

Representations of C^* -correspondences on pairs of Hilbert spaces and L^p correspondences

Alonso Delfín

University of Oregon

January 6, 2023

AMS Special Session on Advances in Operator Algebras

Outline

- 1 Review of Hilbert Modules
- 2 Representations of C^* -correspondences
- 3 L^p -correspondences

Outline

- 1 Review of Hilbert Modules
- 2 Representations of C^* -correspondences
- 3 L^p -correspondences

Hilbert Modules

Let A be a C^* -algebra. Roughly speaking, a Hilbert A -module is a Hilbert space with scalars and values of the scalar product in A instead of in \mathbb{C} .

Definition

A **(right) Hilbert A -module** is a complex vector space X which is a (right) A -module with an A -valued (right) inner product

$$\begin{aligned} X \times X &\rightarrow A \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \langle x, y \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

such that X is complete with the norm

$$\|x\| := \|\langle x, x \rangle_A\|^{1/2}.$$

Adjointable maps

Definition

Let X and Y be Hilbert A -modules. A map $t : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be **adjointable** if there is a map $s : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for any $x \in X$, and $y \in Y$

$$\langle t(x), y \rangle_A = \langle x, s(y) \rangle_A.$$

The map s is necessarily unique and henceforth denoted by t^* . We write $\mathcal{L}_A(X, Y)$ for the space of adjointable maps from X to Y and $\mathcal{L}_A(X) := \mathcal{L}_A(X, X)$.

Fact: $\mathcal{L}_A(X)$ is a C^* -algebra when equipped with the operator norm.

Fact: Not every bounded linear map between Hilbert modules is adjointable.

Compact-module maps

Definition

Let X and Y be Hilbert A -modules. For $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, we define a map $\theta_{x,y} : Y \rightarrow X$ by

$$\theta_{x,y}(z) := x\langle y, z \rangle_A \quad \forall z \in Y$$

Then, we define the set of **compact-module maps** by

$$\mathcal{K}_A(Y, X) := \overline{\text{span}\{\theta_{x,y} : x \in X, y \in Y\}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A(Y, X).$$

Fact: $\mathcal{K}_A(X) := \mathcal{K}_A(X, X)$ is also a C^* -algebra, for it's a closed two sided ideal in $\mathcal{L}_A(X)$.

Fact: In general, elements in $\mathcal{K}_A(Y, X)$ need not to be in $\mathcal{K}(Y, X)$.

Outline

- 1 Review of Hilbert Modules
- 2 Representations of C^* -correspondences
- 3 L^p -correspondences

C^* -correspondences

Definition

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. An (A, B) C^* -correspondence is a pair (X, φ) where X is a right Hilbert B -module and $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(X)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

$${}_A X_B$$

$$\langle \varphi(a)x, y \rangle_B = \langle x, \varphi(a^*)y \rangle_B$$

Hilbert Bimodules

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Let X be a right Hilbert B -module that is also a left Hilbert A -module satisfying

$${}_A\langle x, y \rangle z = x \langle y, z \rangle_B,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. For each $a \in A$ and $x \in X$ put $\lambda(a)x := ax$. Then $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(X)$ and (X, λ) is an (A, B) C^* -correspondence.

These particular cases of (A, B) C^* -correspondences are called **Hilbert A - B -bimodules**.

Representations of Hilbert bimodules

Representations of Hilbert bimodules on pairs of Hilbert spaces were introduced by Ruy Exel in 1993.

Let X be a Hilbert A - B -bimodule. Exel showed that there are two Hilbert spaces, say \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 , such that

$$A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1),$$

$$B \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0),$$

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1),$$

where both module actions and inner products are the obvious ones inherited from the operators acting on the Hilbert spaces.

Representations of C^* -correspondences

Let (X, φ) be an (A, B) C^* -correspondence and $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$ a pair of Hilbert spaces. A **representation of (X, φ) on $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$** consists of a triple (π_A, π_B, π_X) such that

- ❶ π_A is a representation of A on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- ❷ π_B is a representation of B on \mathcal{H}_0 ,
- ❸ $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is a linear map,

satisfying, for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $x, y \in X$,

- (i) $\pi_X(\varphi(a)x) = \pi_A(a)\pi_X(x)$
- (ii) $\pi_X(xb) = \pi_X(x)\pi_B(b)$
- (iii) $\pi_X(x)^*\pi_X(y) = \pi_B(\langle x, y \rangle_B)$

Fact: if either π_A or π_B are isometric, then so is π_X .

