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A residuated lattice, or RL , is a structure $\mathbf{A}=(A, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, \vee, 1)$ where:

- $(A, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice,
- $(A, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid,
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$ iff $x \leq z / y$.

RLs constitute a variety, $\mathbb{R L}$.
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Residuated lattices are the equivalent algebraic semantics of substructural logics, that include: classical logic, intuitionistic logic, linear logic, relevance logic, fuzzy logics...
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- Boolean algebras, Heyting algebras, MV-algebras, lattice ordered groups...
- Let $\mathbf{R}$ be a ring with unit and let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ be the set of ideals of $\mathbf{R}$. For $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R})$ define

$$
\begin{gathered}
I \wedge J=I \cap J, \quad I \vee J=\{a+b: a \in I \text { and } b \in J\} \\
I \cdot J=\left\{a_{1} b_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} b_{n}: a_{i} \in I, b_{i} \in J\right\} \\
I \backslash J=\{a \in R: I a \subseteq J\}, \quad J / I=\{a \in R: a I \subseteq J\}
\end{gathered}
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$(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}), \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, R)$ is a residuated lattice.

- $\mathbf{Z}^{-}=\left\{\mathbb{Z}^{-},+, \ominus, \min , \max , 0\right\}$, where $\ominus$ is the truncated difference, is a residuated lattice.
- Residuated lattices do not satisfy any special purely lattice-theoretic or monoid-theoretic property
- R. Belohlavek, V. Vychodil, Residuated Lattices of Size $\leq 12$, Order, 27:147-161, 2010 :

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lattices | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 53 | 222 | 1,078 | 5,994 | 37,622 | 262,776 |
| Residuated lattices | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 26 | 129 | 723 | 4,712 | 34,698 | 290,565 | $2,779,183$ | $30,653,419$ |
| Linear res. lattices | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 22 | 94 | 451 | 2,386 | 13,775 | 86,417 | 590,489 | $4,446,029$ |
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- Large classes of residuated lattices currently lack a structural understanding.
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Aglianò and Montagna in 2003 prove the following characterization: Every totally ordered basic hoop (or BL algebra) is the ordinal sum of a family of Wajsberg hoops (whose first component is bounded).

The ordinal sum construction intuitively stacks one (semi)lattice on top of the other gluing together the top elements.

What if we glue more? For example, a filter.

Let $\mathbf{B}=(B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1), \mathbf{C}=(C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, that intersect in a principal lattice filter generated by an element $a$ that is conical (comparable with all other elements) and idempotent $(a \cdot a=a)$.
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The ordinal sum is a gluing where $a=1, \oplus=\oplus_{1}$.
The gluing construction preserves:

- commutativity
- prelinearity $(x \backslash y) \vee(y \backslash x)=1$
- divisibility $x \cdot(x \backslash y)=x \wedge y=(y / x) \cdot x$
- all unary equations without join (e.g. it preserves $n$-potency, $x^{n}=x^{n+1}$, for every $n \geq 1$ )
- If $a$ is join irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$, any gluing of the kind $\mathbf{B} \oplus_{a} \mathbf{C}$ preserves all unary equations satisfied by both $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$.


## Filters

Let us consider now gluings of commutative integral residuated lattices (CIRLs).
Given a CIRL A, $F \subseteq A$ is a deductive filter (or just filter) if it is a lattice filter closed under product.

The lattice of deductive filters is dually isomorphic to the congruence lattice via the maps: $F \mapsto \theta_{F}=\{(x, y) \in A: x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x \in F\}$ and $\theta \mapsto F_{\theta}=\{a:(a, 1) \in \theta\}$.

Since $a$ is an idempotent element, the lattice filter and the deductive filter generated by $a$ coincide.

In fact, the gluing construction is reflected in the deductive filters lattice.
$\operatorname{Fil}(B)$
$\operatorname{Fil}(\mathbf{C})$
$\operatorname{Fil}\left(\mathbf{B} \oplus^{a} \mathbf{C}\right)$


Since a coatom in the lattice of deductive filters would need to be above $\langle a\rangle$, $\mathbf{B} \oplus^{a} \mathbf{C}$ is subdirectly irreducible iff $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are subdirectly irreducible.

