A generalization of affine algebras

Eran Crockett

BLAST 2019 University of Colorado at Boulder 20 May 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let A be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on A.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Affine terms

Let *A* be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on *A*. The operation *f* is said to be *affine with respect the abelian group* $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; +, -, 0 \rangle$ if there exist endomorphisms r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n of \mathbb{A} and an element *a* in *A* such that

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Affine terms

Let *A* be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on *A*. The operation *f* is said to be *affine with respect the abelian group* $\mathbb{A} = \langle A; +, -, 0 \rangle$ if there exist endomorphisms r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n of \mathbb{A} and an element *a* in *A* such that

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = r_1(x_1) + r_2(x_2) + \cdots + r_n(x_n) + a.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *affine* if there is an abelian group A such that

Affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *affine* if there is an abelian group A such that

•
$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3$$
 is in Clo(**A**), and

Affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *affine* if there is an abelian group \mathbb{A} such that

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $x_1 x_2 + x_3$ is in Clo(**A**), and
- every f in F is affine with respect to \mathbb{A} .

every abelian group



- every abelian group
- every module over a ring

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- every abelian group
- every module over a ring
- the quasigroup with Cayley table below

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- every abelian group
- every module over a ring
- the quasigroup with Cayley table below

0	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

 $\forall m, n \geq 1,$



An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m,$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n,$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

$$\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(x,z) = t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) = t(y,w).$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(x,z) = t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) = t(y,w).$$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *Mal'cev* if there is q in $Clo_3(\mathbf{A})$ that satisfies

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(x,z) = t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) = t(y,w).$$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *Mal'cev* if there is q in $Clo_3(\mathbf{A})$ that satisfies

 $\forall x, y \in A$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *abelian* if it satisfies

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x, y \in A^m, \forall z, w \in A^n, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$

$$t(x,z) = t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) = t(y,w).$$

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *Mal'cev* if there is q in $Clo_3(\mathbf{A})$ that satisfies

$$\forall x, y \in A$$

$$q(x, y, y) = x = q(y, y, x).$$

 every group, ring, module, quasigroup, and nearring is Mal'cev.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- every group, ring, module, quasigroup, and nearring is Mal'cev.
- ▶ a group is abelian if and only if it is an abelian group.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- every group, ring, module, quasigroup, and nearring is Mal'cev.
- ▶ a group is abelian if and only if it is an abelian group.
- ▶ a ring is abelian if and only if the multiplication is zero.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- every group, ring, module, quasigroup, and nearring is Mal'cev.
- ▶ a group is abelian if and only if it is an abelian group.
- ▶ a ring is abelian if and only if the multiplication is zero.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

every module is abelian.

- every group, ring, module, quasigroup, and nearring is Mal'cev.
- ▶ a group is abelian if and only if it is an abelian group.
- ▶ a ring is abelian if and only if the multiplication is zero.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

every module is abelian.

Fundamental theorem of abelian algebras

Theorem (Herrmann, 1979)

Let **A** belong to a modular variety. Then **A** is affine if and only if it is abelian.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Goal

To classify or characterize affine algebras



Goal

To classify or characterize affine algebras

Consider the integers as an abelian group $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0 \rangle$ and as a \mathbb{Z} -module $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0, \ell_a \rangle_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Are these different algebras?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Goal

To classify or characterize affine algebras

Consider the integers as an abelian group $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0 \rangle$ and as a \mathbb{Z} -module $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0, \ell_a \rangle_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Are these different algebras? Two algebras on the same set are said to be *term-equivalent* if they have the same term operations.

