

# Descriptive classification of abelian orbit equivalence relations

Michael Cotton

University of North Texas  
michaelrobertcotton@gmail.com

May 21, 2019  
BLAST 2019 @ CU Boulder

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish** space is a topological space which is separable and completely metrizable.

Polish spaces are the conventional spaces of analysis. But when dealing with questions of complexity, we usually do not care about any particular topology and instead consider only the Borel structure.

A **standard Borel** space is a set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets which are the Borel sets given by a Polish topology.

- ▶ Any two uncountable Polish spaces are Borel isomorphic.
- ▶ So a set which is Borel in *some* Polish topology must be Borel in *all* Polish topologies.
- ▶ It makes sense to talk of *the* standard Borel structure of a space.

A **Polish group** is a topological group (i.e., the group operations are continuous) whose topology is Polish.

A **standard Borel group** is a group with a standard Borel structure for which the group operations are Borel functions.



A **Polish group** is a topological group (i.e., the group operations are continuous) whose topology is Polish.

A **standard Borel group** is a group with a standard Borel structure for which the group operations are Borel functions.

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$ : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is Borel reducible to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$ : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is Borel reducible to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$  : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is Borel reducible to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$ : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is Borel reducible to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$  : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is Borel reducible to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

$G$ : a Polish or standard Borel group

$X$ : a Polish or standard Borel space

$x \mapsto g \cdot x$ : a continuous or Borel action

The orbit equivalence relation  $E_G^X$ :

$$xE_G^X y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G (g \cdot x = y)$$

$E, F$ : equivalence relations on std. Borel spaces  $X, Y$ , resp.,

$E \leq_B F$ , or  $E$  is **Borel reducible** to  $F$ , if there is a Borel function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$xE x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) F f(x')$$

An equivalence relation  $E$  is called **finite** if each  $E$ -class is finite.

$E$  is called **countable** if each  $E$ -class is countable.

- ▶ If  $G$  is a countable discrete group, then any  $E_G^X$  is countable.

**Theorem (Feldman–Moore)**

*Any countable Borel equivalence relation is the orbit equivalence relation induced by some Borel action of some countable discrete group.*



An equivalence relation  $E$  is called **finite** if each  $E$ -class is finite.

$E$  is called **countable** if each  $E$ -class is countable.

- ▶ If  $G$  is a countable discrete group, then any  $E_G^X$  is countable.

### Theorem (Feldman–Moore)

*Any countable Borel equivalence relation is the orbit equivalence relation induced by some Borel action of some countable discrete group.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is called **finite** if each  $E$ -class is finite.

$E$  is called **countable** if each  $E$ -class is countable.

- ▶ If  $G$  is a countable discrete group, then any  $E_G^X$  is countable.

Theorem (Feldman–Moore)

*Any countable Borel equivalence relation is the orbit equivalence relation induced by some Borel action of some countable discrete group.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is called **finite** if each  $E$ -class is finite.

$E$  is called **countable** if each  $E$ -class is countable.

- ▶ If  $G$  is a countable discrete group, then any  $E_G^X$  is countable.

### Theorem (Feldman–Moore)

*Any countable Borel equivalence relation is the orbit equivalence relation induced by some Borel action of some countable discrete group.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **hyperfinite** if  $E = \bigcup E_n$  with each  $E_n$  a finite equivalence relation and each  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ .

Example

$E_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$xE_0y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Define

$$xE_{0,n}y \Leftrightarrow \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Each  $E_{0,n}$  is finite, and  $E_0$  is the increasing union of the  $E_{0,n}$ 's

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **hyperfinite** if  $E = \bigcup E_n$  with each  $E_n$  a finite equivalence relation and each  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ .

## Example

$E_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$xE_0y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Define

$$xE_{0,n}y \Leftrightarrow \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Each  $E_{0,n}$  is finite, and  $E_0$  is the increasing union of the  $E_{0,n}$ 's

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **hyperfinite** if  $E = \bigcup E_n$  with each  $E_n$  a finite equivalence relation and each  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ .

## Example

$E_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$xE_0y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Define

$$xE_{0,n}y \Leftrightarrow \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Each  $E_{0,n}$  is finite, and  $E_0$  is the increasing union of the  $E_{0,n}$ 's

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **hyperfinite** if  $E = \bigcup E_n$  with each  $E_n$  a finite equivalence relation and each  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ .

