

Morita Equivalence for C^* -algebras.

Alonso Delfín

Functional Analysis Seminar
University of Oregon

October 8, 2020

Contents

- 1 Review of Hilbert Modules
- 2 Morita Equivalence
- 3 Induced Representation

A -valued right inner product.

Definition

Let A be a C^* -algebra and E a complex vector space which is also a right A -module. An A -valued **right inner product** on E is a map

$$\begin{aligned} E \times E &\rightarrow A \\ (\xi, \eta) &\mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

such that for any $\xi, \eta, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in E$, $a \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

- 1 $\langle \xi, \eta_1 + \alpha\eta_2 \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \eta_1 \rangle_A + \alpha\langle \xi, \eta_2 \rangle_A.$
- 2 $\langle \xi, \eta a \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A a.$
- 3 $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_A.$
- 4 $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A \geq 0$ in $A.$
- 5 $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A = 0 \implies \xi = 0.$

Hilbert Modules

Definition

Let A be a C^* -algebra. A **Hilbert A -module** is a complex vector space E which is a right A -module with an A -valued right inner product and so that E is complete with the norm

$$\|\xi\| := \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A\|^{1/2}.$$

We say that E is **full** if

$$\langle E, E \rangle_A := \text{span}\{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A : \xi, \eta \in E\}$$

is dense in A .

Hilbert Modules: Examples

Example

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with the physicists convention that the inner product is linear in the second variable. Then, \mathcal{H} is clearly a full Hilbert \mathbb{C} -module.

Example

Any C^* -algebra A is clearly a full Hilbert A -module with inner product given by $(a, b) \mapsto a^*b$. More generally, A^n is also a full Hilbert A -module with the obvious “euclidean” inner product.

Example

The set of continuous sections of a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space X equipped with a Riemannian metric g is a Hilbert $C(X)$ -module.

Adjointable maps

A main difference between Hilbert modules and Hilbert spaces is that not every bounded linear map between Hilbert A -modules has an adjoint.

Definition

Let E and F be Hilbert A -modules. A map $t : E \rightarrow F$ is said to be **adjointable** if there is a map $t^* : F \rightarrow E$ such that for any $\xi \in E$, and $\eta \in F$

$$\langle t(\xi), \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, t^*(\eta) \rangle$$

The space of adjointable maps from E to F is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_A(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_A(E) := \mathcal{L}_A(E, E)$.

It's almost immediate that adjointable maps between Hilbert modules are linear and bounded. A standard argument shows that $\mathcal{L}_A(E)$ is a C^* -algebra when equipped with the operator norm.

Generalized Compact Operators

We will have special interest for a particular case of adjointable maps, those of “rank 1”:

Definition

Let E and F be Hilbert A -modules. For $\xi \in E$ and $\eta \in F$, we define a map $\theta_{\xi,\eta} : F \rightarrow E$ by

$$\theta_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) := \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_A$$

One easily checks that

- $\theta_{\xi,\eta} \in \mathcal{L}_A(E, F)$
- $(\theta_{\xi,\eta})^* = \theta_{\eta,\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_A(F, E)$
- $\|\theta_{\xi,\eta}\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$

Generalized Compact Operators

The maps $\theta_{\xi,\eta}$ give an analogous of the of rank-one operators on Hilbert spaces. So, we define an analogous of the compact operators by letting

$$\mathcal{K}_A(E, F) := \overline{\text{span}\{\theta_{\xi,\eta} : \xi \in E, \eta \in F\}}$$

It's also not hard to verify that $\mathcal{K}_A(E) := \mathcal{K}_A(E, E)$ is a closed two sided ideal in $\mathcal{L}_A(E)$, whence $\mathcal{K}(E)$ is also a C^* -algebra.

Connection with A and $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$

Given a Hilbert A -module E , there is a close connection between the C^* -algebras A and $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$. Observe that E is a left $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$ -module when equipped with the obvious left action

$$v \cdot \xi := v(\xi).$$

Further, there is a $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$ -valued left inner product on E defined by

$$(\xi, \eta) := \theta_{\xi, \eta}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in E$.

Connection with A and $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$

Indeed, if

$$(\xi, \eta) := \theta_{\xi, \eta}$$

then

- $(\xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2, \eta) = \theta_{\xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2, \eta} = \theta_{\xi_1, \eta} + \alpha\theta_{\xi_2, \eta}$.
- $(v\xi, \eta) = \theta_{v\xi, \eta} = v\theta_{\xi, \eta} = v(\xi, \eta)$.
- $(\xi, \eta)^* = \theta_{\xi, \eta}^* = \theta_{\eta, \xi} = (\eta, \xi)$.
- $\langle (\xi, \xi)\eta, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0$, whence $(\xi, \xi) \geq 0$.
- If $(\xi, \xi) = 0$, then $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ and therefore $\xi = 0$.
- $\|(\xi, \xi)\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|$, from where it follows that E is complete with the norm induced by (\cdot, \cdot) .

Connection with A and $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$

Hence, any right Hilbert A -module E is also a left Hilbert $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -module. Even better, the right action of A on E is compatible with the left action of $\mathcal{K}(E)$ on E . Indeed, for $v \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $\xi \in E$ and $a \in A$

$$(v \cdot \xi)a = v(\xi)a = v(\xi a) = v \cdot (\xi a)$$

The correct terminology is to say that E is a Hilbert $(\mathcal{K}(E), A)$ -bimodule.

Morita Equivalent C^* -algebras

Definition

Two C^* -algebras A and B are said to be **Morita equivalent** if there is a Hilbert (A, B) -bimodule E (we use ${}_A(\cdot, \cdot)$ for A -valued inner product and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ for the B -valued one) such that

① E is a full left Hilbert A -module, E is a full right Hilbert B -module.

