

Practice with Cauchy Sequences!

This handout concerns

Theorem 1. *If $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, then it is a Cauchy sequence.*

- (1) Rewrite the theorem in the form

Theorem 2. *A implies B .*

Where A and B are formal sentences.

$A =$

$B =$

- (2) Give a winning strategy for the appropriate quantifier for the proof of the theorem in Problem 1. (Hint: you are proving the Conclusion B , but you are allowed to reference Hypothesis A in the proof.)

- (3) Prove that your strategy in Problem 2 is a winning strategy.

- (4) Rewrite your proof of the theorem in a way that does not explicitly refer to \forall belard or \exists loise.

Some Hints!

- (1) $A = (\exists L)(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N)(\forall i)((i > N) \rightarrow (|a_i - L| < \varepsilon)),$
 $B = (\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N)(\forall i)(\forall j)((j > i > N) \rightarrow (|a_i - a_j| < \varepsilon))$

- (2) The appropriate quantifier is \exists .

Strategy:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| • \forall chooses ε | May assume $\varepsilon > 0$ |
| • \exists chooses N | HOW? |
| • \forall chooses i | May assume $i > N$ |
| • \forall chooses j | May assume $j > i$ |

To explain HOW \exists chooses N , she plays Game A against her earlier self assuming the role of \forall , thereby forcing her earlier self to reveal her Game- A strategy.

So, assume that Present Day- \forall chooses $\varepsilon > 0$ in Game B . Present Day- \exists takes this value of ε back to the past and challenges Earlier- \exists to Game A . Earlier- \exists chooses L in Game A . Present Day- \exists , pretending to be Earlier- \forall , chooses the Game- A value of ε to be ONE HALF the Game- B value of ε (that is, $\varepsilon/2$). Earlier- \exists chooses N which can force a win in Game A . Present Day- \exists takes this value for N back to the present day and chooses it for the value of N in Game B .

- (3) Why is this Game- B strategy a winning strategy?

The strategy guarantees that if $j > i > N$ in Game B , then $|a_i - L| < \varepsilon/2$ and $|a_j - L| < \varepsilon/2$ in Game A . Thus

$$|a_i - a_j| \leq |a_i - L| + |L - a_j| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$

in Game B , as desired.