

Rings, Frames, Topological Spaces, Spectra

Commutative Algebra

Sep 9 & 11, 2009

The radical relation

Let R be a commutative ring and let $L = \text{Ideal}(R)$ be its ideal lattice. Define an equivalence relation ρ on L by

$$I \equiv J \pmod{\rho} \iff \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}.$$

Facts.

- ρ is compatible with finite meet and arbitrary join. Hence ρ -classes are convex intervals with a top element (= ‘teardrop’ shape) with the radical ideals being the top elements of each class.
- Therefore the natural map $L \rightarrow L/\rho$ is a homomorphism with respect to finite meet and complete join and the quotient lattice L/ρ is a complete lattice.
- L/ρ satisfies the complete distributive law:

$$x \cap \left(\sum y_i \right) = \sum x \cap y_i,$$

making it a *completely distributive lattice*.

There are different types of morphisms naturally definable for completely distributive lattices, and depending on the choice of morphism one may call these objects ‘frames’, ‘locales’, or ‘complete Heyting algebras’.

One uses the name ‘frame’ when one takes as the morphisms the functions $\varphi: L \rightarrow K$ that preserve finite meet and arbitrary join.

Frames encode topological spaces I:

Topological spaces yield frames

If $\langle X; \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a topological space, then the lattice of open sets under inclusion $\langle \mathcal{T}; \bigcup, \bigcap \rangle$ is a frame. The lattice of open sets of a topological space is complete: infinitary join is equal to ‘set-theoretic union’, finitary meet is equal to ‘set-theoretic intersection’, and infinitary meet is equal to ‘interior of set-theoretic intersection’.

Frames encode topological spaces II:

Frames yield topological spaces

If one thinks about how to recover a space from its frame one is led to the following definitions. (Explanation given in class.)

Defns. Let $L = \langle L; \sum, \cap \rangle$ be a frame.

Frames encode topological spaces II:

Frames yield topological spaces

If one thinks about how to recover a space from its frame one is led to the following definitions. (Explanation given in class.)

Defns. Let $L = \langle L; \sum, \cap \rangle$ be a frame.

- A **point** of L is a meet-irreducible element. (That is, an element $p \in L$ such that $a \cap b = p$ implies $a = p$ or $b = p$.) Let P be the set of points of L .

Frames encode topological spaces II:

Frames yield topological spaces

If one thinks about how to recover a space from its frame one is led to the following definitions. (Explanation given in class.)

Defns. Let $L = \langle L; \sum, \cap \rangle$ be a frame.

- A **point** of L is a meet-irreducible element. (That is, an element $p \in L$ such that $a \cap b = p$ implies $a = p$ or $b = p$.) Let P be the set of points of L .
- For each $a \in L$, let $V(a) = \{p \in P \mid p \geq a\}$ and let $D(a) = P \setminus V(a)$.

Frames encode topological spaces II:

Frames yield topological spaces

If one thinks about how to recover a space from its frame one is led to the following definitions. (Explanation given in class.)

Defns. Let $L = \langle L; \sum, \cap \rangle$ be a frame.

- A **point** of L is a meet-irreducible element. (That is, an element $p \in L$ such that $a \cap b = p$ implies $a = p$ or $b = p$.) Let P be the set of points of L .
- For each $a \in L$, let $V(a) = \{p \in P \mid p \geq a\}$ and let $D(a) = P \setminus V(a)$.
- The **spectrum** of L is $\text{Spec}(L) = \langle P; \{D(a) \mid a \in L\} \rangle$

Frames encode topological spaces II:

Frames yield topological spaces

If one thinks about how to recover a space from its frame one is led to the following definitions. (Explanation given in class.)

Defns. Let $L = \langle L; \sum, \cap \rangle$ be a frame.

- A **point** of L is a meet-irreducible element. (That is, an element $p \in L$ such that $a \cap b = p$ implies $a = p$ or $b = p$.) Let P be the set of points of L .
- For each $a \in L$, let $V(a) = \{p \in P \mid p \geq a\}$ and let $D(a) = P \setminus V(a)$.
- The **spectrum** of L is $\text{Spec}(L) = \langle P; \{D(a) \mid a \in L\} \rangle$

Of course, it must be proved that $\text{Spec}(L)$ is a topological space (i.e., the set of sets of the form $D(a)$ is closed under finite intersection and arbitrary union). This is usually done by showing that $V(a) \cup V(b) = V(a \cap b)$ and $\bigcap V(a_i) = V(\sum a_i)$. (The first follows from the distributivity of L and the meet-irreducibility of each point, while the second is trivial.)

Frames encode topological spaces III: How exact is the correspondence?