## Example

$E_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$xE_0y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Define

$$xE_{0,n}y \Leftrightarrow \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Each  $E_{0,n}$  is finite, and  $E_0$  is the increasing union of the  $E_{0,n}$ 's

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **hyperfinite** if  $E = \bigcup E_n$  with each  $E_n$  a finite equivalence relation and each  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ .

## Example

$E_0$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ :

$$xE_0y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Define

$$xE_{0,n}y \Leftrightarrow \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m))$$

Each  $E_{0,n}$  is finite, and  $E_0$  is the increasing union of the  $E_{0,n}$ 's



## Theorem (Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris)

*For a countable Borel equivalence relation  $E$ ,  $E$  is hyperfinite iff  $E \leq_B E_0$ .*

## Theorem (Gao–Jackson)

*For any countable abelian group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

So the countable case for abelian groups has been settled.

## Conjecture

*For any countable amenable group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

## Theorem (Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris)

*For a countable Borel equivalence relation  $E$ ,  $E$  is hyperfinite iff  $E \leq_B E_0$ .*

## Theorem (Gao–Jackson)

*For any countable abelian group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

So the countable case for abelian groups has been settled.

## Conjecture

*For any countable amenable group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

## Theorem (Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris)

*For a countable Borel equivalence relation  $E$ ,  $E$  is hyperfinite iff  $E \leq_B E_0$ .*

## Theorem (Gao–Jackson)

*For any countable abelian group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

So the countable case for abelian groups has been settled.

## Conjecture

*For any countable amenable group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

## Theorem (Dougherty–Jackson–Kechris)

*For a countable Borel equivalence relation  $E$ ,  $E$  is hyperfinite iff  $E \leq_B E_0$ .*

## Theorem (Gao–Jackson)

*For any countable abelian group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.*

So the countable case for abelian groups has been settled.

## Conjecture

For any countable amenable group  $G$ ,  $E_G^X$  is hyperfinite.

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **essentially countable** if  $E \leq_B F$  for some countable Borel equivalence relation  $F$ .

$E$  is **essentially hyperfinite** if  $E \leq_B E_0$ .

### Conjecture

If  $G$  is an abelian Polish group and  $E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **essentially countable** if  $E \leq_B F$  for some countable Borel equivalence relation  $F$ .

$E$  is **essentially hyperfinite** if  $E \leq_B E_0$ .

### Conjecture

If  $G$  is an abelian Polish group and  $E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **essentially countable** if  $E \leq_B F$  for some countable Borel equivalence relation  $F$ .

$E$  is **essentially hyperfinite** if  $E \leq_B E_0$ .

## Conjecture

If  $G$  is an abelian Polish group and  $E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.

A topological group is **non-archimedean** if it has a nbhd base of its identity consisting of open (hence clopen) subgroups.

### Theorem (Ding–Gao)

*If  $G$  is a non-archimedean abelian Polish group and  $E \leq_B E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

- ▶ Locally compact Polish groups induce essentially countable orbit equivalence relations. (Kechris)

### Corollary

*If  $G$  is a locally compact non-archimedean abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite*



A topological group is **non-archimedean** if it has a nbhd base of its identity consisting of open (hence clopen) subgroups.

### Theorem (Ding–Gao)

*If  $G$  is a non-archimedean abelian Polish group and  $E \leq_B E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

- ▶ Locally compact Polish groups induce essentially countable orbit equivalence relations. (Kechris)

### Corollary

If  $G$  is a locally compact non-archimedean abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite

A topological group is **non-archimedean** if it has a nbhd base of its identity consisting of open (hence clopen) subgroups.

### Theorem (Ding–Gao)

*If  $G$  is a non-archimedean abelian Polish group and  $E \leq_B E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

- ▶ Locally compact Polish groups induce essentially countable orbit equivalence relations. (Kechris)

### Corollary

If  $G$  is a locally compact non-archimedean abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite

A topological group is **non-archimedean** if it has a nbhd base of its identity consisting of open (hence clopen) subgroups.

### Theorem (Ding–Gao)

*If  $G$  is a non-archimedean abelian Polish group and  $E \leq_B E_G^X$  is essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

- ▶ Locally compact Polish groups induce essentially countable orbit equivalence relations. (Kechris)

### Corollary

If  $G$  is a locally compact non-archimedean abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **smooth** (or **concretely classifiable**) if  $E \leq_B \mathbb{R}$ .