Goal

To classify or characterize affine algebras

Consider the integers as an abelian group $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0 \rangle$ and as a \mathbb{Z} -module $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, -, 0, \ell_a \rangle_{a \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Are these different algebras? Two algebras on the same set are said to be *term-equivalent* if they have the same term operations. We only want to classify algebras up to term equivalence.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト ウヘぐ



A clone on a set A is a collection C of operations on A such that

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

A *clone* on a set A is a collection C of operations on A such that \blacktriangleright the projection operations $\operatorname{proj}_{i}^{k} : A^{k} \to A$ are in C, and

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A clone on a set A is a collection C of operations on A such that

- the projection operations $\operatorname{proj}_i^k : A^k \to A$ are in \mathcal{C} , and
- ▶ if f is n-ary, and g₁,..., g_n are k-ary operations in C, then f[g₁,...,g_n] is in C as well.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A clone on a set A is a collection $\mathcal C$ of operations on A such that

- the projection operations $\operatorname{proj}_i^k : A^k \to A$ are in \mathcal{C} , and
- ▶ if f is n-ary, and g₁,..., g_n are k-ary operations in C, then f[g₁,..., g_n] is in C as well.

Every algebra $\langle A; F \rangle$ gives rise to the clone Clo(A; F) generated by F.

A clone on a set A is a collection C of operations on A such that

- the projection operations $\operatorname{proj}_i^k : A^k \to A$ are in \mathcal{C} , and
- ▶ if f is n-ary, and g₁,..., g_n are k-ary operations in C, then f[g₁,...,g_n] is in C as well.

Every algebra $\langle A; F \rangle$ gives rise to the clone $\operatorname{Clo}(A; F)$ generated by F. Two algebras $\langle A; F \rangle$ and $\langle A; G \rangle$ are term equivalent if and only if $\operatorname{Clo}(A; F) = \operatorname{Clo}(A; G)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Classifying affine clones

Let \mathbbm{A} be an abelian group,
Let $\mathbb A$ be an abelian group, let R be a unital subring of the ring of endomorphisms of $\mathbb A,$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian group, let **R** be a unital subring of the ring of endomorphisms of \mathbb{A} , and let **M** be a submodule of $_{R}R \times_{R} A$.

Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian group, let **R** be a unital subring of the ring of endomorphisms of \mathbb{A} , and let **M** be a submodule of $_{R}R \times_{R} A$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{M}) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i(x_i) + a : r_i \in R, (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i, a) \in M\right\}$$

is an affine clone on the set A. Conversely:

Let \mathbb{A} be an abelian group, let **R** be a unital subring of the ring of endomorphisms of \mathbb{A} , and let **M** be a submodule of $_{R}R \times_{R} A$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{A},\mathbf{R},\mathbf{M}) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(x_i) + a: r_i \in R, (1-\sum_{i=1}^n r_i,a) \in M\right\}$$

is an affine clone on the set A. Conversely:

Theorem (Szendrei, 1980)

If ${\mathcal C}$ is an affine clone on a set A, then there exist ${\mathbb A}, R,$ and M such that

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{M}).$$

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $\forall m, n \geq 1,$

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x \; \alpha^m \; y,$

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x \; \alpha^m \; y, \forall z \; \beta^n \; w,$

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

 $\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x \; \alpha^m \; y, \forall z \; \beta^n \; w, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathbf{A})$

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

$$\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x \; \alpha^m \; y, \forall z \; \beta^n \; w, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathsf{A})$$

$$t(x,z) \gamma t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) \gamma t(y,w).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let α,β,γ be congruences of an algebra ${\bf A}.$ We say α centralizes β modulo γ if

$$\forall m, n \geq 1, \forall x \; \alpha^m \; y, \forall z \; \beta^n \; w, \forall t \in \mathrm{Clo}_{m+n}(\mathsf{A})$$

$$t(x,z) \gamma t(x,w) \implies t(y,z) \gamma t(y,w).$$

The commutator of α and β is

$$[\alpha,\beta] = \bigcap \{\gamma : \alpha \text{ centralizes } \beta \text{ modulo } \gamma \}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$1_{\mathcal{A}} = heta_0 \ge heta_1 \ge \dots \ge heta_c = 0_{\mathcal{A}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

of congruences satisfying $[1_A, \theta_i] \leq \theta_{i+1}$.