Given a space X we may construct its frame $L(X)$ from which we may construct a space $\text{Spec}(L(X))$. There is a natural function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ which maps a point $x \in X$ to the point $p = X \setminus \text{cl}(x) \in L$. φ is continuous. If φ is surjective, then it is a closed mapping, hence φ is a homeomorphism iff it is a bijection.

Frames encode topological spaces III:

How exact is the correspondence?

Given a space X we may construct its frame $L(X)$ from which we may construct a space $\text{Spec}(L(X))$. There is a natural function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ which maps a point $x \in X$ to the point $p = X \setminus \text{cl}(x) \in L$. φ is continuous. If φ is surjective, then it is a closed mapping, hence φ is a homeomorphism iff it is a bijection.

- **Injectivity of φ :** The function $x \mapsto X \setminus \text{cl}(x)$ is injective iff distinct points have distinct closures, i.e. X is a T_0 space.

Frames encode topological spaces III:

How exact is the correspondence?

Given a space X we may construct its frame $L(X)$ from which we may construct a space $\text{Spec}(L(X))$. There is a natural function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ which maps a point $x \in X$ to the point $p = X \setminus \text{cl}(x) \in L$. φ is continuous. If φ is surjective, then it is a closed mapping, hence φ is a homeomorphism iff it is a bijection.

- **Injectivity of φ :** The function $x \mapsto X \setminus \text{cl}(x)$ is injective iff distinct points have distinct closures, i.e. X is a T_0 space.
- **Surjectivity of φ :** φ is surjective iff each meet-irreducible open set has the form $X \setminus \text{cl}(x)$ for some $x \in X$, equivalently every join-irreducible closed set is the closure of a point.

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.
- A space X is *sober* if every join-irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.
- A space X is *sober* if every join-irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Thm. The natural map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ is a homeomorphism iff X is sober.

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.
- A space X is *sober* if every join-irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Thm. The natural map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ is a homeomorphism iff X is sober.

Defn.

- A frame L is *spatial* if whenever $x \not\leq y$ there is meet-irreducible $p \in L$ such that $p \geq y$ and $p \not\geq x$. (Equivalently, every element is a meet of meet-irreducibles.)

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.
- A space X is *sober* if every join-irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Thm. The natural map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ is a homeomorphism iff X is sober.

Defn.

- A frame L is *spatial* if whenever $x \not\leq y$ there is meet-irreducible $p \in L$ such that $p \geq y$ and $p \not\geq x$. (Equivalently, every element is a meet of meet-irreducibles.)

Thm. Let L be a frame and let $F(\text{Spec}(L))$ be the frame of its spectrum. There is a natural function $\psi: L \rightarrow F(\text{Spec}(L)): a \mapsto D(a)$, which is an isomorphism iff L is spatial.

Sober spaces, spatial frames

Defns.

- A point x of a space X is a *generic point* for a closed set $C \subseteq X$ if $C = \text{cl}(x)$.
- A space X is *sober* if every join-irreducible closed set has a unique generic point.

Thm. The natural map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L(X))$ is a homeomorphism iff X is sober.

Defn.

- A frame L is *spatial* if whenever $x \not\leq y$ there is meet-irreducible $p \in L$ such that $p \geq y$ and $p \not\geq x$. (Equivalently, every element is a meet of meet-irreducibles.)

Thm. Let L be a frame and let $F(\text{Spec}(L))$ be the frame of its spectrum. There is a natural function $\psi: L \rightarrow F(\text{Spec}(L)): a \mapsto D(a)$, which is an isomorphism iff L is spatial.

Thm. The category of spatial frames with frame homomorphisms is dually equivalent to the category of sober spaces with continuous maps.

Back to commutative rings

We have a sequence of constructions:

$$R \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R)/\rho \rightsquigarrow \text{Spec}(\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho)$$

which produces a topological space $\text{Spec}(R)$. We can omit the intermediate steps by observing:

Back to commutative rings

We have a sequence of constructions:

$$R \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R)/\rho \rightsquigarrow \text{Spec}(\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho)$$

which produces a topological space $\text{Spec}(R)$. We can omit the intermediate steps by observing:

- The meet-irreducibles of $\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the meet-irreducible radical ideals of $\text{Ideal}(R)$.

Back to commutative rings

We have a sequence of constructions:

$$R \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R)/\rho \rightsquigarrow \text{Spec}(\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho)$$

which produces a topological space $\text{Spec}(R)$. We can omit the intermediate steps by observing:

- The meet-irreducibles of $\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the meet-irreducible radical ideals of $\text{Ideal}(R)$.
- The meet-irreducible radical ideals of R are exactly the prime ideals.