$E$  is **hypersmooth** if it is the increasing union of smooth equivalence relations.

- ▶ If  $E$  is both hypersmooth and essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite. (Kechris–Louveau)

Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is (Borel) isomorphic to the sum of a countable abelian group with a countable sum of copies of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $E_G^X$  is hypersmooth.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **smooth** (or **concretely classifiable**) if  $E \leq_B \mathbb{R}$ .

$E$  is **hypersmooth** if it is the increasing union of smooth equivalence relations.

- ▶ If  $E$  is both hypersmooth and essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite. (Kechris–Louveau)

Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is (Borel) isomorphic to the sum of a countable abelian group with a countable sum of copies of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $E_G^X$  is hypersmooth.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **smooth** (or **concretely classifiable**) if  $E \leq_B \mathbb{R}$ .

$E$  is **hypersmooth** if it is the increasing union of smooth equivalence relations.

- ▶ If  $E$  is both hypersmooth and essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite. (Kechris–Louveau)

Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is (Borel) isomorphic to the sum of a countable abelian group with a countable sum of copies of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $E_G^X$  is hypersmooth.*

An equivalence relation  $E$  is **smooth** (or **concretely classifiable**) if  $E \leq_B \mathbb{R}$ .

$E$  is **hypersmooth** if it is the increasing union of smooth equivalence relations.

- ▶ If  $E$  is both hypersmooth and essentially countable, then  $E$  is essentially hyperfinite. (Kechris–Louveau)

### Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is (Borel) isomorphic to the sum of a countable abelian group with a countable sum of copies of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $E_G^X$  is hypersmooth.*

## Theorem (Pontryagin?)

*Every locally compact abelian group is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and abelian group  $H$  which has a compact open subgroup  $K$ .*

- ▶ We can "mod out" compact subgroups, i.e., if  $G$  has a compact subgroup  $K$ , then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_{G/K}^Y$  for a Borel  $Y \subseteq X$ .  
(Jackson–Kechris–Louveau)
- ▶ Polish groups are second countable, so then  $\frac{\mathbb{R}^n \times H}{\{0\}^n \times K} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times H/K$  where  $H/K$  is a countable abelian group.

## Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is a locally compact abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.*



## Theorem (Pontryagin?)

*Every locally compact abelian group is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and abelian group  $H$  which has a compact open subgroup  $K$ .*

- ▶ We can "mod out" compact subgroups, i.e., if  $G$  has a compact subgroup  $K$ , then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_{G/K}^Y$  for a Borel  $Y \subseteq X$ .  
(Jackson–Kechris–Louveau)

- ▶ Polish groups are second countable, so then  $\frac{\mathbb{R}^n \times H}{\{0\}^n \times K} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times H/K$  where  $H/K$  is a countable abelian group.

## Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is a locally compact abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

## Theorem (Pontryagin?)

*Every locally compact abelian group is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and abelian group  $H$  which has a compact open subgroup  $K$ .*

- ▶ We can "mod out" compact subgroups, i.e., if  $G$  has a compact subgroup  $K$ , then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_{G/K}^Y$  for a Borel  $Y \subseteq X$ .  
(Jackson–Kechris–Louveau)
- ▶ Polish groups are second countable, so then  $\frac{\mathbb{R}^n \times H}{\{0\}^n \times K} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times H/K$  where  $H/K$  is a *countable* abelian group.

## Theorem (C.)

*If  $G$  is a locally compact abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.*

## Theorem (Pontryagin?)

Every locally compact abelian group is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and abelian group  $H$  which has a compact open subgroup  $K$ .

- ▶ We can "mod out" compact subgroups, i.e., if  $G$  has a compact subgroup  $K$ , then  $E_G^X \leq_B E_{G/K}^Y$  for a Borel  $Y \subseteq X$ .  
(Jackson–Kechris–Louveau)
- ▶ Polish groups are second countable, so then  $\frac{\mathbb{R}^n \times H}{\{0\}^n \times K} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times H/K$  where  $H/K$  is a countable abelian group.

## Theorem (C.)

If  $G$  is a locally compact abelian Polish group, then  $E_G^X$  is essentially hyperfinite.

# Thank you for your attention!