

Computational Complexity of Matrix Semigroup Properties

Trevor Jack

Joint work with Peter Mayr



Mathematics
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO **BOULDER**

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL:

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

The following problems are NL-complete:

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

The following problems are NL-complete: existence of left/right zeroes;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

The following problems are NL-complete: existence of left/right zeroes; nilpotence;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME}?$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

The following problems are NL-complete: existence of left/right zeroes; nilpotence; \mathbb{R} -triviality;

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME}?$$

Theorem (Fleischer, TJ, 2019)

The complexity of determining the following properties of transformation semigroups are in NL: is a band; all idempotents commute; is Clifford; and, generally, any property that can be defined by a fixed equation.

The following problems are NL-complete: existence of left/right zeroes; nilpotence; \mathbb{R} -triviality; and all idempotents are central.

Update for BLAST 2018 Presentation

Transformation Semigroups

- $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$
- T_n is the semigroup of all unary functions on $[n]$
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq T_n$

General Inquiry: Given generators $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$, what is the complexity of verifying certain properties about $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ within:

$$\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME?}$$

Theorem (Mayr, TJ, 2019)

The left and right identities of a transformation semigroup can be enumerated in polynomial time.

Notation

Matrix Semigroups

- \mathbb{F}^n is the set of row vectors of length n over a field \mathbb{F}

Notation

Matrix Semigroups

- \mathbb{F}^n is the set of row vectors of length n over a field \mathbb{F}
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ under multiplication

Notation

Matrix Semigroups

- \mathbb{F}^n is the set of row vectors of length n over a field \mathbb{F}
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ under multiplication

Note that $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ acts as a transformation semigroup on the set \mathbb{F}^n by multiplication on the right.

Notation

Matrix Semigroups

- \mathbb{F}^n is the set of row vectors of length n over a field \mathbb{F}
- $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ under multiplication

Note that $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ acts as a transformation semigroup on the set \mathbb{F}^n by multiplication on the right.

Space to store a_i as a matrix = $n^2 \log(|\mathbb{F}|)$.

Space to store representation of a_i as a transformation = $|\mathbb{F}|^n \log(n|\mathbb{F}|)$.

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the row space of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the row spaces of the generators of S .

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the row space of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the row spaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the rowspaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket := x + \text{Null}(S)$

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the rowspaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket := x + \text{Null}(S)$
- $S \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)), s \mapsto \bar{s}$ where $\llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket xs \rrbracket$ for $x \in [n]$

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the rowspaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket := x + \text{Null}(S)$
- $S \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)), s \mapsto \bar{s}$ where $\llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket xs \rrbracket$ for $x \in [n]$
- $\bar{S} := \{\bar{s} : s \in S\}$

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the rowspaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket := x + \text{Null}(S)$
- $S \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)), s \mapsto \bar{s}$ where $\llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket xs \rrbracket$ for $x \in [n]$
- $\bar{S} := \{\bar{s} : s \in S\}$

Note that \bar{s} is well-defined. For any $y \in \llbracket x \rrbracket$, there is a $z \in \text{Null}(S)$ such that $y = x + z$ and thus $\llbracket y \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket ys \rrbracket = \llbracket (x + z)s \rrbracket = \llbracket xs \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s}$.

Notation

- $\text{Row}(a_i)$ is the rowspace of a_i .
- $\text{Row}(S)$ is the sum of the rowspaces of the generators of S .
- $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap_{i \in [k]} \text{Null}(a_i)$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket := x + \text{Null}(S)$
- $S \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)), s \mapsto \bar{s}$ where $\llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket xs \rrbracket$ for $x \in [n]$
- $\bar{S} := \{\bar{s} : s \in S\}$

Note that \bar{s} is well-defined. For any $y \in \llbracket x \rrbracket$, there is a $z \in \text{Null}(S)$ such that $y = x + z$ and thus $\llbracket y \rrbracket \bar{s} = \llbracket ys \rrbracket = \llbracket (x + z)s \rrbracket = \llbracket xs \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{s}$.

