

# Quadratic Reciprocity

Robert Hines

October 16, 2015

## Contents

1	Proofs Using the Quadratic Gauss Sum	1
2	Some Related Lemmata and a Few More Proofs	3
3	A Proof Using Jacobi Sums	6
4	The Quadratic Character of 2 and $-1$	7
5	Appendix: Sign of the Quadratic Gauss Sum	8

## 1 Proofs Using the Quadratic Gauss Sum

**Definition.** A Gauss sum  $g(a, \chi)$  associated to a character  $\chi$  of modulus  $n$  (a homomorphism  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  extended to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\chi(k) = 0$  if  $(k, n) > 1$ ) is

$$g(a, \chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \chi(k) e^{2\pi i a k / n}$$

Observe that for  $(a, n) = 1$

$$g(a, \chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \chi(k) e^{2\pi i a k / n} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \chi(l) \bar{\chi}(a) e^{2\pi i l / n} = \bar{\chi}(a) g(1, \chi)$$

with  $l = ka$ . Also observe that

$$\overline{g(a, \chi)} = \sum_k \bar{\chi}(k) e^{-2\pi i a k / n} = \bar{\chi}(-1) g(a, \bar{\chi}).$$

**Proposition.** For a non-principal character  $\chi$  of prime modulus  $p$  and  $(a, p) = 1$  we have

$$|g(a, \chi)|^2 = p.$$

*Proof.* We evaluate  $\sum_a g(a, \chi) \overline{g(a, \chi)}$  two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a g(a, \chi) \overline{g(a, \chi)} &= \sum_{a, k, l} \chi(kl^{-1}) e^{2\pi i a(k-l)/p} = \sum_{k, l} \chi(kl^{-1}) \sum_a e^{2\pi i a(k-l)/p} \\ &= \sum_{k, l} \chi(kl^{-1}) p \delta_{kl} = p(p-1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a g(a, \chi) \overline{g(a, \chi)} &= \sum_a \bar{\chi}(a) g(1, \chi) \chi(a) \overline{g(1, \chi)} \\ &= (p-1) |g(1, \chi)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

For  $\chi$  real, the above says  $g(1, \chi)^2 = \chi(-1)p$ , which we will use below.

**Theorem** (Quadratic Reciprocity). *For odd primes  $p, q$  we have*

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$

where  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{i}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol,  $\left(\frac{a}{i}\right) = \pm 1$  according as  $x^2 \equiv a(i)$  has a solution or not.

*Proof 1.* Let  $\chi$  be the quadratic character mod  $p$ ,  $\psi$  the quadratic character mod  $q$ ,  $g = g(1, \chi)$ , and  $p^* = g^2 = \chi(-1)p$ . We have

$$g^q = (p^*)^{(q-1)/2} g \equiv \psi(p^*) g \pmod{q}$$

and also

$$g^q = \left( \sum_k \chi(k) e^{2\pi i k/p} \right)^q \equiv \sum_k \chi(k)^q e^{2\pi i kq/p} \equiv g(q, \chi) \equiv \chi(q) g \pmod{q}$$

(noting that  $\chi(k)^q = \chi(k)$  and  $\bar{\chi} = \chi$ ). Hence (the numbers being  $\pm 1$  makes the congruence mod  $q$  an equality)

$$\chi(q) = \psi(p^*) = \psi(\chi(-1)p) = \psi((-1)^{(p-1)/2} p) = (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)/2} \psi(p)$$

as desired (using  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$ ,  $\psi(-1) = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}$ ). □

*Proof 2.* Here is another proof in a similar vein. By the above,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  contains a square root of  $p^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p$  (namely  $g$ ). [Another way to see this is by noting that

$$\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (x - \zeta_p^i) \Rightarrow p = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i)$$

(evaluating at  $x = 1$ ) and combining the  $\pm i$  terms  $(1 - \zeta_p^{-i})(1 - \zeta_p^i) = -\zeta_p^{-i}(1 - \zeta_p^i)^2$  so that

$$p = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \zeta_p^b \prod_{i=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta_p^i)^2$$

where  $b = -\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} k$ . Let  $2c \equiv 1 \pmod p$  so that  $\zeta_p^b = (\zeta_p^{bc})^2$  to get a square root of  $p^*$ .]

