$. \square

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants)

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel

$$\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle,$$

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz)

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms:

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ① (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ① (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.
- ② (Reformulation 2) $V(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ for any maximal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ① (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.
- ② (Reformulation 2) $V(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ for any maximal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ❶ (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.
- ❷ (Reformulation 2) $V(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ for any maximal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$.
- ❸ (Reformulation 3) Maximal ideals of $k[\bar{x}]$ have the form $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ❶ (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.
- ❷ (Reformulation 2) $V(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ for any maximal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$.
- ❸ (Reformulation 3) Maximal ideals of $k[\bar{x}]$ have the form $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$.

Reformulations of the Weak Nullstellensatz

First, the k -algebra retraction $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k: \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}$ (ρ = idempotent endomorphism onto the subring of constants) has kernel $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$, which is a maximal ideal in $k[\bar{x}]$ since ρ maps onto a simple ring. Every kernel of a retraction of $k[\bar{x}]$ onto k has the form $\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle = I(\bar{a})$.

(Weak Nullstellensatz) If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then $V(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \emptyset$ implies $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle = k[\bar{x}]$.

Other forms: If k is alg.cl. and $f, f_i \in k[\bar{x}]$, then

- ❶ (Reformulation 1) If $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle < k[\bar{x}]$, then $(\exists \bar{a})(\bigwedge_i (f_i(\bar{a}) = 0))$.
- ❷ (Reformulation 2) $V(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \emptyset$ for any maximal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$.
- ❸ (Reformulation 3) Maximal ideals of $k[\bar{x}]$ have the form $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$.
- ❹ (Reformulation 4) The maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ are exactly the kernels of k -algebra retractions $\rho: k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k$.

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} ,

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} ,

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} , but there are other maximal ideals

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} , but there are other maximal ideals (=closed points of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$)

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} , but there are other maximal ideals (=closed points of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$) which come from algebraic extensions of \mathbb{R} .

Weak Nullstellensatz requires k to be algebraically closed

Example. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ has maximal ideals of the form $\langle x - a \rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, which correspond to points of \mathbb{R}^1 , and which are the kernels of retractions of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ onto \mathbb{R} , but there are other maximal ideals (=closed points of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$) which come from algebraic extensions of \mathbb{R} . Namely, those of the form $\langle x^2 + bx + c \rangle$ where $b^2 - 4c < 0$.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall:

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$. The embedding $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ makes K a f.g. k -algebra.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$. The embedding $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ makes K a f.g. k -algebra. By Zariski, K is a f.g. algebraic extension of k .

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$. The embedding $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ makes K a f.g. k -algebra. By Zariski, K is a f.g. algebraic extension of k . Since k is alg.cl., $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow K$ is surjective.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$. The embedding $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ makes K a f.g. k -algebra. By Zariski, K is a f.g. algebraic extension of k . Since k is alg.cl., $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow K$ is surjective. Thus \mathfrak{m} is the kernel of a retraction $k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k$.

Weak Nullstellensatz from Zariski's Lemma

Recall: (Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If K is f.g. as a k -algebra, then K is f.g. as a k -module.

Zariski implies WN.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$ be maximal. Let $K = k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m}$. The embedding $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k[\bar{x}]/\mathfrak{m} = K$ makes K a f.g. k -algebra. By Zariski, K is a f.g. algebraic extension of k . Since k is alg.cl., $\varepsilon: k \rightarrow K$ is surjective. Thus \mathfrak{m} is the kernel of a retraction $k[\bar{x}] \rightarrow k$. \square

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k ,

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k ,

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$,

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k . $A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

$A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$. Let $f \in A$ be the product of all denominators of coefficients of the $p_i(X)$ when considered as rational functions in a_1 .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

$A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$. Let $f \in A$ be the product of all denominators of coefficients of the $p_i(X)$ when considered as rational functions in a_1 . Each a_i , $i > 1$, is integral over A_f .

