

Math 6150, Assignment 5, Problem 5

Adam Lizzi and Andrew Moorhead

October 22, 2009

#5. Show in some sense that the weak form of Nakayama's lemma is equivalent to the statement (†) "If R is a local ring and M and N are finitely generated R -modules, then $M \otimes_R N = 0$ implies $M = 0$ or $N = 0$."

Proof. We first show that (†) implies Nakayama's lemma. Let R be any commutative ring. Assume I is an ideal contained in $\text{rad}(R)$ and let M be a finitely generated R -module that satisfies $M = IM$. We have to show $M = 0$. Begin with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow IM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow 0.$$

We then localize along a to-be-specified set S . Localization is exact, so the resulting sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (IM)_S \rightarrow M_S \rightarrow (M/IM)_S \rightarrow 0$$

is exact as well. We now have R_S -modules in the picture. We need tensor products of them if we want to apply (†). So we'll tensor this sequence with a well-chosen R_S -module. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R_S and let $\mathbb{F} = R_S/\mathfrak{m}R_S$ denote the residue field. \mathbb{F} is a simple R_S -module. Apply the functor $(\cdot) \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F}$ to our sequence. The resulting sequence will be right exact. Specifically, it looks like

$$(IM)_S \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow M_S \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow (M/IM)_S \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now apply what we know about these modules. Since $M = IM$, the quotient M/IM is trivial, so the third term of this sequence is trivial. We claim the first term in this sequence is also trivial if S is chosen judiciously. Suppose S is the complement of a prime containing I , so that elements of I aren't inverted when passing to the localization R_S . As nonunits, elements of I must reside in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R_S . Since R_S is local, its maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is its Jacobson radical. The Jacobson radical of R_S consists of elements that annihilate all simple R_S -modules; in particular we see that I will annihilate \mathbb{F} . So given a tensor $\frac{im}{s} \otimes f \in (IM)_S \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F}$, we may bring i to the other side (considering $i = \frac{i}{1}$ as a scalar in R_S):

$$\frac{im}{s} \otimes f = \frac{m}{s} \otimes if = \frac{m}{s} \otimes 0 = 0,$$

since i annihilates f . This transfer of i does not cause the first factor to leave $(IM)_S$, as $M = IM$ we know that $\frac{m}{s}$ is an element of $(IM)_S$ in disguise. The centered equation shows that every tensor is zero and the module is zero. Now $M_S \otimes_{R_S} \mathbb{F}$ is sandwiched in an exact sequence between two trivial modules; it must be trivial as well. Apply (†); it says either $M_S = 0$ or $\mathbb{F} = 0$. The latter is absurd, so we conclude $M_S = 0$, and therefore $M = 0$ as desired. We only need to know that there is a prime containing I , and since I is by hypothesis a proper ideal, these exist (in fact, we know there are even minimal ones).

For the other direction, suppose that neither $N = 0$ or $M = 0$. We will show that for a local ring R , $M \otimes_R N$ is non-trivial. If both M and N are non-trivial, then the contrapositive of Nakayama's Lemma implies that both $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$ and $\mathfrak{m}N \neq N$. Therefore both $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ and $N/\mathfrak{m}N$ are non-trivial, and by ca3p6(a), are both vector spaces over R/\mathfrak{m} . Therefore, $M/\mathfrak{m}M \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} N/\mathfrak{m}N$ is a nontrivial R/\mathfrak{m} vector space. We may also consider $M/\mathfrak{m}M \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} N/\mathfrak{m}N$ as an R -module, where action by an element of R is given by the action of its coset in R/\mathfrak{m} . Therefore we need only exhibit a non-trivial R -module homomorphism

$$h : M \otimes_R N \longrightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} N/\mathfrak{m}N$$

to show that $M \otimes_R N$ is non-trivial. This is the same as showing that the map

$$f : M \times N \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} N/\mathfrak{m}N \text{ given by } f(m, n) = (m + \mathfrak{m}M) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} (n + \mathfrak{m}N)$$

is an R -bilinear map. f is obviously linear in each coordinate. Furthermore, f respects the tensor product, as

$$\begin{aligned} f(rm, n) &= (rm + \mathfrak{m}M) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} (n + \mathfrak{m}N) \\ &= ((r + \mathfrak{m})m + \mathfrak{m}M) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} (n + \mathfrak{m}N) \\ &= (m + \mathfrak{m}M) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} ((r + \mathfrak{m})n + \mathfrak{m}N) \\ &= (m + \mathfrak{m}M) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} (rn + \mathfrak{m}N). \end{aligned}$$

f is clearly non-trivial, therefore it extends to a non-trivial R -module homomorphism. Therefore, $M \otimes_R N$ is non-trivial, as desired.

□