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} = \theta_0 \geq \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_c = \mathbf{0}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

of congruences satisfying $[1_A, \theta_i] \leq \theta_{i+1}$. The sequence above is called a *central series*.

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} = \theta_0 \geq \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_c = \mathbf{0}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

of congruences satisfying $[1_A, \theta_i] \leq \theta_{i+1}$. The sequence above is called a *central series*. The integer *c* is the *length* of the central series.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\mathbf{1}_{A} = \theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{c} = \mathbf{0}_{A}$$

of congruences satisfying $[1_A, \theta_i] \leq \theta_{i+1}$. The sequence above is called a *central series*. The integer *c* is the *length* of the central series. The *nilpotence class of* **A** is the length of its shortest central series.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Nil-2-affine terms

Let A be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on A. Let A be an abelian group with underlying set A and let θ be a congruence of A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Nil-2-affine terms

Let *A* be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on *A*. Let *A* be an abelian group with underlying set *A* and let θ be a congruence of *A*. The operation *f* is said to be *nil-2-affine with respect to A*, θ if there exist θ -preserving endomorphisms r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n of *A* and an operation $a : A^n \to A$ satisfying

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

•
$$x, y \in A^n \implies a(x) \ \theta \ a(y)$$
, and

$$\blacktriangleright x \ \theta^n \ y \implies a(x) = (y)$$

such that

Nil-2-affine terms

Let *A* be a nonempty set and let $f : A^n \to A$ be an operation on *A*. Let *A* be an abelian group with underlying set *A* and let θ be a congruence of *A*. The operation *f* is said to be *nil-2-affine with respect to A*, θ if there exist θ -preserving endomorphisms r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n of *A* and an operation $a : A^n \to A$ satisfying

•
$$x, y \in A^n \implies a(x) \ \theta \ a(y)$$
, and

$$\blacktriangleright x \ \theta^n \ y \implies a(x) = (y)$$

such that

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = r_1(x_1) + r_2(x_2) + \cdots + r_n(x_n) + a(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Nil-2-affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *nil-2-affine* if there is an abelian group \mathbb{A} and a congruence θ of \mathbb{A} such that

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Nil-2-affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *nil-2-affine* if there is an abelian group \mathbb{A} and a congruence θ of \mathbb{A} such that

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

•
$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3$$
 is in Clo(**A**), and

Nil-2-affine algebras

An algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ is said to be *nil-2-affine* if there is an abelian group \mathbb{A} and a congruence θ of \mathbb{A} such that

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $x_1 x_2 + x_3$ is in Clo(**A**), and
- every f in F is nil-2-affine with respect to \mathbb{A} , θ .

• every affine algebra (just choose $\theta = 1_A$ or $\theta = 0_A$)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• every affine algebra (just choose $\theta = 1_A$ or $\theta = 0_A$)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• the algebra $\mathbf{E}_k = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1 \cdots x_k \rangle$

- every affine algebra (just choose $\theta = 1_A$ or $\theta = 0_A$)
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_k = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1 \cdots x_k \rangle$
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_{\infty} = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1, 2x_1x_2, 2x_1x_2x_3, \dots, \rangle$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- every affine algebra (just choose $\theta = 1_A$ or $\theta = 0_A$)
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_k = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1 \cdots x_k \rangle$
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_{\infty} = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1, 2x_1x_2, 2x_1x_2x_3, \dots, \rangle$

• the algebras $\mathbf{M}(p,q)$ from my dissertation

- every affine algebra (just choose $\theta = 1_A$ or $\theta = 0_A$)
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_k = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1 \cdots x_k \rangle$
- ▶ the algebra $\mathbf{E}_{\infty} = \langle \mathbb{Z}_4; +, -, 0, 1, 2x_1, 2x_1x_2, 2x_1x_2x_3, \dots, \rangle$

• the algebras $\mathbf{M}(p,q)$ from my dissertation

Relation to Mal'cev algebras of nilpotence class at most 2

Proposition (EC)

If **A** is nil-2-affine, then **A** is both Mal'cev and nilpotent of class at most 2.

