

# COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

## HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT I

Read Chapter 1

### PROBLEMS

All rings are commutative.

1. (Bob Kuo, Michael Levet, Chase Meadors) Let  $k$  be a field. Describe the ideal lattices of

- (a)  $k[x]$ .
- (b)  $k[[x]]$  (= the ring of formal power series over  $k$ ,  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ).
- (c)  $k((x))$  (= the ring of Laurent series over  $k$ ,  $f = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

In each case, specify which ideals are maximal or prime.

2. (Toby Aldape, Ezzeddine El Sai, Howie Jordan) Let  $R$  be an integral domain and let  $K$  be its field of fractions. Show that the following are equivalent.

- (a) For every  $x \in K$ , either  $x \in R$  or  $x^{-1} \in R$ .
- (b) The ideal lattice of  $R$  is a chain.

3. (Connor Meredith, Mateo Muro, Adrian Neff)

- (a) Show that a ring  $R$  is directly decomposable as a ring iff it is directly decomposable when considered as an  $R$ -module.
- (b) Show that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is directly decomposable iff it has an idempotent endomorphism  $\varepsilon: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\ker(\varepsilon) \neq 0 \neq \operatorname{im}(\varepsilon)$ .
- (c) Show that the  $R$ -module endomorphisms of  ${}_R R$  all have the form  $\varepsilon(x) = rx$  for some  $r \in R$ .
- (d) Show that any direct decomposition of  $R$  has the form  $R \cong R/(e) \times R/(1-e)$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ .

4. (Bob Kuo, Michael Levet, Chase Meadors) Show that the ideals of  $R \times S$  are of the form  $I \times J$  where  $I \triangleleft R$  and  $J \triangleleft S$ . Show that the prime (maximal) ideals have the form  $P \times S$  and  $R \times Q$  for prime (maximal) ideals  $P \triangleleft R$  and  $Q \triangleleft S$ .

5. (Toby Aldape, Ezzeddine El Sai, Howie Jordan) Suppose that  $I \triangleleft R$  is a nil ideal (meaning: every element of  $I$  is nilpotent).

- (a) Show that  $a + I$  is a unit in  $R/I$  iff  $a$  is a unit in  $R$ .
- (b) Show that  $a + I$  is idempotent in  $R/I$  iff there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $e + I = a + I$ . (Idempotents can be lifted modulo a nil ideal.) (Hint for “only if”: use the fact that  $[a(1 - a)]^n = 0$  for some  $n$ , then expand  $(a + (1 - a))^{2n}$ .)

6. (Connor Meredith, Mateo Muro, Adrian Neff) Let  $I$  be a minimal nonzero ideal of the commutative ring  $R$ .

- (a) Show that  $(0 : I)$  is a maximal ideal.
- (b) Show that if  $I^2 = I$ , then  $(0 : I)$  is a complement to  $I$  and  $R \cong R/I \times R/(0 : I)$ .

7. (Bob Kuo, Michael Levet, Chase Meadors) A *chain* of ideals is a set of ideals linearly ordered by  $\subseteq$ .

- (a) Show that if  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  is a chain of primes, then  $\bigcup P_i$  and  $\bigcap P_i$  are primes.
- (b) Show that if  $I$  is an ideal contained in a prime ideal  $P$ , then there is a prime ideal  $P'$  such that  $I \subseteq P' \subseteq P$  and  $P'$  is “minimal prime over  $I$ ” (meaning that there is no prime  $P''$  satisfying  $I \subseteq P'' \subsetneq P'$ ).
- (c) Show that if  $I$  is an ideal containing a prime ideal  $P$ , then there is a prime ideal  $P'$  such that  $I \supseteq P' \supseteq P$  and  $P'$  is “maximal prime under  $I$ ”.

8. (Toby Aldape, Ezzeddine El Sai, Howie Jordan) Explain why any commutative ring is a homomorphic image of a subring of a field. Conclude that commutative rings satisfy all positive universal<sup>1</sup> sentences true in all fields. Explain how this shows that (for example) the truth of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for fields implies the truth of this theorem for any commutative ring.

9. (Connor Meredith, Mateo Muro, Adrian Neff) Show that the map  $\text{Idl}(R) \rightarrow L \mapsto I \mapsto \text{nil}(I)$  from the lattice of ideals of  $R$  to the lattice of semiprime ideals is a homomorphism with respect to binary  $\wedge$  and infinitary  $\bigvee$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>A sentence, “ $Q_1x_1 \cdots Q_nx_n(\text{quantifier-free part})$ ”, in an algebraic language, where the  $Q$ ’s are quantifiers, is *positive* if the quantifier-free part is built up from equations using only “and” and “or” and is *universal* if the quantifiers are all universal quantifiers ( $\forall$ ).