LeftIdentities

Input: $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$

Problem: Enumerate the left identities of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow :

- Let \bar{a}_i permute $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and let $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n/\text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow :

- Let \bar{a}_i permute $F^n/\text{Null}(S)$ and let $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.
- Then $\bar{a}_i^m = \bar{1}$, so $\forall x \in F^n : \llbracket xa_i^m \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{a}_i^m = \llbracket x \rrbracket$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n/\text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow :

- Let \bar{a}_i permute $F^n/\text{Null}(S)$ and let $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.
- Then $\bar{a}_i^m = \bar{1}$, so $\forall x \in F^n : \llbracket xa_i^m \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{a}_i^m = \llbracket x \rrbracket$.
- Thus, $xa_i^m = x + z$ for some $z \in \text{Null}(S)$ so that $xa_i^m s = (x + z)s = xs$ for every $s \in S$.



Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\overline{a_i}$ permutes $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Rightarrow :

- Let $\ell \in S$ satisfy $x\ell s = xs$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and every $s \in S$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Rightarrow :

- Let $\ell \in S$ satisfy $x\ell s = xs$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and every $s \in S$.
- Then $(x\ell - x)s = 0$, $x\ell - x \in \text{Null}(S)$, $\llbracket x\ell \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$, and $\bar{\ell} = \bar{1}$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Rightarrow :

- Let $\ell \in S$ satisfy $x\ell s = xs$ for every $x \in F^n$ and every $s \in S$.
- Then $(x\ell - x)s = 0$, $x\ell - x \in \text{Null}(S)$, $\llbracket x\ell \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$, and $\bar{\ell} = \bar{1}$.
- So, $\ell = ba_i$ for some permutations $\bar{b}, \bar{a}_i \in \bar{S}$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Rightarrow :

- Let $\ell \in S$ satisfy $x\ell s = xs$ for every $x \in F^n$ and every $s \in S$.
- Then $(x\ell - x)s = 0$, $x\ell - x \in \text{Null}(S)$, $\llbracket x\ell \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$, and $\bar{\ell} = \bar{1}$.
- So, $\ell = ba_i$ for some permutations $\bar{b}, \bar{a}_i \in \bar{S}$.
- Since $\bar{a}_i^m = \bar{1}$, $\forall x \in F^n : \llbracket xb \rrbracket \bar{a}_i = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{b} \bar{a}_i = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{a}_i^m = \llbracket xa_i^{m-1} \rrbracket \bar{a}_i$.

Proof of Left Identities Lemma

Left Identities Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $\ell \in S$ is a left identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that \bar{a}_i permutes $F^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and ℓ equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Rightarrow :

- Let $\ell \in S$ satisfy $x\ell s = xs$ for every $x \in F^n$ and every $s \in S$.
- Then $(x\ell - x)s = 0$, $x\ell - x \in \text{Null}(S)$, $\llbracket x\ell \rrbracket = \llbracket x \rrbracket$, and $\bar{\ell} = \bar{1}$.
- So, $\ell = ba_i$ for some permutations $\bar{b}, \bar{a}_i \in \bar{S}$.
- Since $\bar{a}_i^m = \bar{1}$, $\forall x \in F^n : \llbracket xb \rrbracket \bar{a}_i = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{b} \bar{a}_i = \llbracket x \rrbracket \bar{a}_i^m = \llbracket xa_i^{m-1} \rrbracket \bar{a}_i$.
- Since \bar{a}_i is a permutation, $\llbracket xb \rrbracket = \llbracket xa_i^{m-1} \rrbracket$ so that, for any $s \in S$, $xbs = xa_i^{m-1}s$. In particular, $xba_i = xa_i^m$.



Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.
- Note that $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so $\text{Row}(a_i) \cap \text{Null}(a_i) = \emptyset$.

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.
- Note that $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so $\text{Row}(a_i) \cap \text{Null}(a_i) = \emptyset$.
- A basis B of $\text{Row}(a_i)$ and a basis C of $\text{Null}(a_i)$ forms a basis for \mathbb{F}^n .

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.
- Note that $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so $\text{Row}(a_i) \cap \text{Null}(a_i) = \emptyset$.
- A basis B of $\text{Row}(a_i)$ and a basis C of $\text{Null}(a_i)$ forms a basis for \mathbb{F}^n .
- Let P be the matrix with rows from B followed by rows from C .

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.
- Note that $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so $\text{Row}(a_i) \cap \text{Null}(a_i) = \emptyset$.
- A basis B of $\text{Row}(a_i)$ and a basis C of $\text{Null}(a_i)$ forms a basis for \mathbb{F}^n .
- Let P be the matrix with rows from B followed by rows from C .
- $a_i = P^{-1}DP$ for some block diagonal D with zeroes outside of the top corner block of dimension $|B| \times |B|$.