Relation to Mal'cev algebras of nilpotence class at most 2

Proposition (EC)

If **A** is nil-2-affine, then **A** is both Mal'cev and nilpotent of class at most 2.

Open Question

Does there exist an algebra **A** that is both Mal'cev and nilpotent of class 2, but is not nil-2-affine?

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \operatorname{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \operatorname{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} ,

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} ,

•
$$[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$$
,

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

- θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} ,
- $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$, and
- ▶ $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$,

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \mathrm{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

- θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} ,
- $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$, and
- $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$,

then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Theorem (EC)

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A; F \rangle$ be an algebra such that $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \in \operatorname{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ for some abelian group \mathbb{A} . If

- θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} ,
- $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$, and
- $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$,

then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Open Question

Is this true when θ is not a factor congruence?

Let **A** be an algebra with Mal'cev term operation q and let α,β,γ be congruences of **A**. Define

$$C(q,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \{(x,y,z,w) \in A^4 : x \alpha y, y \beta z, q(x,y,z) \gamma w\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let **A** be an algebra with Mal'cev term operation q and let α, β, γ be congruences of **A**. Define

$$C(q,\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \{(x,y,z,w) \in A^4 : x \alpha y, y \beta z, q(x,y,z) \gamma w\}.$$

Proposition (Aichinger & Mayr, 2007) $[\alpha, \beta] \leq \gamma$ if and only if $C(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a subuniverse of \mathbf{A}^4 .
Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F.

Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F. Since θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} , there is congruence ϕ of \mathbb{A} such that $\theta \circ \phi = 1_A$ and $\theta \wedge \phi = 0_A$.

Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F. Since θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} , there is congruence ϕ of \mathbb{A} such that $\theta \circ \phi = 1_A$ and $\theta \wedge \phi = 0_A$. Let $U = 0/\theta$ and $V = 0/\phi$.

Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F. Since θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} , there is congruence ϕ of \mathbb{A} such that $\theta \circ \phi = 1_A$ and $\theta \wedge \phi = 0_A$. Let $U = 0/\theta$ and $V = 0/\phi$. Define $f^\circ, g, h : A^n \to A$ by

$$f^{\circ}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$$

Suppose
$$[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$$
 and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So
 $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are
subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F . Since θ is a factor
congruence of \mathbb{A} , there is congruence ϕ of \mathbb{A} such that $\theta \circ \phi = 1_A$
and $\theta \wedge \phi = 0_A$. Let $U = 0/\theta$ and $V = 0/\phi$. Define
 $f^\circ, g, h : A^n \to A$ by

$$f^{\circ}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$$

 $g(x) = f^{\circ}(u)$ where u is the unique elt of U^n with $u \phi^n x$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Suppose $[1_A, 1_A] \leq \theta$ and $[1_A, \theta] \leq 0_A$. So $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, 1_A, \theta)$ and $C(x_1 - x_2 + x_3, 1_A, \theta, 0_A)$ are subuniverses of \mathbf{A}^4 . Let $f : A^n \to A$ be in F. Since θ is a factor congruence of \mathbb{A} , there is congruence ϕ of \mathbb{A} such that $\theta \circ \phi = 1_A$ and $\theta \wedge \phi = 0_A$. Let $U = 0/\theta$ and $V = 0/\phi$. Define $f^\circ, g, h : A^n \to A$ by

$$f^{\circ}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$$

 $g(x) = f^{\circ}(u)$ where u is the unique elt of U^n with $u \phi^n x$

h(x) is the unique elt of V with $h(x) \theta f^{\circ}(x)$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Sketch continued

