

# Morita Equivalence for $C^*$ -algebras.

Alonso Delfín  
University of Oregon.

October 8, 2020

## Abstract

Morita equivalence was adapted to  $C^*$ -algebras by Marc Rieffel in the 1970's and it has since become a standard tool for analyzing group  $C^*$ -algebras, crossed products and representations. Roughly speaking two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent if there is a Hilbert  $(A, B)$ -bimodule with some compatibility conditions on the inner products.

The main goal of this talk is to show that two Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras have equivalent categories of representations. Along the way, I will give many accessible examples. The only two prerequisites for following most of the talk are to have some familiarity with Hilbert spaces and with the tensor product of modules.

## 1 A brief review of Hilbert Modules

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $E$  a complex vector space which is also a right  $A$ -module. An  $A$ -valued right inner product on  $E$  is a map

$$\begin{aligned} E \times E &\rightarrow A \\ (\xi, \eta) &\mapsto \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

such that for any  $\xi, \eta, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in E$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

1.  $\langle \xi, \eta_1 + \alpha \eta_2 \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \eta_1 \rangle_A + \alpha \langle \xi, \eta_2 \rangle_A$ .
2.  $\langle \xi, \eta a \rangle_A = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A a$ .
3.  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_A$ .
4.  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A \geq 0$  in  $A$ .
5.  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A = 0 \implies \xi = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A **Hilbert  $A$ -module** is a complex vector space  $E$  which is a right  $A$ -module with an  $A$ -valued right inner product and so that  $E$  is complete with the norm  $\|\xi\| := \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_A\|^{1/2}$ . We say that  $E$  is **full** if  $\langle E, E \rangle_A := \text{span}\{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_A : \xi, \eta \in E\}$  is dense in  $A$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space with the physicists convention that the inner product is linear in the second variable. Then,  $\mathcal{H}$  is clearly a full Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}$ -module.

**Example 1.4.** Any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is clearly a full Hilbert  $A$ -module with inner product given by  $(a, b) \mapsto a^*b$ . More generally,  $A^n$  is also a full Hilbert  $A$ -module with the obvious "euclidean" inner product.

**Example 1.5.** The set of continuous sections of a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  equipped with a Riemannian metric  $g$  is a Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module.

A main difference between Hilbert modules and Hilbert spaces is that not every bounded linear map between Hilbert  $A$ -modules has an adjoint. We will only be interested in those maps that do have an adjoint.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hilbert  $A$ -modules. A map  $t : E \rightarrow F$  is said to be **adjointable** if there is a map  $t^* : F \rightarrow E$  such that for any  $\xi \in E$ , and  $\eta \in F$

$$\langle t(\xi), \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, t^*(\eta) \rangle$$

The space of adjointable maps from  $E$  to  $F$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_A(E, F)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_A(E) := \mathcal{L}_A(E, E)$ .

It's almost immediate that adjointable maps between Hilbert modules are linear and bounded. A standard proof shows that  $\mathcal{L}_A(E)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra when equipped with the operator norm. We will have special interest for a particular case of adjointable maps, those of "rank 1":

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hilbert  $A$ -modules. For  $\xi \in E$  and  $\eta \in F$ , we define a map  $\theta_{\xi, \eta} : F \rightarrow E$  by

$$\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) := \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_A$$

One easily checks that  $\theta_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{L}_A(E, F)$ , that  $(\theta_{\xi, \eta})^* = \theta_{\eta, \xi} \in \mathcal{L}_A(F, E)$  and that  $\|\theta_{\xi, \eta}\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ . This gives an analogous of the class of rank-one operators on Hilbert spaces. So, we define an analogous of the compact operators by letting

$$\mathcal{K}_A(E, F) := \overline{\text{span}\{\theta_{\xi, \eta} : \xi \in E, \eta \in F\}}$$

It's also not hard to verify that  $\mathcal{K}_A(E) := \mathcal{K}_A(E, E)$  is a closed two sided ideal in  $\mathcal{L}_A(E)$ , whence  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is also a  $C^*$ -algebra.

## 2 Morita Equivalence

Given a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$ , there is a close connection between the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ . Observe that  $E$  is a left  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -module when equipped with the obvious left action  $v \cdot \xi := v(\xi)$ . Further, there is a  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -valued left inner product on  $E$  defined by

$$(\xi, \eta) := \theta_{\xi, \eta}$$

for any  $\xi, \eta \in E$ . Indeed:

- $(\xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2, \eta) = \theta_{\xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2, \eta} = \theta_{\xi_1, \eta} + \alpha \theta_{\xi_2, \eta}$ .
- $(v\xi, \eta) = \theta_{v\xi, \eta} = v\theta_{\xi, \eta} = v(\xi, \eta)$ .
- $(\xi, \eta)^* = \theta_{\xi, \eta}^* = \theta_{\eta, \xi} = (\eta, \xi)$ .
- $\langle (\xi, \xi)\eta, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0$ , whence  $(\xi, \xi) \geq 0$ .
- If  $(\xi, \xi) = 0$ , then  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$  and therefore  $\xi = 0$ .
- $\|(\xi, \xi)\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\|$  ( $\leq$  is immediate and  $\geq$  requires some play with functional calculus). From this, it follows that  $E$  is complete with the norm induced by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Hence  $E$  is also a left Hilbert  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -module. Even better, the right action of  $A$  on  $E$  is compatible with the left action of  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  on  $E$ . Indeed, for  $v \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ ,  $\xi \in E$  and  $a \in A$

$$(v \cdot \xi)a = v(\xi)a = v(\xi a) = v \cdot (\xi a)$$

The correct terminology is to say that  $E$  is a Hilbert  $(\mathcal{K}(E), A)$ -bimodule.

