

# COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA HOMEWORK V

JOHN BATCHELDER, JUSTIN KELLER

## Problem 4

Let  $L, N \leq M$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $U$  be the set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  for which  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds. Show that  $U$  is an intersection of open sets in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Show conversely that if  $V$  is any intersection of open sets in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $V$  is exactly the set of primes for which  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds for some submodules  $L, N$  of some module  $M$ .

**Solution** Let  $L, N \leq M$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $U$  be the set of primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  for which  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds. We wish to show that  $U$  is an intersection of open sets, but it is equivalent to show that

$$U^C = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } L_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$$

is a union of closed sets. To this end we show that if  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^C$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  then  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^C$ . Recall that for an  $R$ -module  $M$  and prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$  we define  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be the  $R$ -module of equivalence classes with representatives  $m/s$  where  $m \in M$  and  $s$  is an element of the multiplicatively closed set  $S(\mathfrak{p}) = R - \mathfrak{p}$ . The equivalence relation is given by  $m/s \sim m'/s'$  if there exists  $t \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  such that  $t(ms' - m's) = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^C$  and suppose  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then by the definition of  $U^C$  we have  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so there is an element of  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  that is not in  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . That is, there exists  $\ell \in L$ ,  $s \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  such that if  $n \in N$  and  $t, s' \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  we have

$$t(\ell s' - ns) \neq 0. \quad (*)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since we do not consider  $R$  to be prime,  $S(\mathfrak{q})$  is nonempty. Let  $x \in S(\mathfrak{q})$ . We claim that  $\ell/x \in L_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is not equal to any element of  $N_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Suppose not. Then there exists  $n \in N$  and  $t, s' \in S(\mathfrak{q})$  such that

$$t(\ell s' - nx) = 0.$$

Since  $S(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{p})$  are multiplicatively closed sets we have  $t, xs, s' \in S(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then in  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  we have  $\ell s/xs = \ell/x = n/s'$ , that is there exists  $t' \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  such that

$$t'(\ell(ss') - (nx)s) = 0.$$

But  $ss' \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $nx \in N$ , contradicting (\*). It follows that  $L_{\mathfrak{q}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{q} \in U^C$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}$  was an arbitrary prime above  $\mathfrak{p}$  it follows that the collection

$$F_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{q} \text{ is a prime above } \mathfrak{p}\}$$

is a subset of  $U^C$  whenever  $\mathfrak{p} \in U^C$ . Furthermore,  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is closed, by the definition of the topology on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . It is now clear that

$$U^C = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in U^C} F_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

and hence that  $U^C$  is a union of closed sets. Then  $U$  is an intersection of open sets as required.

We now wish to show that if  $V$  is any intersection of open sets in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , then  $V$  is exactly the set of primes for which  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  holds for some submodules  $L, N$  of some submodule  $M$ . It is equivalent to show that  $V^C$  is precisely the set of primes for which  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $V$  is an intersection of open sets,  $V^C$  is a union of closed sets. From the definition of the topology on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , we have

$$V^C = \bigcup_{\beta} V(E_{\beta})$$

where the sets  $E_{\beta}$  are subsets of  $R$  and

$$V(E_{\beta}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and contains } E_{\beta}\}.$$

But if a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains the subset  $E_{\beta}$ , it contains the ideal  $I_{\beta} = (E_{\beta})$  so we have

$$V^C = \bigcup_{\beta} V(I_{\beta}).$$

It has been shown previously [see ca2p5] that if the ideal  $I$  is contained in the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  then there is a minimal prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then for every prime in  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $V^C$  and every  $\beta$  there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $I_{\beta} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then WOLG we may express the above union as

$$V^C = \bigcup_{\alpha} V(\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha})$$

where  $\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha}$  is prime for all  $\alpha$ .

Let  $M$  be the free  $R$ -module with free basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ . That is, each element of  $M$  has the form  $\sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$  where only a finite number of  $r_{\alpha} \in R$  are nonzero. Take  $N \leq M$  to be the collection of all elements in  $M$  of the form  $\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$  where  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{q}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ . We will show that

$$V^C = \bigcup_{\alpha} V(\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$$

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda})$  for some  $\lambda$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider  $1 \cdot e_{\lambda} \in M - N$ . Since  $1 \in S(\mathfrak{p}) = R - \mathfrak{p}$ , we have that  $(1 \cdot e_{\lambda})/1 \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and claim that  $(1 \cdot e_{\lambda})/1 \notin N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Suppose to the contrary. Then  $(1 \cdot e_{\lambda})/1 = n/s$  for some  $n \in N$  and some  $s \in S(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then there exists  $t \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  such that

$$t(se_{\lambda} - n) = 0.$$

Hence,  $tse_{\lambda} = tn \in N$ . Then we must have  $ts \in \mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}$  by the definition of  $N$ . But  $t, s \in S(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $S(\mathfrak{p})$  is multiplicatively closed, so  $ts \in S(\mathfrak{p}) = R - \mathfrak{p} \subseteq R - \mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}$ . That is,  $ts \notin \mathfrak{q}_{\lambda}$ , a contradiction. Thus our supposition was false and we have  $e_{\lambda}/1 \notin N$ , which implies  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence, we have  $V^C \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ .

On the other hand suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}_{\alpha} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . For each  $\alpha$ , choose  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{q}_{\alpha} - \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}_{\alpha} \cap S(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then we have  $(1 \cdot e_{\alpha})/1 = (a_{\alpha} \cdot e_{\alpha})/a_{\alpha} \in N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is generated as an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  module by the elements  $(1 \cdot e_{\alpha})/1$ , it follows that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence we have  $V^C \supseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ . Therefore

$$V^C = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \not\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\},$$

and

$$V = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime and } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

The result follows. □