

### Exercise 6.3

## Introduction to Discrete Mathematics MATH 2001

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA

ABSTRACT. This is Exercise 6.3 from Hammack [Ham13, Ch. 6]:

**Exercise 6.3.** Use the method of proof by contradiction to prove the following statement: *The real number  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is irrational.*

*Solution.* Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  were rational. Then, by definition, there would exist integers  $a$  and  $b$  with  $b \neq 0$  such that  $\sqrt[3]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ . Cubing both sides of this equation, we would arrive at the equation  $2 = \frac{a^3}{b^3}$ , and then multiplying both sides of the equation by  $b^3$ , we would have

$$2b^3 = a^3.$$

Considering unique factorizations of the integers  $a$  and  $b$ , we see that the number of powers of 2 in the prime factorization of the right hand side of the equation, i.e.,  $a^3$ , is divisible by 3, while the number of powers of 2 in the prime factorization of the left hand side of the equation, i.e.,  $2b^3$ , is not divisible by 3, giving a contradiction.

Therefore, the assumption that  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  was rational was false, and we have proven that  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is not rational. □

*Remark 0.1.* In an earlier homework problem we worked with unique factorizations of integers into primes. For convenience, we recall in more detail how this is used in the solution above. We have that  $a$  has a unique factorization of the form  $a = (-1)^{r_{-1}} 2^{r_2} 3^{r_3} 5^{r_5} 7^{r_7} \dots$ , where  $r_{-1} \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $r_2, r_3, r_5, r_7, \dots$  are non-negative integers, with all but finitely many equal to zero. Similarly, we have that  $b = (-1)^{s_{-1}} 2^{s_2} 3^{s_3} 5^{s_5} 7^{s_7} \dots$ , where  $s_{-1} \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $s_2, s_3, s_5, s_7, \dots$  are non-negative integers, with all but finitely many equal to zero. Then we have  $a^3 = (-1)^{r_{-1}} 2^{3r_2} 3^{3r_3} 5^{3r_5} 7^{3r_7} \dots$ , and  $2b^3 = (-1)^{s_{-1}} 2^{3s_2+1} 3^{3s_3} 5^{3s_5} 7^{3s_7} \dots$ . Since  $a^3 = 2b^3$ , then, by unique factorization, we must have that  $3r_2 = 3s_2 + 1$ ; but this is impossible, since  $3r_2$  is divisible by 3, whereas  $3s_2 + 1$  is not.

*Date:* February 27, 2022.

REFERENCES

[Ham13] Richard Hammack, *Book of proof*, Creative Commons, 2013.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS BOX 395, BOULDER, CO 80309

*Email address:* casa@math.colorado.edu