

### Exercise 7.2.24

### Linear Algebra MATH 2130

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA

ABSTRACT. This is Exercise 7.2.24 from Lay [LLM16, §7.2]:

**Exercise 7.2.24.** Suppose that  $Q(\mathbf{x})$  is the quadratic form associated to the symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix};$$

in other words,  $Q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$ . Verify the following statements:

- $Q$  is positive definite if and only if  $\det(A) > 0$  and  $a > 0$ .
- $Q$  is negative definite if and only if  $\det(A) > 0$  and  $a < 0$ .
- $Q$  is indefinite if and only if  $\det(A) < 0$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be the roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , which are real numbers (see e.g., [LLM16, Thm. 3, p.399]).

- From [LLM16, Thm. 5, p.407], we have that  $Q$  is positive definite  $\iff \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . We have  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0 \iff \lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$ , since the first equality is equivalent to  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  having the same sign, and the second equality then implies that the sign must be positive. In other words, using [LLM16, Exe. 7.2.23] that  $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$  and  $\text{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , we have that  $Q$  is positive definite if and only if  $\det(A) > 0$  and  $\text{tr}(A) > 0$ . Using our particular matrix above, we can express the determinant and trace as  $ad - b^2$  and  $a + d$ , respectively. Thus  $Q$  is positive definite  $\iff ad - b^2 > 0$  and  $a + d > 0$ . But  $ad - b^2 > 0$  and  $a + d > 0 \iff ad - b^2 > 0$  and  $a > 0$ , since the equation  $ad - b^2 > 0$  is equivalent to  $ad > b^2 \geq 0$ , so that it implies  $ad > 0$ , meaning that the sign of  $a$  and  $d$  must be equal. In other words, given that  $ad - b^2 > 0$ , having  $a > 0$  is equivalent to having  $a + d > 0$ . In summary, we have shown that  $Q$  is positive definite if and only if  $\det(A) > 0$  and  $a > 0$ .

b. This is very similar to the last part, and so I will write the proof more concisely, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q \text{ is negative definite} &\iff \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 0 \\
 &\iff \lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 0 \\
 &\iff \det(A) > 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(A) < 0 \\
 &\iff ad - b^2 > 0 \text{ and } a + d < 0 \\
 &\iff ad - b^2 > 0 \text{ and } a < 0 \\
 &\iff \det(A) > 0 \text{ and } a < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

c. This is also very similar to the last two parts. We have that  $Q$  is indefinite if and only if  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  have opposite signs, which is equivalent to  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$ . In other words,  $Q$  is indefinite if and only if  $\det(A) < 0$ .

□

*Remark 0.1.* One can use similar techniques to show that  $Q$  is positive semi-definite if and only if  $\det(A) \geq 0$  and  $a, d \geq 0$  (since  $ad - b^2 \geq 0$  and  $a + d \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $ad - b^2 \geq 0$  and  $a, d \geq 0$ ). Similarly,  $Q$  is negative semi-definite if and only if  $\det(A) \geq 0$  and  $a, d \leq 0$  (since  $ad - b^2 \geq 0$  and  $a + d \leq 0$  is equivalent to  $ad - b^2 \geq 0$  and  $a, d \leq 0$ ).

*Remark 0.2.* Note that the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  shows that  $\det(A) \geq 0$  and  $a \geq 0$  is not enough to imply that  $A$  is positive semi-definite. Similarly, the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  shows that  $\det(A) \geq 0$  and  $a \leq 0$  is not enough to imply that  $A$  is negative semi-definite.

## REFERENCES

[LLM16] David Lay, Stephen Lay, and Judi McDonald, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Fifth edition, Pearson, 2016.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS BOX 395, BOULDER, CO 80309

*Email address:* casa@math.colorado.edu