## COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA HOMEWORK 2

CHASE MEADORS, MATEO MURO, TOBY ALDAPE

**Problem** (8). Let M be an R-module over a commutative ring R.

- (a) Show that J(M) consists of the nongenerators of M: i.e.  $m \in J(M)$  if and only if  $M = \langle S \cup \{m\} \rangle$  implies  $M = \langle S \rangle$ .
- (b) Exhibit an example to show that infinitely many elements from J(M) might not be cancellable from a generating set.
- (c) Show that if M is finitely generated and  $P \subseteq J(M)$ , then M = N + P implies M = N. (This means any set of elements of J(M) may be cancelled from a generating set of a finitely generated module.) In particular, show that if  $I \subseteq J(R)$ , M is finitely generated, and M = N + IM, then M = N.

**Claim** (a). For an *R*-module M, J(M) is precisely the set of nongenerators of M.

*Proof.* Recall that J(M) is the intersection of all maximal submodules of M.

Let  $m \in M$  and suppose  $m \notin J(M)$ . For this to be the case, we must have  $J(M) \leq M$  and thus M contains at least one maximal submodule  $N \prec M$ , necessarily with  $m \notin N$ . But this means that  $N \leq \langle N \cup \{m\} \rangle = M$ , thus m is essential in the generating set  $N \cup \{m\}$ , i.e. m is not a nongenerator.

Conversely, if  $m \in M$  is not a nongenerator, then there is some set  $S \subseteq M$  so that  $S \cup \{m\}$  generates M (i.e.,  $\langle S \rangle + \langle m \rangle = M$ ), but  $\langle S \rangle \leq M$ . Note that this implies  $m \notin \langle S \rangle$ , and that  $K = \langle S \rangle \cap \langle m \rangle$  is strictly below  $\langle S \rangle$  and  $\langle m \rangle$ . Consider then the isomorphic intervals  $[K, \langle m \rangle]$  and  $[\langle S \rangle, M]$  in the submodule lattice of M. Since  $\langle m \rangle$  is, in particular, a finitely generated (sub-)module, K is contained in a maximal submodule below  $\langle m \rangle$ :  $K \leq N \prec \langle m \rangle$ . Then by perspective isomorphism, we obtain a maximal submodule N' with  $\langle S \rangle \leq N' \prec M$ , and  $m \notin N'$ . Thus, we have exhibited a maximal submodule not containing m, and  $m \notin J(M)$ .

*Example* (b). We demonstrate a module M where the omittance of infinitely many elements of J(M) from a generating set no longer generates M. Simply consider  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module; Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not finitely generated, and moreover  $\mathbb{Q}$  has no maximal submodules, so  $J(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus any generating set for  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an infinite set of elements of  $J(\mathbb{Q})$ ; removing all of them leaves  $\emptyset$ , which evidently does not generate  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Claim** (c). If M is finitely generated and  $P \leq J(M)$  is a fixed submodule, then N + P = M implies N = M for any submodule N.

*Proof.* Toward the contrapositive, suppose N was an arbitrary *proper* submodule. Then since M is finitely generated, N is contained in a maximal submodule  $N \leq N' \prec M$ . On the other hand,  $P \leq J(M)$  which is contained in all maximal submodules of M, so  $P \leq N'$ . Then,  $N + P \leq N'$  as well.

**Corollary** (c). If M is finitely generated, any set of members of J(M) may be freely removed from a generating set for M.

*Proof.* If S and P are sets with  $P \subseteq J(M)$  such that  $M = \langle S \cup P \rangle$ , then  $\langle P \rangle \leq J(M)$  and  $\langle S \rangle + \langle P \rangle = M$ , so it must be the case that  $\langle S \rangle = M$ .

**Corollary** (c). If M is finitely generated, and  $I \leq J(R)$  is a fixed ideal, then M = N + IM implies N = M for any submodule N.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $IM \leq J(R)M \leq J(M)$ ; to see the second inclusion, take any maximal submodule N and note that M/N is simple; since J(R) annihilates all simple R-modules, we have J(R)(M/N) = 0 and thus  $J(R)M \leq N$ .  $\Box$