Back to commutative rings

We have a sequence of constructions:

$$R \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R)/\rho \rightsquigarrow \text{Spec}(\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho)$$

which produces a topological space $\text{Spec}(R)$. We can omit the intermediate steps by observing:

- The meet-irreducibles of $\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the meet-irreducible radical ideals of $\text{Ideal}(R)$.
- The meet-irreducible radical ideals of R are exactly the prime ideals.
- Thus $\text{Spec}(R)$ can be constructed on the set of points $P = \{p \in \text{Ideal}(R) \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$ using the topology $\{D(a) \mid a \in \text{Ideal}(R)\}$ where $D(a) = P - V(a)$ and $V(a) = \{b \in P \mid a \leq b\} = V(\sqrt{a})$.

Back to commutative rings

We have a sequence of constructions:

$$R \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R) \rightsquigarrow \text{Ideal}(R)/\rho \rightsquigarrow \text{Spec}(\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho)$$

which produces a topological space $\text{Spec}(R)$. We can omit the intermediate steps by observing:

- The meet-irreducibles of $\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the meet-irreducible radical ideals of $\text{Ideal}(R)$.
- The meet-irreducible radical ideals of R are exactly the prime ideals.
- Thus $\text{Spec}(R)$ can be constructed on the set of points $P = \{p \in \text{Ideal}(R) \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$ using the topology $\{D(a) \mid a \in \text{Ideal}(R)\}$ where $D(a) = P - V(a)$ and $V(a) = \{b \in P \mid a \leq b\} = V(\sqrt{a})$.

The facts that (i) all closed sets have the form $V(\sqrt{a})$ and (ii) radical ideals are intersections of the primes that lie above them imply that the frame $\text{Ideal}(R)/\rho$ is spatial. $\text{Spec}(R)$ is sober by construction.

Hochster's Theorem

Thm. A topological space is homeomorphic to $\text{Spec}(R)$ (R commutative) iff it is compact and sober.

Hochster's Theorem

Thm. A topological space is homeomorphic to $\text{Spec}(R)$ (R commutative) iff it is compact and sober.

Proof: (Of the easy direction: $\text{Spec}(R)$ is compact.)

Recall: A space is compact iff every open cover has a finite subcover iff the intersection of any set of closed sets having the finite intersection property (FIP) is nonempty.

Hochster's Theorem

Thm. A topological space is homeomorphic to $\text{Spec}(R)$ (R commutative) iff it is compact and sober.

Proof: (Of the easy direction: $\text{Spec}(R)$ is compact.)

Recall: A space is compact iff every open cover has a finite subcover iff the intersection of any set of closed sets having the finite intersection property (FIP) is nonempty.

A system of closed sets $\{V(a_i) \mid i \in I, a_i \in \text{Ideal}(R)\}$ has the FIP iff the set $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ has the property that any finite subset generates a proper ideal. One must show that any such set of ideals generates a proper ideal. By contradiction: if $1 \in \langle \{a_i \mid i \in I\} \rangle$, then there would be a finite subset $I_0 \subseteq I$ such that $1 \in \langle \{a_i \mid i \in I_0\} \rangle$.

Some interesting facts about $\text{Spec}(R)$

Thm. R is directly decomposable iff R has complementary ideals $a, b \triangleleft R$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ has complementary closed sets $V(a)$ and $V(b)$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ is disconnected. Moreover, $\text{Spec}(R \times S)$ is (homeomorphic to) the disjoint union of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Some interesting facts about $\text{Spec}(R)$

Thm. R is directly decomposable iff R has complementary ideals $a, b \triangleleft R$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ has complementary closed sets $V(a)$ and $V(b)$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ is disconnected. Moreover, $\text{Spec}(R \times S)$ is (homeomorphic to) the disjoint union of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Thm. If $a \triangleleft R$, then $\text{Spec}(R/a)$ is homeomorphic to the closed subspace $V(a)$.

Some interesting facts about $\text{Spec}(R)$

Thm. R is directly decomposable iff R has complementary ideals $a, b \triangleleft R$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ has complementary closed sets $V(a)$ and $V(b)$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ is disconnected. Moreover, $\text{Spec}(R \times S)$ is (homeomorphic to) the disjoint union of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Thm. If $a \triangleleft R$, then $\text{Spec}(R/a)$ is homeomorphic to the closed subspace $V(a)$.

Thm. If R is Noetherian, then every closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a union of finitely many irreducible closed sets.

Some interesting facts about $\text{Spec}(R)$

Thm. R is directly decomposable iff R has complementary ideals $a, b \triangleleft R$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ has complementary closed sets $V(a)$ and $V(b)$ iff $\text{Spec}(R)$ is disconnected. Moreover, $\text{Spec}(R \times S)$ is (homeomorphic to) the disjoint union of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Thm. If $a \triangleleft R$, then $\text{Spec}(R/a)$ is homeomorphic to the closed subspace $V(a)$.

Thm. If R is Noetherian, then every closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a union of finitely many irreducible closed sets.

Exercise. Describe $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x])$.