Theorem (D, 2022)

Isometric representations of any (A, B) C^ -correspondence on a pair of Hilbert spaces do exist.*

Application # 1

Theorem (D, 2022)

Let (X, φ) be an (A, B) C^* -correspondence such that A acts nondegenerately on X . Then, there is an A -valued left inner product on X making it a Hilbert A - B bimodule if and only if $\mathcal{K}_B(X) \subseteq \varphi(A)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Easy: $\theta_{x,y}(z) = x\langle y, z \rangle_B = \varphi(A\langle x, y \rangle)z$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\mathcal{K}_B(X) \subseteq \varphi(A)$ and let (π_A, π_B, π_X) be an isometric representation of (X, φ) . Then,

$${}_A\langle x, y \rangle := \pi_A^{-1}(\pi_X(x)\pi_X(y)^*).$$

is a well defined left A valued inner product making X a Hilbert A - B -bimodule. ■

Application # 2: Representations of $\mathcal{K}_B(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}_B(X)$

Theorem (D, 2022)

Let (X, φ) be an (A, B) C^* -correspondence and let (π_A, π_B, π_X) be a representation of (X, φ) on $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$ with π_B injective. Suppose that $\pi_X(X)\mathcal{H}_0$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_1 . Then,

- $\mathcal{K}_B(X)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the closure of $\text{span} \{ \pi_X(x)\pi_X(y)^* : x, y \in X \}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)$,
- $\mathcal{L}_B(X)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $\{ t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1) : t\pi_X(x), t^*\pi_X(x) \in \pi_X(X) \text{ for all } x \in X \}$.

Remark: We can always find a representation with π_B injective and $\pi_X(X)\mathcal{H}_0$ dense in \mathcal{H}_1 .

Outline

- 1 Review of Hilbert Modules
- 2 Representations of C*-correspondences
- 3 L^p -correspondences

Swapping Hilbert spaces by L^p spaces

For concrete C^* -algebras $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, we think of an (A, B) C^* -correspondence (X, φ) concretely as X being a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$ satisfying

- $xb \in X$ for all $x \in X$, $b \in B$,
- $x^*y \in B$ for all $x, y \in X$,

and $\varphi : A \rightarrow \{t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1) : tx, t^*x \in X \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ being a $*$ -homomorphism.

Furthermore, notice that $X^* = \{x^* : x \in X\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_0)$ that satisfies

- $by \in X^*$ for all $b \in B$, $y \in X^*$,
- $y\varphi(a) \in X^*$ for all $y \in X^*$, $a \in A$.

L^p -correspondences

If A is a Banach algebra, $p \in [1, \infty)$, and there is a measure space (Ω, μ) and an isometric homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^p(\Omega, \mu))$, we say A is an L^p -operator algebra.

Definition

Let $(\Omega_0, \mu_0), (\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ be measure spaces, let $p \in [1, \infty)$, and let $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu_1))$ and $B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu_0))$ be L^p operator algebras. An (A, B) L^p -correspondence is a triple (X, Y, φ) where

- ① $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu_0), L^p(\mu_1))$ is a closed subspace,
- ② $Y \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu_1), L^p(\mu_0))$ is a closed subspace,
- ③ $xb \in X$ for all $x \in X, b \in B$,
- ④ $yx \in B$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,
- ⑤ $by \in Y$ for all $y \in Y, b \in B$,
- ⑥ $\varphi: A \rightarrow \{t \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu_1)): tx \in X, yt \in Y \forall x \in X, y \in Y\}$ is a contractive homomorphism.

Examples

- 1 Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let q be its Hölder conjugate (i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ define $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(z) := z \cdot \text{id}_{L^p(\mu)}$. Then, $(L^p(\mu), L^q(\mu), \varphi_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) L^p -correspondence
- 2 Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, let $p \in (1, \infty)$, let $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu))$ be an L^p -operator algebra, and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ be a contractive automorphism. Observe that A acts on A via φ acting as left multiplication and that (A, A, φ) is an (A, A) L^p correspondence.

Applications

To any (A, A) C^* -correspondence (X, φ) we can associate two important universal algebras: its Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(X, \varphi)$ and its Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(X, \varphi)$. These algebras include important examples of C^* -algebras such as Cuntz algebras, Cuntz Krieger algebras, and crossed products by \mathbb{Z} .

Question

Can we get L^p -operator algebras from L^p -correspondences in a similar way?

Answer: YES!

$$\mathcal{O}(\ell_d^p, \ell_d^q, \varphi_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_d^p$$

$$\mathcal{O}(A, A, \varphi) \stackrel{?}{\cong} F^p(\mathbb{Z}, A, \varphi)$$

Questions?