Left Identities Theorem

Left Identities Theorem

LeftIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Let $S = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. Recall $\text{Null}(S) := \bigcap \text{Null}(a_i)$.
- Generate $\mathbb{F}^n / \text{Null}(S)$ and enumerate each \bar{a}_i that permutes \mathbb{F}^n .
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$'s are left identities.
- Note that $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so $\text{Row}(a_i) \cap \text{Null}(a_i) = \emptyset$.
- A basis B of $\text{Row}(a_i)$ and a basis C of $\text{Null}(a_i)$ forms a basis for \mathbb{F}^n .
- Let P be the matrix with rows from B followed by rows from C .
- $a_i = P^{-1}DP$ for some block diagonal D with zeroes outside of the top corner block of dimension $|B| \times |B|$.
- $a_i^{m_i} = P^{-1}D^{m_i}P$ where D^{m_i} is diagonal with 1's in the first $|B|$ diagonal entries and zeroes elsewhere.

Right Identities Problem

RightIdentities

Input: $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$

Problem: Enumerate the right identities of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$.

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

So, a_i embeds $\text{Row}(S)$ into \mathbb{F}^n and $a_i|_{\text{Row}(S)}$ is bijective.

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

So, a_i embeds $\text{Row}(S)$ into \mathbb{F}^n and $a_i|_{\text{Row}(S)}$ is bijective.

Then a_i^m fixes $\text{Row}(S)$. That is, $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}^n, \forall s \in S : xsa_i^m = xs$.

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

So, a_i embeds $\text{Row}(S)$ into \mathbb{F}^n and $a_i|_{\text{Row}(S)}$ is bijective.

Then a_i^m fixes $\text{Row}(S)$. That is, $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}^n, \forall s \in S : xsa_i^m = xs$.

\Rightarrow : Let r satisfy $xsr = xs$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and every $s \in S$. Then r fixes $\text{Row}(S)$ and $r = a_i b$ for some $a_i, b \in S$ that permute $\text{Row}(S)$.

Proof of Right Identities Lemma

Right Identity Lemma

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, and $S := \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Then an element $r \in S$ is a right identity of S iff there is an $i \in [k]$ such that $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and r equals the idempotent power of a_i .

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $\text{Null}(a_i) \cap \text{Row}(S) = \{0\}$ and $(a_i^m)^2 = a_i^m$.

So, a_i embeds $\text{Row}(S)$ into \mathbb{F}^n and $a_i|_{\text{Row}(S)}$ is bijective.

Then a_i^m fixes $\text{Row}(S)$. That is, $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}^n, \forall s \in S : xsa_i^m = xs$.

\Rightarrow : Let r satisfy $xsr = xs$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and every $s \in S$. Then r fixes $\text{Row}(S)$ and $r = a_i b$ for some $a_i, b \in S$ that permute $\text{Row}(S)$.

Since a_i^m fixes $\text{Row}(S)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}^n : xa_i b = xa_i a_i^m b = xa_i^m a_i b = xa_i^m$. □

Right Identity Theorem

Right Identity Theorem

RightIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Right Identity Theorem

Right Identity Theorem

RightIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Generate $\text{Row}(S)$ and enumerate the a_i 's that permute $\text{Row}(S)$.

Right Identity Theorem

Right Identity Theorem

RightIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Generate $\text{Row}(S)$ and enumerate the a_i 's that permute $\text{Row}(S)$.
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$ are the right identities.

Right Identity Theorem

Right Identity Theorem

RightIdentities can be solved in polynomial time.

Proof.

- Generate $\text{Row}(S)$ and enumerate the a_i 's that permute $\text{Row}(S)$.
- By Lemma, the idempotent powers $a_i^{m_i}$ are the right identities.
- As with left identities, $\text{Null}(a_i) = \text{Null}(S)$, so we can build these idempotents simply from knowing a basis B of $\text{Row}(a_i)$ and a basis C of $\text{Null}(a_i)$.



Matrix Nilpotence

Notation

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is said to be **nilpotent** if it has a zero element, $0 \in S$, satisfying $0S = \{0\}$ and there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S^d = \{0\}$. If $S^d = \{0\}$, we say S is d -nilpotent.

Matrix Nilpotence

Notation

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is said to be **nilpotent** if it has a zero element, $0 \in S$, satisfying $0S = \{0\}$ and there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S^d = \{0\}$. If $S^d = \{0\}$, we say S is d -nilpotent.

