

Multiplier Algebras of L^p -Operator Algebras.

Alonso Delfín (Joint work with Ellen Weld)

CU Boulder

February 1, 2024

Outline

- 1 Notation
- 2 Multiplier Algebras
- 3 L^p -operator Algebras
- 4 Augmentation Ideal

Outline

- 1 Notation
- 2 Multiplier Algebras
- 3 L^p -operator Algebras
- 4 Augmentation Ideal

In what follows A will always be a Banach Algebra.

Definition

A *representation of A on a Banach space E* is a continuous algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$.

- We say A is *representable on E* if there is an isometric representation on E .
- We say A is *nondegenerately representable on E* if in addition $\varphi(A)E = \text{span}\{\varphi(a)\xi: a \in A, \xi \in E\}$ is a dense subspace of E .

Any C^* -algebra is nondegenerately representable on a Hilbert space via the universal representation.

Definition

We say that A has a *contractive approximate identity (cai)* if there is a net $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $\|e_\lambda\| \leq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all $a \in A$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|ae_\lambda - a\| = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|e_\lambda a - a\| = 0.$$

Definition

We say that A has an *identity element* if there is an element $1_A \in A$ such that $1_A \cdot a = a = a \cdot 1_A$ for all $a \in A$. In addition, if $\|1_A\| = 1$, we call 1_A a *unit* of A .

Lemma

Let A be a Banach algebra with an identity $1_A \in A$ such that $\|1_A\| \neq 1$. Then, A cannot have a cai.

Proof. On the one hand $\|1_A\| > 1$. On the other hand, if there's a cai

$$\lim_{\lambda} \left| \|e_{\lambda}\| - \|1_A\| \right| \leq \lim_{\lambda} \|e_{\lambda} - 1_A\| = \lim_{\lambda} \|e_{\lambda}1_A - 1_A\| = 0,$$

so $\|1_A\| = \lim_{\lambda} \|e_{\lambda}\| \leq 1$. ■

Outline

- 1 Notation
- 2 Multiplier Algebras**
- 3 L^p -operator Algebras
- 4 Augmentation Ideal

Double Centralizers

We say that $L \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ is a left centralizer for A if for any $a, b \in A$,

$$L(ab) = L(a)b.$$

The space of left centralizers is denoted by $LC(A)$. Similarly, $R \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ is a right centralizer if for any $a, b \in A$,

$$R(ab) = aR(b).$$

We denote by $RC(A)$ to the space of right centralizers.

Definition

The *multiplier algebra* of A is

$$M(A) = \{(L, R) \in LC(A) \times RC(A) : aL(b) = R(a)b\}$$

equipped with the norm $\|(L, R)\| = \max\{\|L\|, \|R\|\}$.

$M(A)$ is a unital Banach subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(A) \times \mathcal{L}(A)^{\text{op}}$ where the unit is $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_A)$.

Two Sided Multipliers

It's well known that if A is a C^* -algebra which is nondegenerately represented on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} via $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$M(A) \cong \{b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}): b\varphi(A) \subseteq \varphi(A), \varphi(A)b \subseteq \varphi(A)\}.$$

In fact, the RHS is an alternative definition for $M(A)$. This alternative definition is therefore independent of the Hilbert space and the representation φ chosen.

Theorem (D, 2023)

Let A be a Banach algebra with a cai and that's nondegenerately represented on a Banach space E via $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$. Then $M(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to

$$\{b \in \mathcal{L}(E): b\varphi(A) \subseteq \varphi(A), \varphi(A)b \subseteq \varphi(A)\}$$

Question: What happens if we drop the assumptions of nondegeneracy and the existence of a cai?

Algebras with non-unital identities

There is a natural inclusion $\iota: A \rightarrow M(A)$ be given by $\iota(a) = (L_a, R_a)$, where $L_a(b) = ab$ and $R_a(b) = ba$. If A has a cai, then ι is isometric and

$$\|L_a\| = \|R_a\| = \|a\|.$$

Proposition

Let $1_A \in A$ be an identity for A . Then $M(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(A, \|\cdot\|')$ where

$$\|a\|' = \|\iota(a)\| = \max\{\|L_a\|, \|R_a\|\}$$

In particular $\|a\|' = \|a\|$ when 1_A is a unit.

Corollary

If A is commutative with non unital identity 1_A , then $M(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(A, \|\cdot\|')$ where

$$\|a\|' = \|L_a\| = \sup_{\|b\|=1} \|ab\| \neq \|a\|.$$

Outline

- 1 Notation
- 2 Multiplier Algebras
- 3 L^p -operator Algebras**
- 4 Augmentation Ideal

L^p -operator Algebras.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we say that A is an L^p -operator algebra if it is representable on $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ for some measure space (Ω, μ) . That is, there is an isometric algebra homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu))$.

Example

- C^* -algebras are L^2 -operator algebras.
- Fix any $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let Ω be a locally compact space equipped with counting measure ν . Then $C_0(\Omega)$ is an L^p -operator algebra via $\varphi: C_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^p(\nu))$ given by

$$(\varphi(a)\xi)(\omega) = a(\omega)\xi(\omega).$$

- Fix any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then T_d^p , the set of strictly upper triangular $d \times d$ matrices acting on ℓ_d^p , is an L^p -operator algebra.

Representable L^p -Multiplier algebras

As a corollary of the work presented for general Banach Algebras we get

Corollary

If A is a nondegenerately representable L^p -operator algebra with a cai, then $M(A)$ is a nondegenerately representable L^p -operator algebra.