For
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, define $r_i : A \to A$ by
 $r_i(x) = g(0, ..., 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, ..., 0) + h(0, ..., 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, ..., 0)$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Sketch continued

For
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, define $r_i : A \to A$ by
 $r_i(x) = g(0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, \dots, 0) + h(0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, \dots, 0)$
Define $a : A^n \to A$ by
 $a(x) = f(x) - g(x) - h(x)$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Sketch continued

For
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, define $r_i : A \to A$ by
 $r_i(x) = g(0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, \dots, 0) + h(0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{x}, 0, \dots, 0)$
Define $a : A^n \to A$ by
 $a(x) = f(x) - g(x) - h(x)$

Claim:

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=r_1(x_1)+\cdots+r_n(x_n)+a(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

where r_1, \ldots, r_n and *a* satisfy the desired properties.

Proposition (Exercise from Freese & McKenzie, 1987) Every nilpotent Mal'cev algebra is polynomially equivalent to an expansion of a nilpotent loop.

Proposition (Exercise from Freese & McKenzie, 1987)

Every nilpotent Mal'cev algebra is polynomially equivalent to an expansion of a nilpotent loop.

Proposition (Daly & Vojtechovsky, 2009)

If a finite nilpotent loop has a central congruence of index 2, then it is an abelian group.

A (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order 2p for some odd prime p. Further suppose **A** has a central congruence θ of index 2. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

A (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order 2p for some odd prime p. Further suppose **A** has a central congruence θ of index 2. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Proof.

Since **A** is nilpotent and Mal'cev, it is p.e. to an expansion **E** of a nilpotent loop \mathbb{L} .

A (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order 2p for some odd prime *p*. Further suppose **A** has a central congruence θ of index 2. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Proof.

Since **A** is nilpotent and Mal'cev, it is p.e. to an expansion **E** of a nilpotent loop \mathbb{L} . Since p.e. algebras share the same congruences and centrality relations, θ is a central congruence of \mathbb{L} .

A (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order 2p for some odd prime *p*. Further suppose **A** has a central congruence θ of index 2. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Proof.

Since **A** is nilpotent and Mal'cev, it is p.e. to an expansion **E** of a nilpotent loop \mathbb{L} . Since p.e. algebras share the same congruences and centrality relations, θ is a central congruence of \mathbb{L} . So \mathbb{L} is a cyclic group and θ is a factor congruence.

A (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order 2p for some odd prime *p*. Further suppose **A** has a central congruence θ of index 2. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Proof.

Since **A** is nilpotent and Mal'cev, it is p.e. to an expansion **E** of a nilpotent loop \mathbb{L} . Since p.e. algebras share the same congruences and centrality relations, θ is a central congruence of \mathbb{L} . So \mathbb{L} is a cyclic group and θ is a factor congruence. If $x_1 - x_2 + x_3$ is a polynomial operation of **A**, then must it be a term operation?

Open Question

Is every nilpotent loop of order pq (where p and q are distinct primes) nil-2-affine?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Open Question

Is every nilpotent loop of order pq (where p and q are distinct primes) nil-2-affine?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

A (very partial) answer Yes!

Open Question

Is every nilpotent loop of order pq (where p and q are distinct primes) nil-2-affine?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

A (very partial) answer

Yes! At least when pq = 6.

Open Question

Is every nilpotent loop of order pq (where p and q are distinct primes) nil-2-affine?

A (very partial) answer

Yes! At least when pq = 6.

Another (possibly true) proposition

Let **A** be a nilpotent Mal'cev algebra of order pq where p and q are distinct primes. Further suppose a positive answer to the above question. Then **A** is nil-2-affine.

Future work

Future work

generalize Szendrei's result to nil-2-affine algebras

Future work

generalize Szendrei's result to nil-2-affine algebras

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• extend to nil-*c*-affine algebras for c > 2.