

Problem 1 (Li, Selker, Stanton). *Here we consider $\text{Spec}(R)$ as a topological space (the primes equipped with the Zariski topology), and as an ordered set (the primes equipped with the inclusion order).*

- (a) *The inclusion order on the prime ideals can be recovered from the topology of $\text{Spec}(R)$.*
- (b) *Conversely, if R is a Noetherian ring, then the topology of $\text{Spec}(R)$ can be determined from the inclusion order on the prime ideals.*
- (c) *If R is not Noetherian, then the topology of $\text{Spec}(R)$ may not be recoverable from the inclusion order on the primes.*

Proof. (a) Note that for prime ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$, we have $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ iff $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathfrak{a})$, so the inclusion order can always be obtained from the topology.

- (b) Let $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ be the inclusion ordering of the primes. If $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, the closure of \mathfrak{a} is defined by $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. Define $F = \{\text{cl}(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}$, and let \overline{F} be the closure of F under finite unions. Then \overline{F} is a collection of closed sets in $\text{Spec}(R)$. We claim that if R is Noetherian, every closed set of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a member of \overline{F} . To see this, let C be a closed set of $\text{Spec}(R)$. By definition of the topology, there is some $\mathfrak{a} \triangleleft R$ such that $C = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. By (the proof of) problem 5 on assignment 2, if \mathfrak{p} is a prime containing \mathfrak{a} , then there is a prime $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ contained in \mathfrak{p} that is minimal among primes containing \mathfrak{a} . Thus we may restrict our attention to these minimal primes, i.e.

$$C = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}} \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R) : \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}} \text{cl}(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

By problem 6 on assignment 2, if R is Noetherian this is a finite union, thus the closed sets C are exactly the finitely generated order filters in $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$, so they are all contained in \overline{F} as claimed.

- (c) We give an example to show that the topology of $\text{Spec}(R)$ may not be recoverable from the inclusion ordering of $\text{Spec}(R)$ in case R is not Noetherian. Consider the ring $R := \prod_{i \in \omega} \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus, we may identify elements of R with functions $f : \omega \rightarrow 2$. Note that $f^2 = f$ for all $f \in R$. Thus for any $f \in R$ we have $f(1 - f) = f - f^2 = f - f = 0$. Thus if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, then $f(1 - f) = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$, so either f or $(1 - f)$ is in \mathfrak{p} . Thus each prime has index 2 and is therefore maximal. Thus the ordering on $\text{Spec}(R)$ is the trivial partial-order where $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$. Note that there are primes that arise as kernels of projections π onto single coordinates, yielding by the F.I.T. $R \cong \ker(\pi) \times \mathbb{F}_2$. By exercise 22 on page 13 of the text, this yields a clopen singleton $\{\ker(\pi)\}$. Now if $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ were to generate the topology on $\text{Spec}(R)$ then any order-automorphism would merely permute the closed sets, and hence would be a homeomorphism of the space $\text{Spec}(R)$. As the ordering is an antichain we can map points anywhere, so every singleton would likewise be clopen. This would imply that $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an infinite

discrete space, contradicting compactness of the space $\text{Spec}(R)$. Thus the topology can not be recovered from the inclusion ordering.

□