

4. (There is no contravariant analogue of the tensor product)
- (a) Let  $k\text{-Vec}$  denote the category of vector spaces over the field  $k$ . Show that the double dual functor  $V \mapsto V^{**}$  is an additive covariant functor that is not representable.
- (b) A contravariant version of the tensor product, say  $B \boxtimes_R C$ , might be expected to satisfy the property that it represents the composite of the contravariant representable functors  $\text{Hom}_R(-, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ . Show that there is no such general construction for categories of modules.

*Proof.*

- (a) First we will show that the assignment  $(-)^{**} : k\text{-Vec} \rightarrow k\text{-Vec}$  is indeed a covariant functor. Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. Note that  $f^{**} : V^{**} \rightarrow W^{**}$  must take some  $\Phi \in V^{**}$ , hence a  $\bar{\Phi} : V^* \rightarrow k$ , and produce an element  $f^{**}\Phi \in W^{**}$ , that is  $f^{**}\Phi : W^* \rightarrow k$ . Note that if  $w \in W^*$ , that is if  $w : W \rightarrow k$  is a linear map, then  $w \circ f$  is a linear map  $V \rightarrow k$ , hence  $w \circ f \in V^*$ . So, we can define

$$(f^{**}\Phi)(w) = \Phi(w \circ f).$$

To see that this covariant assignment is a functor, we must show preservation of identity morphisms and composition. For the identity morphism  $\text{id}_V : V \rightarrow V$  for some vector space  $V$ , the map  $\text{id}_V^{**} : V^{**} \rightarrow V^{**}$  acts by mapping a  $\bar{\Phi} : V^* \rightarrow k$  to the map  $(\text{id}_V^{**}\bar{\Phi}) : V^* \rightarrow k$ . By the definition given above and the fact that  $w \circ \text{id}_V = w$  for all  $w : V \rightarrow k$ ,

$$(\text{id}_V^{**}\bar{\Phi})(w) = \bar{\Phi}(w \circ \text{id}_V) = \bar{\Phi}(w)$$

so that  $\text{id}_V^{**} = \text{id}_{V^{**}}$ . To show composition, let  $g : U \rightarrow V$  be another linear map for some  $U \in k\text{-Vec}$ . We must show that  $(f \circ g)^{**} = f^{**} \circ g^{**}$ . Let  $\bar{\Phi} \in U^{**}$ ,  $w \in W^*$ . Then

$$((f \circ g)^{**}\bar{\Phi})(w) = \bar{\Phi}(w \circ (f \circ g)).$$

As  $w \circ (f \circ g) = (w \circ f) \circ g$ , we have then that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(w \circ (f \circ g)) &= \Phi((w \circ f) \circ g) \\ &= (g^{**}\Phi)(w \circ f) \\ &= (f^{**}(g^{**}\Phi))(w) \\ &= ((f^{**} \circ g^{**})\Phi)(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that  $(f \circ g)^{**} = f^{**} \circ g^{**}$  so that the double dual is indeed a functor.

To see that this functor is additive, we must show that it preserves finite biproducts. That it preserves the zero object  $0$  (i.e., the nullary biproduct) follows from observing that  $0^* = \text{Hom}(0, k) \cong 0$  as there is only the one unique linear map  $0 \rightarrow k$ , hence  $0^{**} = (0^*)^* \cong 0^* \cong 0$ . For a binary biproduct  $V \oplus W$ , consider

$$(V \oplus W)^{**} = \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V \oplus W, k), k).$$

Since biproduct is in particular a coproduct, we have then that this naturally isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V, k) \times \text{Hom}(W, k), k).$$

But then, the product  $\times$  is again actually the biproduct  $\oplus$ , hence is also a coproduct, so we have a natural isomorphism with

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V, k), k) \times \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(W, k), k) \cong V^{**} \oplus W^{**}.$$

So, the double dual functor is additive.

However, the double dual functor is not representable. If there were some representing object, say some vector space  $A$  such that  $V^{**} \cong \text{Hom}(A, V)$  for all  $V \in k\text{-Vec}$ , then we must have the dimensions are equal, i.e. that  $\dim(V^{**}) = \dim(\text{Hom}(A, V))$  for all  $V$ . But this cannot generally be the case.

To see this, recall that if  $V$  is finite dimensional, then  $V^* \cong V$  and we have  $\dim(V^{**}) = \dim(V)$ . This implies that the representing object  $A$  should have dimension 1, so that  $\dim(\text{Hom}(A, V)) = \dim(A) \dim(V) = \dim(V^{**}) = \dim(V)$ . However, when the dimension of  $V$  is infinite,  $\dim(V^*)$  is strictly greater than  $\dim(V)$ , implying that the representing object should have dimension higher than 1, a contradiction. Hence, no such representing object for the double dual functor can exist.

- (b) Consider the case where  $R = k$  a field and  $B = C = k$  as a  $k$  vector space. Then  $\text{Hom}_k(\text{Hom}_k(-, k), k) = (-)^{**}$ . Hence, if this composite functor were representable we would have a representing object for the double dual, and by part (a) the double dual functor is not representable. Hence, there can be no analogous contravariant tensor product for  $k\text{-Vec}$  and hence no such construction for categories of  $R$  modules in general.