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

$A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$. Let $f \in A$ be the product of all denominators of coefficients of the $p_i(X)$ when considered as rational functions in a_1 . Each a_i , $i > 1$, is integral over A_f . Since $A_f \leq_{\text{int}} A_f[a_2, \dots, a_n] = K^{\text{field}}$, A_f is a field. This is impossible,

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

$A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$. Let $f \in A$ be the product of all denominators of coefficients of the $p_i(X)$ when considered as rational functions in a_1 . Each a_i , $i > 1$, is integral over A_f . Since $A_f \leq_{\text{int}} A_f[a_2, \dots, a_n] = K^{\text{field}}$, A_f is a field. This is impossible, since $A \cong k[x]$ has infinitely many primes and $f \neq 0$ cannot be divisible by all of them.

Proof of Zariski's Lemma

(Zariski's Lemma) Let K/k be a field extension. If $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then K/k is a finite dimensional field extension.

Proof. Induction on n .

True when $n = 1$, since $k[a_1]$ is not a field if a_1 is transcendental over k , and is a finite dimensional field extension of k if a_1 is algebraic over k .

Let $A = k[a_1]$ and let $k' = k(a_1) \leq K$. $K = k[a_1, \dots, a_n] = k'[a_2, \dots, a_n]$, so, by induction, K is a finite dimensional field extension of k' . Each a_i , $i > 1$, satisfies a monic polynomial $p_i(X)$ over $k' = k(a_1)$. If a_1 is algebraic over k , then $k \leq_{\text{fin}} k' \leq_{\text{fin}} K$, done. Assume that a_1 is transcendental over k .

$A = k[a_1] \cong k[x]$ and $k' \cong k(x)$. Let $f \in A$ be the product of all denominators of coefficients of the $p_i(X)$ when considered as rational functions in a_1 . Each a_i , $i > 1$, is integral over A_f . Since $A_f \leq_{\text{int}} A_f[a_2, \dots, a_n] = K^{\text{field}}$, A_f is a field. This is impossible, since $A \cong k[x]$ has infinitely many primes and $f \neq 0$ cannot be divisible by all of them. \square

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

① (Weak N.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

① (Weak N.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I .

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k .

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k . A ring A is a Hilbert-Jacobson ring if $\sqrt{I} = J(I)$ for any $I \triangleleft A$.

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k . A ring A is a Hilbert-Jacobson ring if $\sqrt{I} = J(I)$ for any $I \triangleleft A$. Any field is H-J,

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k . A ring A is a Hilbert-Jacobson ring if $\sqrt{I} = J(I)$ for any $I \triangleleft A$. Any field is H-J, and A is H-J iff $A[x]$ is.

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k . A ring A is a Hilbert-Jacobson ring if $\sqrt{I} = J(I)$ for any $I \triangleleft A$. Any field is H-J, and A is H-J iff $A[x]$ is.

(See Section 4.5 of Eisenbud.)

Dissection of the Nullstellensatz

- ① (Weak N.) If k is algebraically closed, then the map $\bar{a} \mapsto \{\bar{a}\}^\perp = I(\bar{a}) = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$ is a bijection from k^n onto the set of closed points of $\text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$.

This is an injection for any field k , but a bijection if k is alg.cl.

- ② (Weak N. implies Strong N.) Every closed subset $V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec}(k[\bar{x}])$ is the closure of its subset of closed points.

(Sloganization: “Closed points are dense in $V(I)$ ”.)

Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the intersection of the prime ideals containing I equals the intersection of the maximal ideals containing I . Equivalently, for any $I \triangleleft k[\bar{x}]$, the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical ($\sqrt{I} = J(I)$).

The fact that the nilradical of an ideal equals its Jacobson radical holds for any polynomial ring over field k . A ring A is a Hilbert-Jacobson ring if $\sqrt{I} = J(I)$ for any $I \triangleleft A$. Any field is H-J, and A is H-J iff $A[x]$ is.

(See Section 4.5 of Eisenbud.)