In any case, let  $\tau^2 = p^*$  and let  $\sigma_q$  be the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  induced by  $\zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^q$ . Then  $\sigma_q \tau = \pm \tau$ . We have  $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p))^\times$  (in the obvious way) and  $H = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}(\tau))$  is the unique index two subgroup, i.e. the squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}/(p))^\times$ . Hence

$$\sigma_q \tau = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \tau$$

( $\pm$  depending on whether or not  $\sigma_q$  fixes  $\tau$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{Q}|q$ , so that  $\sigma_q$  is the Frobenius of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . In particular we have

$$\sigma_q \tau \equiv \tau^q \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \tau \equiv \sigma_q \tau \equiv \tau^q \equiv (p^*)^{(q-1)/2} \tau \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}$$

and (since  $1 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}}$ )

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (p^*)^{(q-1)/2} = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right).$$

□

## 2 Some Related Lemmata and a Few More Proofs

**Lemma** (Gauss' lemma). *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $(a, p) = 1$ . Then*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^n$$

where  $n$  is the number of  $a, 2a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a$  greater than  $p/2$  modulo  $p$ .

*Proof.* Evaluate

$$Z = a \cdot 2a \cdot 3a \dots \frac{p-1}{2}a \pmod p$$

in two different ways. The obvious gives  $Z = a^{(p-1)/2} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots \frac{p-1}{2}$ . For the second, if  $ka > p/2$ , write it as  $-(p - ka)$ , and note that all of the  $ka$  are distinct ( $ka \equiv la \Rightarrow k = l$  since  $0 \leq k, l \leq (p-1)/2$ ). Hence  $Z = (-1)^n \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots (p-1)/2$  and the result follows. □

**Lemma** (Eisenstein's lemma). *For an odd prime  $p$  and  $(a, p) = 1$*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\sum_n \lfloor an/p \rfloor}$$

where the sum is over even  $n = 2, 4, \dots, p-1$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n$  considered, let  $an = qp + r(n)$  (quotient plus remainder as a function of  $n$ ). Multiplying all of the  $an$  together (modulo  $p$ ) gives

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \prod_n n = \prod_n an = \prod_n r(n) = \prod_n (-1)^{r(n)} r(n) = (-1)^{\sum_n r(n)} \prod_n n \pmod{p}$$

where we leave  $r(n) = (-1)^{r(n)}$  alone if  $r(n)$  is even and write it as  $r(n) = -(p - r(n)) = (-1)^{r(n)}$  if  $r(n)$  is odd. This holds because all of the  $(-1)^{r(n)}$  are distinct modulo  $p$ , else

$$(-1)^{r(n)} an = (-1)^{r(m)} am \Rightarrow m = \pm n$$

which is impossible for even  $2 \leq m, n \leq p - 1$  unless  $m = n$ .

Finally, considering  $an = qp + r(n)$  modulo 2, we see that  $qp \equiv q \equiv \lfloor an/p \rfloor \equiv r(n) \pmod{2}$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma** (Zolotarev's lemma). *For  $p$  an odd prime,  $(a, p) = 1$ ,*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \epsilon(\pi_a)$$

*the sign of the permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, p - 1$  induced by multiplication by  $a$ .*

*Proof.* In a finite group  $G$ ,  $\pi_g$  has  $|G|/|g|$  disjoint cycles each of length  $|g|$ .  $\pi_g$  is even unless there are an odd number of even cycles, i.e.  $|g|$  is even and  $|G|/|g|$  is odd. Let  $x$  be a primitive root modulo  $p$  (i.e.  $\langle x \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/(p))^\times$ ). Suppose  $a = x^j$  so that the order of  $a$  is  $k = (p - 1)/(j, p - 1)$  and the index of  $\langle a \rangle$  is  $i = (p - 1, j)$ . We have  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$  iff  $j$  is odd iff  $k$  is even and  $i$  is odd.  $\square$

We now present some proofs of quadratic reciprocity.

*Proof (using the Gauss lemma).* Here is a proof due to Eisenstein (using the Gauss lemma above). First a trigonometric lemma.

**Lemma.** *Let  $f(z) = 2i \sin(2\pi z)$ . Then for odd  $n$  we have*

$$\frac{f(nz)}{f(z)} = \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} f(z + k/n) f(z - k/n).$$

*Proof.* For  $n$  odd and  $\zeta$  a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, we have

$$x^n - y^n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \zeta^k y) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \zeta^{-2k} y) = \zeta^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\zeta^k x - \zeta^{-k} y) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\zeta^k x - \zeta^{-k} y).$$

With  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ ,  $x = e^{2\pi iz}$ ,  $y = e^{-2\pi iz}$  this becomes

$$f(nz) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} f(z + k/n).$$

The function  $f$  is 1-periodic so that

$$f(z + k/n) = f(z - (n - k)/n)$$

and as  $k$  runs from  $(n + 1)/2$  to  $n - 1$ ,  $n - k$  runs from  $(n - 1)/2$  to 1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(nz)}{f(z)} &= \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} f(z + k/n) \prod_{(n+1)/2}^{n-1} f(z - (n - k)/n) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} f(z + k/n) f(z - k/n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now let  $n = q \neq p$  be an odd prime and  $z = l/p$ . Using the above and taking the product over  $l$  gives

$$\prod_{l=1}^{(p-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{(q-1)/2} f(l/p + k/q) f(l/p - k/q) = \prod_{l=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{f(ql/p)}{f(l/p)}.$$

