2.(Nilradical versus Jacobson radical)

- (a) Show that  $\mathfrak{N}(R \times S) = \mathfrak{N}(R) \times \mathfrak{N}(S)$  and  $J(R \times S) = J(R) \times J(S)$ . Hence, if the nilradical and the Jacobson radical are equal in each coordinate of a product, then they are equal in the product.
- (b) Show the result of part (a) does not hold for infinite products by showing that the nilradical and Jacobson radical are equal in all coordinates of the product  $T = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \cdots$ , but  $\mathfrak{N}(T) \neq J(T)$ .

## Proof.

- (a) Suppose that (r, s) ∈ 𝔅(R×S). Then for some positive integer m, (r, s)<sup>m</sup> = 0. Parsing this out, we get (r, s)<sup>m</sup> = (r<sup>m</sup>, s<sup>m</sup>) = (0<sub>R</sub>, 0<sub>S</sub>), so we have r<sup>m</sup> = 0<sub>R</sub> and s<sup>m</sup> = 0<sub>S</sub>. This means r ∈ 𝔅(R) and s ∈ 𝔅(S), so that (r, s) ∈ 𝔅(R) × 𝔅(S). Now suppose that (r, s) ∈ 𝔅(R) × 𝔅(S). Then for some positive integers m, n, r<sup>m</sup> = 0<sub>R</sub> and s<sup>n</sup> = 0<sub>S</sub>. We have (r, s)<sup>m+n</sup> = (r<sup>m+n</sup>, s<sup>m+n</sup>) = (r<sup>m</sup>r<sup>n</sup>, s<sup>m</sup>s<sup>n</sup>) = (0<sub>R</sub>r<sup>n</sup>, s<sup>m</sup>0<sub>s</sub>) = (0<sub>R</sub>, 0<sub>S</sub>) so that (r, s) ∈ 𝔅(R × S). We conclude that 𝔅(R × S) = 𝔅(R) × 𝔅(S). Suppose (r, s) ∈ J(R×S). That means for any (u, v) ∈ R×S, the element 1-(u, v)(r, s) is invertible. Parsing this out, we get that (1<sub>R</sub>, 1<sub>S</sub>) (u, v)(r, s) = (1<sub>R</sub> ur, 1<sub>S</sub> vs), so we have 1<sub>R</sub> ur is invertible for any u ∈ R and 1<sub>S</sub> vs is invertible for any v ∈ S. This means r ∈ J(R) and s ∈ J(S) so that (r, s) ∈ J(R) × J(S). Now assume we have (r, s) ∈ J(R) × J(S). This means that 1<sub>R</sub> ur is invertible for any u ∈ R and 1<sub>S</sub> vs
  - is invertible for any  $v \in S$ . Then 1 (u, v)(r, s) is invertible for any  $(u, v) \in R \times S$  so that  $(r, s) \in J(R \times S)$ . We conclude that  $J(R \times S) = J(R) \times J(S)$ .
- (b) The claim is that N(Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>) = J(Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>) = (2) for any n ≥ 1. First, 2<sup>n</sup> = 0, so 2 ∈ N(Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>), so (2) = N(Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>) since (2) is maximal and the nilradical is never the whole ring with unity. Secondly, all the ideals of Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub> are of the form 2<sup>m</sup> for some integer 1 ≤ m ≤ n. Then the only maximal ideal is (2<sup>1</sup>) = (2) and (2) = J(Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>). Despite that, we do get that J(T) ≠ N(T). Take the element j = (2, 2, 2, ...). We have that 1 - tj is odd for any t ∈ T. In (Z<sub>2<sup>n</sup></sub>), all odd numbers are invertible. Hence, j ∈ J(T). However, assume by way of contradiction that there exists some positive integer m such that j<sup>m</sup> = 0. But that implies that 2<sup>m</sup> = 0 in Z<sub>2<sup>m+1</sup></sub>. So we have j ∉ N(T) and ultimately J(T) ≠ N(T).