Nilpotence

Input: $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$

Output: Whether $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ is nilpotent.

Matrix Nilpotence

Notation

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is said to be **nilpotent** if it has a zero element, $0 \in S$, satisfying $0S = \{0\}$ and there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S^d = \{0\}$. If $S^d = \{0\}$, we say S is d -nilpotent.

Nilpotence

Input: $a_1, \dots, a_k \in T_n$

Output: Whether $\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ is nilpotent.

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- Let m be minimal s.t. $V_m = V_{m+1}$. We prove $V_m = V_j$ for $j \geq m$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- Let m be minimal s.t. $V_m = V_{m+1}$. We prove $V_m = V_j$ for $j \geq m$.
- If $V_m = V_j$. Then $V_m = V_{m+1} = \text{span}(V_m S) = \text{span}(V_j S) = V_{j+1}$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- Let m be minimal s.t. $V_m = V_{m+1}$. We prove $V_m = V_j$ for $j \geq m$.
- If $V_m = V_j$. Then $V_m = V_{m+1} = \text{span}(V_m S) = \text{span}(V_j S) = V_{j+1}$.
- Certainly $m \leq d$, so $\text{span}(V_0 S^m) = V_m = V_d = \mathbb{F}^n 0$, so $m = d$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- Let m be minimal s.t. $V_m = V_{m+1}$. We prove $V_m = V_j$ for $j \geq m$.
- If $V_m = V_j$. Then $V_m = V_{m+1} = \text{span}(V_m S) = \text{span}(V_j S) = V_{j+1}$.
- Certainly $m \leq d$, so $\text{span}(V_0 S^m) = V_m = V_d = \mathbb{F}^n 0$, so $m = d$.
- Note, $\mathbb{F}^n S^{i+1} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n S^i$ implies $V^{i+1} \subseteq V^i$ and $V_{i+1} \neq V_i$ for $i < d$.

Proof of Lemma (1)

Lemma

A matrix semigroup $S \leq \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is nilpotent iff it is n -nilpotent.

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i S)$.
- By linearity, $V_i = \text{span}(V_0 S^i)$.
- Then, if S has nilpotency degree d ,
 $V_d = \text{span}(V_0 S^d) = \text{span}(V_0 0) = \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- Let m be minimal s.t. $V_m = V_{m+1}$. We prove $V_m = V_j$ for $j \geq m$.
- If $V_m = V_j$. Then $V_m = V_{m+1} = \text{span}(V_m S) = \text{span}(V_j S) = V_{j+1}$.
- Certainly $m \leq d$, so $\text{span}(V_0 S^m) = V_m = V_d = \mathbb{F}^n 0$, so $m = d$.
- Note, $\mathbb{F}^n S^{i+1} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n S^i$ implies $V^{i+1} \subseteq V^i$ and $V_{i+1} \neq V_i$ for $i < d$.
- Then $n = \dim(V_0) > \dim(V_0 S) > \dots > \dim(V_0 S^d) = 0$ and $n \geq d$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.
- One direction is clear. For the other, assume (1) and (2) hold.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.
- One direction is clear. For the other, assume (1) and (2) hold.
- Pick any $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and any $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.
- One direction is clear. For the other, assume (1) and (2) hold.
- Pick any $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and any $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.
- By (1), $x s_1 \cdots s_n \in \mathbb{F}^n 0$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.
- One direction is clear. For the other, assume (1) and (2) hold.
- Pick any $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and any $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.
- By (1), $x s_1 \cdots s_n \in \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- By (2), $x s_1 \cdots s_n = x s_1 \cdots s_n 0 = x 0$.

Nilpotence Theorem

Matrix Nilpotence Theorem

Nilpotence is in P.

Proof

- Let $V_0 = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $V_{i+1} = \text{span}(V_i a_j | j \in [k])$.
- Let $0 = a_1^n$. We claim S is nilpotent iff:
(1) $V_n = \mathbb{F}^n 0$ and (2) $0 a_j = a_j 0 = 0$ for every $j \in [k]$.
- One direction is clear. For the other, assume (1) and (2) hold.
- Pick any $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and any $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.
- By (1), $x s_1 \cdots s_n \in \mathbb{F}^n 0$.
- By (2), $x s_1 \cdots s_n = x s_1 \cdots s_n 0 = x 0$.
- By Lemma, we need only produce V_n and check (1) and (2). These can be done in polynomial time by methods like Gaussian elimination.