Now consider the algebra of 2×2 strictly upper triangular matrices:

$$T_2^p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset M_2^p = \mathcal{L}(\ell_2^p)$$

It's not hard to see that T_2^p can't have a cai and that it can't be nondegenerately represented on **any** Banach space. However, since $T_2^p \cong \mathbb{C}$ it's clear that $LC(T_2^p) \cong \mathbb{C}$, $RC(T_2^p) \cong \mathbb{C}$, and therefore

$$M(T_2^p) = LC(T_2^p) \times RC(T_2^p) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \cong C(\{1, 2\}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\ell_2^p).$$

That is, $M(T_2^p)$ is a nondegenerately representable L^p -operator algebra.

Outline

- 1 Notation
- 2 Multiplier Algebras
- 3 L^p -operator Algebras
- 4 Augmentation Ideal**

L^p -group algebras

Let G be a discrete group and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $\ell^1(G)$ acts on $\ell^p(G)$ as a left convolution operator. That is, $\lambda: \ell^1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^p(G))$ is given by

$$(\lambda(a)b)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} a(h)b(h^{-1}g)$$

Definition

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, the *reduced L^p -operator algebra of G* is

$$F_r^p(G) = \overline{\lambda(\ell^1(G))} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^p(G))$$

When $p = 1$, we have $F_r^1(G) = \ell^1(G)$. Thus, $\ell^1(G)$ is a unital L^1 -operator algebra (unit is δ_{1_G}) acting on itself via left multiplication.

The augmentation ideal $\ell_0^1(G)$

Consider the contractive algebra homomorphism $\ell^1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$a \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a(g)$$

We define the augmentation ideal of $\ell^1(G)$ as the kernel of this map:

Definition

For a discrete group G , *the augmentation ideal of $\ell^1(G)$* is

$$\ell_0^1(G) = \left\{ a \in \ell^1(G) : \sum_{g \in G} a(g) = 0 \right\}$$

Since $\ell_0^1(G)$ is a closed proper ideal of $\ell^1(G)$, it follows that $\ell_0^1(G)$ is an L^1 -operator algebra degenerately represented on $\ell^1(G)$.

Open Question

Is $\ell_0^1(G)$ nondegenerately representable on some $L^1(\mu)$?

Properties of $\ell_0^1(G)$

For each $g \in G$ we define $\Delta_g \in \ell_0^1(G)$ as

$$\Delta_g := \delta_g - \delta_{1_G}.$$

Proposition

For any discrete group G , the space $\text{span}\{\Delta_g : g \in G\}$ is dense in $\ell_0^1(G)$. If in addition G is finite with $n := \text{card}(G) \geq 2$, then

- $\ell_0^1(G) = \text{span}\{\Delta_g : g \in G\}$
- $\ell_0^1(G)$ has an identity element $\mathbf{1}_0$. In fact

$$\mathbf{1}_0 = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \Delta_g \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{1}_0\|_1 = 2 - \frac{2}{n}$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_0$ not a unit when $n > 2$, it follows that $\ell_0^1(G)$ does not have a cai when $n > 2$.

What is $M(\ell_0^1(G))$?

For G discrete with $\text{card}(G) > 2$ we have a non unital identity in $\ell_0^1(G)$ and therefore $M(\ell_0^1(G))$ is $(\ell_0^1(G), \|a\|' := \max\{\|L_a\|, \|R_a\|\})$ where

$$\|L_a\| = \sup_{b \in \ell_0^1(G), \|b\|_1=1} \|ab\|_1,$$

$$\|R_a\| = \sup_{b \in \ell_0^1(G), \|b\|_1=1} \|ba\|_1.$$

If we additionally impose that G is abelian, then $M(\ell_0^1(G))$ is $(\ell_0^1(G), \|a\|' = \|L_a\|)$.

Open Question

Is $M(\ell_0^1(G))$ an L^1 -operator algebra?

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

For $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, we get $\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = \text{span}\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$, and $\mathbf{1}_0 = \frac{-1}{3}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)$. $M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ is the same underlying algebra but now $\mathbf{1}_0$ is the only norm 1 idempotent.

Proposition

$M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ is isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 with a norm different from the max norm.

Proof. $\text{Max}(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ where

$$\omega_1(\Delta_1) = \frac{-3}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = \omega_2(\Delta_2)$$

$$\omega_1(\Delta_2) = \frac{-3}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = \omega_2(\Delta_1)$$

Then $\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $C(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\})$ as algebras, so the norm from $M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ gives a norm on $C(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\})$ different from the max norm. ■

Known norms that make \mathbb{C}^2 an L^1 -operator algebra.

Which norms make \mathbb{C}^2 an L^1 -operator algebra?

- As before, \mathbb{C}^2 with the max norm is also an L^1 -operator algebra acting on ℓ_2^1 via multiplication operators.
- Let $\mathcal{F}: \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the Fourier transform. Then we have algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \cong C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{F}^{-1}(C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

which make \mathbb{C}^2 an L^1 -operator algebra with norm coming from the identification with $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Open Question

Are these the only two norms that make \mathbb{C}^2 an L^1 -operator algebra?

We do know that the identification of $M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ with \mathbb{C}^2 carries none of these norms.

Thank you!
Questions?

C^* -cores

Let A be a unital Banach algebra and let A_h denote the set of hermitian elements, that is

$$A_h = \{a \in A : \|e^{ita}\| = 1 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

If A_h is closed under multiplication, then

$$\text{core}(A) = A_h + iA_h$$

is the largest C^* -algebra contained in A .

Theorem (Gardella-Thiel (22))

For $p \neq 2$, G a discrete group, A a unital L^p -operator algebra, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ an action, then

$$\text{core}(A) = \text{core}(F_r^p(G, A, \alpha))$$

We know that $\text{core}(M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))) = \mathbb{C}$. Is it possible for $M(\ell_0^1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ to contradict the previous theorem?