The right-hand side is  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$  as follows. Similar to what we noted in the proof of the Gauss lemma, the collection of numbers

$$\left\{ la : 1 \leq a \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \right\}, \left\{ \pm l : 1 \leq l \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \right\}$$

are the same, and  $(-1)^\delta = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  where  $\delta$  is the number of minus signs occurring (this is the Gauss lemma). Because  $f$  is an odd 1-periodic function we see that

$$\prod_{l=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{f(al/p)}{f(l/p)} = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right).$$

To obtain quadratic reciprocity, we compare

$$\prod_{l=1}^{(p-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{(q-1)/2} f(l/p + k/q) f(l/p - k/q) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right), \quad \prod_{l=1}^{(q-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} f(l/q + k/p) f(l/q - k/p) = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$$

recalling the fact that  $f$  is odd. Hence

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

□

*Proof (using the Eisenstein lemma).* Here is another proof due to Eisenstein (using the Eisenstein lemma above). Consider lattice points strictly inside the rectangle with diagonal  $(0, 0), (p, q)$  (and note that there are no lattice points on the diagonal itself). The number of lattice points below the diagonal with even  $x$ -coordinate is  $\sum_n \lfloor qn/p \rfloor$ . The number of lattice points below the diagonal with even  $x$ -coordinate and  $p/2 < x < p$  has the same parity as the number of lattice points above the diagonal with even  $x$ -coordinate and  $p/2 < x < p$ . Reflecting these twice (about  $x = p/2, y = q/2$ ) gives the lattice points below the diagonal with odd  $x$ -coordinate and  $0 < x < p/2$ . Hence the parity of  $\sum_n \lfloor an/p \rfloor$  is the same as the number of total lattice points below the diagonal with  $0 < x < p/2$ . The same argument shows that the parity of  $\sum_n \lfloor pn/q \rfloor$  is the same as the total number of total lattice points above the diagonal with  $0 < y < q/2$ . Hence

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\sum_n \lfloor qn/p \rfloor} (-1)^{\sum_n \lfloor pn/q \rfloor}$$

since the total number of lattice points with  $0 < x < p/2$  and  $0 < y < q/2$  is  $\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}$ .  $\square$

*Proof (using the Zolotarev lemma).* asdf  $\square$

### 3 A Proof Using Jacobi Sums

We begin with some preliminaries.

**Definition** (Jacobi Sum). *Let  $\chi_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$  be characters mod  $p$ . The Jacobi sum is defined as*

$$J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) = \sum_{t_1 + \dots + t_l = 1} \chi_1(t_1) \dots \chi_l(t_l).$$

If the Gauss sum is a finite field equivalent of the gamma function, then the Jacobi sum is analogue of the beta function. Here is the property of  $J$  we will use.

**Proposition.** *Let  $\chi_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$  be characters mod  $p$ . If the  $\chi_i$  are all nontrivial and  $\prod_i \chi_i$  is also nontrivial, then*

$$J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) = \frac{\prod_i g(\chi_i)}{g(\prod_i \chi_i)}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_i g(\chi_i) &= \prod_i \sum_{t_i} \chi_i(t_i) \zeta^{t_i} = \sum_a \sum_{\sum_i t_i = a} \prod_i \chi_i(t_i) \zeta^a \\ &= J_0(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) + \sum_{a \neq 0} \zeta^a \prod_i \chi_i(a) J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) \\ &= J_0(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) + J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) g(\prod_i \chi_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $J_0(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) = \sum_{\sum_i t_i=0} \prod_i \chi_i(t_i)$ , which we want to show is zero. We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_l) &= \sum_s \chi_l(s) \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_{l-1}=-s} \chi_1(t_1) \dots \chi_{l-1}(t_{l-1}) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \chi_i \right) (-1)^{J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{l-1})} \sum_{s \neq 0} \left( \prod_i \chi_i \right) (s) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that  $\prod_i \chi_i$  is nontrivial. □

Since  $q$  is odd and  $\chi^q = \chi$  is nontrivial, by the preceding proposition we have

$$J(\chi, \dots, \chi) = g(\chi)^{q-1} = (g(\chi)g(\bar{\chi}))^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (\chi(-1)p)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}} p^{\frac{q-1}{2}},$$

where we've used the fact that

$$p = g(\chi)\overline{g(\chi)} = \sum_{x,y} \chi(x)\bar{\chi}(y)\zeta^{x-y} = \sum_x \chi(x)\zeta^x \sum_y \bar{\chi}(-y)\zeta^y = \bar{\chi}(-1)g(\chi)g(\bar{\chi}).$$