What if we want to glue a filter and an ideal?

Let now $\mathbf{B}=(B, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1), \mathbf{C}=(C, \cdot, \backslash, /, \wedge, 1)$ be integral residuated $\wedge$-semilattices, $B \cap C=\uparrow a \cup \downarrow d$ with $a, d$ conical and idempotent.
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If $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are lattices, we need $\mathbf{C} \backslash\{\downarrow d\}$ with a lower bound or $a \vee$-irreducible in $\mathbf{B}$ to have a lattice structure.

The gluing of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ with respect to $a$ and $d, \mathbf{B} \oplus_{d}^{a} \mathbf{C}$ is an integral residuated ( $\wedge$-semi) lattice.

## Properties

This new gluing construction still preserves commutativity and prelinearity, but it does not preserve divisibility.

In CIRLs, since $a$ is again an idempotent conical element, gluings of subdirectly irreducible are subdirectly irreducible.

## ExAMPLES

Given any bounded commutative residuated lattice $\mathbf{A}$, we can glue its disconnected rotation and its $n$-lifting: $Ł_{n} \oplus \mathbf{A}$


What we obtain has been introduced as generalized $n$-rotation of $\mathbf{A}$ in [Busaniche, Marcos, U. - 2019].
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This is a positive universal first-order formula, these structures generate a variety of MTL-algebras (bounded semilinear CIRLs) that satisfy $x^{2}=x^{3}$ and

$$
x \vee\left((x \cdot(x \wedge y)) \backslash(x \wedge y)^{2}\right)=1
$$

This variety has the FMP (finite model property, i.e. it is generated by its finite members).
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We can generate these chains as gluings of finite simple 2-potent MTL-chains.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
.1 & & .{ }^{1} \\
. & \oplus^{1} & \vdots \\
& \\
& & \vdots \\
& & 0=x_{1}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& .1
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  | . 1 |  | . 1 | . 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 1 | $\oplus^{1}$ | $:^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ | $:^{x_{1}}$ | $:^{y_{1}}$ |
| - 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\vdots 0=x_{1}^{2}$ |  | $\vdots x_{1}^{2}$ | $\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0$ |
|  |  |  |  | - 0 |  |



|  |  | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |  | . 1 |  | . 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\oplus^{1}$ | $: x_{1}$ | $:^{x_{1}}$ | $\vdots^{y_{1}}$ | $\oplus_{0}^{1}$ | $\vdots^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ | $: x_{1}$ |
|  |  | $\vdots 0=x_{1}^{2}$ | $\vdots x_{1}^{2}$ | $\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0$ |  | ${ }_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ |  | $\vdots x_{1}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | - 0 |  |  | - 0 |  | ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |

.1

| . 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ |
| $\vdots 0=x_{1}^{2}$ |  |


| . 1 |  | . 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : $y_{1}$ | $\oplus_{0}^{1}$ | $:^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ |
| $y_{1}^{2}=0$ |  | $x_{1}^{2}$ |  |
|  |  | - 0 |  |

$$
y_{1}^{2}=0
$$

$$
\cdot 1
$$

$$
x_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vdots x_{1}^{2} \\
& : y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0
$$

.1

| . 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ |
| $\vdots 0=x_{1}^{2}$ |  |


| . 1 |  | . 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : $y_{1}$ | $\oplus_{0}^{1}$ | $\vdots^{x_{1}}$ | $=$ |
| $\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0$ |  | $x_{1}^{2}$ |  |
|  |  | - 0 |  |

$$
\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0
$$



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
.1 & & .1 \\
y_{1} \\
\vdots & \oplus_{0}^{1} & \vdots \\
\vdots & x_{1} \\
\vdots & = & \vdots \\
y_{1}^{2}=0 & & x_{1}^{2} \\
& & \cdot 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\vdots y_{1}^{2}=0
$$

Thank you!

