Exercise 11.2.2

Introduction to Discrete Mathematics MATH 2001

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA

ABSTRACT. This is Exercise 11.2.2 from Hammack [Ham13, §11.2]:

Exercise 11.2.2. Consider the relation $R = \{(a, b), (a, c), (c, c), (b, b), (c, b), (b, c)\}$ on the set $A = \{a, b, c\}$. Is *R* reflexive? Symmetric? Transitive? If a property does not hold, say why.

Solution. The relation *R* is not reflexive, as $(a, a) \notin R$. The relation is not symmetric, as $(a, b) \in R$ but $(b, a) \notin R$.

The relation *R* is transitive. We need to consider every pair (x, y) and (y, z) in *R* and check that (x, z) is in *R*.

We start with (a, b). Then we have (b, b) and (b, c) to check. In other words, we have (a, b) and

(b, b) in R, and so we must check that (a, b) is in R, which is the case. Similarly, we have (a, b) and

(b, c) in *R*, so we must check that (a, c) is in *R*, which is the case.

Next we look at (a, c). Then we have to check (c, c) and (c, b). We see that this is OK, since (a, c) and (a, b) are in *R*.

Next we look at (c,c). Then we have to check (c,c) and (c,b). We see this is OK as (c,c) and (c,b) are in *R*.

Next we look at (b, b). Then we have to check (b, b) and (b, c). We see this is OK as (b, b) and (b, c) are in *R*.

Next we look at (c, b). Then we have to check (b, b) and (b, c). We see that this is OK as (c, b) and (c, c) are in *R*.

Last we look at (b, c). Then we have to check (c, c) and (c, b). We see that this is OK as (b, c) and (b, b) are in *R*.

Date: November 23, 2024.

References

[Ham13] Richard Hammack, Book of proof, Creative Commons, 2013.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS BOX 395, BOULDER, CO 80309

Email address: casa@math.colorado.edu