**Definition 2.1.** Two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be **Morita equivalent** if there is a Hilbert  $(A, B)$ -bimodule  $E$  (we use  ${}_A\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for  $A$ -valued inner product and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$  for the  $B$ -valued one) such that

1.  $E$  is a full left Hilbert  $A$ -module,  $E$  is a full right Hilbert  $B$ -module.

2. For all  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in E$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$

$$(2.1) \quad \langle a\xi, \eta \rangle_B = \langle \xi, a^*\eta \rangle_B.$$

$$(2.2) \quad {}_A\langle \xi b, \eta \rangle = {}_A\langle \xi, \eta b^* \rangle.$$

$$(2.3) \quad {}_A\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \cdot \zeta = \xi \cdot \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_B.$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras, then the module  $E$  implementing the equivalence is called an  $A$ - $B$  **imprimitivity bimodule**.

**Example 2.2.** We already saw that any full Hilbert  $A$ -module implements a Morita equivalence between the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $\mathcal{K}_A(E)$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  are Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras via the  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ - $\mathbb{C}$  imprimitivity bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 2.3.** Morita equivalence is weaker than isomorphism. Indeed, given  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ , an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, we can construct an imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is  $B$ , right action of  $A$  is  $a \cdot b := \varphi(a)b$ , left action is left multiplication on  $B$ , and inner products are given by

$${}_A\langle b_1, b_2 \rangle := \varphi^{-1}(b_1 b_2^*), \quad \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle_B := b_1^* b_2$$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert space. The  $C^*$ -algebras  $A := C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$  and  $B := C_0(X)$  are Morita equivalent. To see this we construct an  $(A, B)$  imprimitive bimodule whose underlying space is  $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$  and operations as follows

- Left action  $A \curvearrowright C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(a \cdot f) \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$  given by

$$(a \cdot f)(x) := a(x)(f(x))$$

for any  $a \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$  and  $f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ .

- Right action  $C_0(X, \mathcal{H}) \curvearrowright B$  is  $(f \cdot b) \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$  given by

$$(f \cdot b)(x) := f(x)b(x)$$

for any  $b \in C_0(X)$  and  $f \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ .

- Left  $A$ -valued inner product is  ${}_A\langle f, g \rangle \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$  given by

$${}_A\langle f, g \rangle(x) := \theta_{f(x), g(x)}$$

for  $f, g \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ .

- Right  $B$ -valued inner product is  $\langle f, g \rangle_B \in C_0(X, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$  given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_B(x) := \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

for  $f, g \in C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$ .

That  $C_0(X, \mathcal{H})$  is indeed a  $(A, B)$ -bimodule follows working pointwise and using that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{C})$ -bimodule. Some analysis is needed to actually check the fullness of the modules but we omit this.

If  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent, there is an equivalence between the categories of representations of  $A$  and representations of  $B$ . To see this, we need to discuss first inner tensor products of Hilbert modules.

### 3 Inner Tensor product and the Induced representation

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. Suppose  $E$  is a Hilbert  $B$ -module, that  $F$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module and that there is a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)$ . This naturally makes  $F$  a left  $B$ -module with the action induced by  $\varphi$ . We can then form the algebraic tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  over  $B$ , denoted by  $E \odot_B F$ . To do so, we start with the algebraic tensor product  $E \odot F$  and take the quotient by the subspace generated by

$$\{\xi b \otimes \eta - \xi \otimes \varphi(b)\eta : \xi \in E, \eta \in F, b \in B\}$$

This quotient is  $E \odot_B F$ . We abuse notation and call the image of  $\xi \otimes \eta$  in  $E \odot_B F$  also by  $\xi \otimes \eta$ . Then,  $E \odot_B F$  is a right  $A$ -module with an action defined by

$$(\xi \otimes \eta)a = \xi \otimes (\eta a)$$

We now define an  $A$ -valued inner product on  $E \odot_B F$ . First we put

$$\langle \xi \otimes \eta, \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle := \langle \eta, \varphi(\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle) \eta' \rangle$$

for any  $\xi, \xi' \in E$  and  $\eta, \eta' \in F$ . One checks that this is indeed a well defined  $A$ -valued inner product on  $E \odot_B F$ , so to get a Hilbert  $A$ -module we complete  $E \odot_B F$  with respect to the norm induced by this inner product. We denote the completion  $E \otimes_\varphi F$  and we call it the interior tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  by  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras, then the category of representations of  $A$  is equivalent to the one on  $B$ .*

**Sketch of Proof.** Let  $E$  be the  $A$ - $B$  imprimitivity bimodule implementing the equivalence and  $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  be a representation of  $B$ . Write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$  for the  $B$ -valued right inner product on  $E$ . Then, regarding  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  as a right  $\mathbb{C}$ -module, we can form the Hilbert space  $E \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi$  whose inner product on elementary tensors looks like

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes v_1, \xi_2 \otimes v_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, \pi(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_B) v_2 \rangle$$

for  $\xi_k \in E$  and  $v_k \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ . We define  $\text{Ind}\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  by first letting

$$[\text{Ind}\pi(a)](\xi \otimes v) = (a\xi) \otimes v$$

and then extending to all  $E \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi$ . Using that  $A$  is Morita equivalent to  $B$ , this gives a  $*$ -homomorphism and therefore  $\text{Ind}\pi$  is a representation of  $A$ . One checks that  $\pi$  is irreducible if and only if  $\text{Ind}\pi$  is irreducible and every irreducible representation of  $A$  is of this form. The Functor  $\text{Ind}$  from the category of representations of  $A$  to the one of representations of  $B$  is the one implementing the equivalence. “□”