② For all $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in E$, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$

$$(2.1) \quad \langle a\xi, \eta \rangle_B = \langle \xi, a^* \eta \rangle_B.$$

$$(2.2) \quad {}_A(\xi b, \eta) = {}_A(\xi, \eta b^*).$$

$$(2.3) \quad {}_A(\xi, \eta) \cdot \zeta = \xi \cdot \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_B.$$

If A and B are Morita equivalent C^* -algebras, then the module E implementing the equivalence is called an A - B **imprimitivity bimodule**.

Examples

Example

Any full Hilbert A -module implements a Morita equivalence between the C^* -algebras A and $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$.

In particular, if \mathcal{H} is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then \mathbb{C} and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ are Morita equivalent C^* -algebras via the $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ - \mathbb{C} imprimitivity bimodule \mathcal{H} .

Examples

Example

Morita equivalence is weaker than isomorphism. Indeed, given $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$, an isomorphism of C^* -algebras, we can construct an imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is B , right action of A is $a \cdot b := \varphi(a)b$, left action is left multiplication on B , and inner products are given by

$${}_A \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle := \varphi^{-1}(b_1 b_2^*), \quad \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle_B := b_1^* b_2$$

Examples

Example

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space. The C^* -algebras $A := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $B := C_0(X)$ are Morita equivalent. To see this we construct an (A, B) imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ and operations as follows

- Left action $A \curvearrowright C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ is $(a \cdot f) \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$(a \cdot f)(x) := a(x)(f(x))$$

for any $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$.

Examples

Example

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space. The C^* -algebras $A := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $B := C_0(X)$ are Morita equivalent. To see this we construct an (A, B) imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ and operations as follows

- Right action $C_0(X, \mathcal{H}) \curvearrowright B$ is $(f \cdot b) \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$(f \cdot b)(x) := f(x)b(x)$$

for any $b \in C_0(X)$ and $f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$.

Example

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space. The C^* -algebras $A := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $B := C_0(X)$ are Morita equivalent. To see this we construct an (A, B) imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ and operations as follows

- Left A -valued inner product is ${}_A(f, g) \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ given by

$${}_A(f, g)(x) := \theta_{f(x), g(x)}$$

for $f, g \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$.

Examples

Example

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{H} a Hilbert space. The C^* -algebras $A := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ and $B := C_0(X)$ are Morita equivalent. To see this we construct an (A, B) imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ and operations as follows

- Right B -valued inner product is $\langle f, g \rangle_B \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_B(x) := \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

for $f, g \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$.

That $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ is indeed a (A, B) -bimodule follows working pointwise and using that \mathcal{H} is a $(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{C})$ -bimodule. Some analysis is needed to actually check the fullness of the modules but we omit this.

If A and B are Morita equivalent, there is an equivalence between the categories of representations of A and representations of B . To see this, we need to discuss first inner tensor products of Hilbert modules.

Inner Tensor Product

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Suppose E is a Hilbert B -module, that F is a Hilbert A -module and that there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)$. This naturally makes F a left B -module with the action induced by φ .

Inner Tensor Product

We can then form the algebraic tensor product of E and F over B , denoted by $E \odot_B F$. To do so, we start with the algebraic tensor product $E \odot F$ and take the quotient by the subspace generated by

$$\{\xi b \otimes \eta - \xi \otimes \varphi(b)\eta : \xi \in E, \eta \in F, b \in B\}$$

This quotient is $E \odot_B F$. We abuse notation and call the image of $\xi \otimes \eta$ in $E \odot_B F$ also by $\xi \otimes \eta$. Then, $E \odot_B F$ is a right A -module with an action defined by

$$(\xi \otimes \eta)a = \xi \otimes (\eta a)$$

Inner Tensor Product

We now define an A -valued inner product on $E \odot_B F$. First we put

$$\langle \xi \otimes \eta, \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle := \langle \eta, \varphi(\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle) \eta' \rangle$$

for any $\xi, \xi' \in E$ and $\eta, \eta' \in F$. One checks that this is indeed a well defined A -valued inner product on $E \odot_B F$, so to get a Hilbert A -module we complete $E \odot_B F$ with respect to the norm induced by this inner product. We denote the completion $E \otimes_{\varphi} F$ and we call it the interior tensor product of E and F by φ .

Equivalence of Representations

Theorem

If A and B are Morita equivalent C^ -algebras, then the category of representations of A is equivalent to the one on B .*

Equivalence of Representations

Sketch of Proof Let E be the A - B imprimitivity bimodule implementing the equivalence and $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be a representation of B . Write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$ for the B -valued right inner product on E . Then, regarding \mathcal{H}_π as a right \mathbb{C} -module, we can form the Hilbert space $E \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi$ whose inner product on elementary tensors looks like

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes v_1, \xi_2 \otimes v_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, \pi(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_B) v_2 \rangle$$

for $\xi_k \in E$ and $v_k \in \mathcal{H}_B$.

Equivalence of Representations

Sketch of Proof. We define $\text{Ind}\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E \otimes_{\pi} \mathcal{H}_{\pi})$ by first letting

$$[\text{Ind}\pi(a)](\xi \otimes v) = (a\xi) \otimes v$$

and then extending to all $E \otimes_{\pi} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$. Using that A is Morita equivalent to B , this gives a $*$ -homomorphism and therefore $\text{Ind}\pi$ is a representation of A . One checks that π is irreducible if and only if $\text{Ind}\pi$ is irreducible and every irreducible representation of A is of this form. The Functor Ind from the category of representations of A to the one of representations of B is the one implementing the equivalence. “□”

Questions?