Modulo  $q$  the Jacobi sum is

$$J(\chi, \dots, \chi) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}} p^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) \pmod{q}.$$

There is an action of the cyclic group of order  $q$  on the the  $q$ -tuples indexing the Jacobi sum, and only one fixed point,  $x_i = q^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , so that

$$J(\chi, \dots, \chi) \equiv \chi(q^{-1})^q \equiv \bar{\chi}(q) \equiv \chi(q) \equiv \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) \pmod{p}$$

using the fact that  $\chi$  is real along the way. Hence

$$\left( \frac{q}{p} \right) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}} \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) \pmod{q}$$

and quadratic reciprocity follows.

## 4 The Quadratic Character of 2 and $-1$

Tying up loose ends:

**Proposition.** *for  $p$  an odd prime, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \\ \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) &= (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first statement is trivial. For the second, we work over the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  where  $\zeta^8 = 1$  is a primitive eighth root of unity. Then  $(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^2 = 2$  and

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^{p-1} = \frac{(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^p}{\zeta + \zeta^{-1}} \equiv \frac{\zeta^p + \zeta^{-p}}{\zeta + \zeta^{-1}} \pmod{p}.$$

If  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  we get  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$  and if  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  we get  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$ .

Or (similarly), working in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we have  $(1+i)^2 = 2i$ ,  $(2i)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = (1+i)^{p-1} \equiv \frac{1+i^p}{1+i} \pmod{p}$ , and considering the various cases gives the result.  $\square$

## 5 Appendix: Sign of the Quadratic Gauss Sum

For no good reason, we find the sign of the quadratic Gauss sum ( $g(1, \chi)$  with  $\chi$  the Legendre symbol). We have

$$g(1, \chi) = 1 + \sum_R e^{2\pi i R/q} - \sum_N e^{2\pi i N/q} = 1 + 2 \sum_R e^{2\pi i R/q}$$

since

$$0 = 1 + \sum_R e^{2\pi i R/q} + \sum_N e^{2\pi i N/q}$$

(here  $R$  and  $N$  are the quadratic residues and non-residues mod  $q$ ). Also,

$$1 + 2 \sum_R e^{2\pi i R/q} = \sum_{x=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i x^2/q}$$

since  $x^2$  takes on each quadratic residue twice and 0 once. We have the following.

**Proposition.**

$$S = S(N) = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i x^2/N} = \begin{cases} (1+i)\sqrt{N} & N \equiv 0(4) \\ \sqrt{N} & N \equiv 1(4) \\ 0 & N \equiv 2(4) \\ i\sqrt{N} & N \equiv 3(4) \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the restriction of a continuous  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to  $[0, 1]$ . We have  $\tilde{f} = f$  except at  $x = 0, 1$  where  $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{f}(1) = \frac{f(0)+f(1)}{2}$  where  $\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_n \left( \int_0^1 f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt \right) e^{2\pi i n x}$ . Repeating this for  $f_k(x) = f(x+k)$  with  $A \leq k \leq B$  and summing the results gives

$$\sum_{k=A}^B \tilde{f}_k(x) = \sum_{k=A}^B \sum_n \left( \int_0^1 f(t+k) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt \right) e^{2\pi i n x} = \sum_n \left( \int_A^B f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt \right) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Evaluating at  $x = 0$  gives

$$\sum_{k=A}^B f(k) - \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} = \sum_n (f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[A,B]})^\wedge(n).$$



We apply this to the function  $f(x) = e^{2\pi ix^2/N}$  with  $A = 0, B = N$ . This gives (noting  $(f(0) + f(N))/2 = f(0) = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
S(N) &= \sum_n \int_0^N e^{2\pi ix^2/N - 2\pi inx} dx \\
&= N \sum_n e^{-\pi i N n^2/2} \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i N (y-n/2)^2} dy \\
&= N \sum_n e^{-\pi i N n^2/2} \int_{-n/2}^{1-n/2} e^{2\pi i N y^2} dy \\
&= N \sum_n \int_{-n}^{1-n} e^{2\pi i N y^2} dy + N i^{-N} \sum_n \int_{-n-1/2}^{-n+1/2} e^{2\pi i N y^2} dy \\
&= N(1 + i^{-N}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i N y^2} dy \\
&= N^{1/2}(1 + i^{-N}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i z^2} dz \\
&= N^{1/2} \frac{1 + i^{-N}}{1 - i} \left( \text{setting } N = 1, S(1) = 1 \text{ to find } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i z^2} dz = \frac{1}{1 - i} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Third Edition, GTM Vol. 74, Springer
- [2] Ireland and Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Second Edition, GTM Vol. 84, Springer
- [3] Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, GTM Vol. 7, Springer