

7/9/20

Prop. 1 If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bdd. below, then

$$\inf S = -\sup(-S)$$

exists.

pf: $-S = \{-s \mid s \in S\}$, which, since S is bdd below,

$S^l \neq \emptyset$ i.e. $\exists l \leq s$ for all $s \in S$

we have

$\exists -l \geq -s$ for all $s \in S$ (i.e. all $-s \in -S$)

so

$(-S)^u \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. $-l \in (-S)^u$)

& therefore

$\sup(-S)$ exists by the completeness axiom

Now, to see that $-\sup(-S) = \inf S$, note that

if $l \in S^l$ is any other lower bound for S ,
then $-l \in (-S)^u$, so

$$\sup(-S) \leq -l$$

$$\implies l \leq -\sup(-S)$$

$$\implies -\sup(-S) = \max(S^l) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf S$$

QED

Prop 2 $\sup S$ and $\inf S$ are unique, if they exist.

This really just says $\min S^u$ is unique.

pf: By Prop. 1 it suffices to prove $\sup S$ is unique. If $a \neq b$ are both least upper bounds, then they are in particular upper bounds. Using this fact, since $a = \min S^u$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \leq b \\ \& \text{ since } b = \min S^u \text{ too, } b \leq a. \end{array} \right\} \implies a = b. \quad \text{QED}$$

Lemma 1.3.8 For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $A^u \neq \emptyset$, a given upper bound $s \in A$ is the supremum of A

$s = \sup A$

if and only if

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in A, s - \epsilon < a$

pf: (1) $s = \sup A \implies s = \min A^u$
 $\implies s - \epsilon \notin A^u$
 $\implies \exists a \in A, s - \epsilon < a$

(2) $(\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in A, s - \epsilon < a)$

$\implies s - \epsilon \notin A^u$

$\implies \underbrace{\forall b \in A^u, s \leq b}_{\text{i.e. } s = \min A^u = \sup A}$

pf: $b < s \implies 0 < s - b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \epsilon \implies b = s - \epsilon \notin A^u$

(4) (4)

Ex. 1.3.6 Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be bdd. above.

(a) Let $s = \sup A$
 $t = \sup B$

$$\Rightarrow \forall a \in A, b \in B, \quad \begin{array}{l} a \leq s \\ b \leq t \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} a+b \leq s+b \\ \leq s+t \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow s+t \in (A+B)^u$$

(b) Let $u \in (A+B)^u$, fix $a \in A$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall b \in B, \quad a+b \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{since } b \leq t, \quad a+t \leq u$$

(if $a+t > u$ then $t > u-a$
 $\Rightarrow u-a \notin B^u \Rightarrow b > u-a$
for some $b \in B \Rightarrow a+b > u$,
contrad.)

$$\Rightarrow t \leq u-a$$

We can similarly fix $b \in B$ to conclude

$$s + b \leq u, \quad \forall b \in B$$

(c) So,

$$\begin{aligned} s + b &\leq u, \quad \forall b \in B \\ a + t &\leq u, \quad \forall a \in A \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow b &\leq u - s, \quad \forall b \in B \\ a &\leq u - t, \quad \forall a \in A \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow u - s &\in B^u \\ u - t &\in A^u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow u - s &\geq t = \min B^u \\ u - t &\geq s = \min A^u \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \geq s + t, \quad \forall u \in (A+B)^u$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow s + t &= \min (A+B)^u \\ &= \sup (A+B) \end{aligned}$$

QED

(5) (4)

(Least Upper Bd. Property \rightarrow Nested Interval Property)

Thm. 1.4.1 (Nested Interval Property of \mathbb{R})

Consider a sequence of nested ~~also~~ closed intervals

$$I_n = [a_n, b_n]$$

with

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$$

i.e.

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots \leq b_3 \leq b_2 \leq b_1$$

Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$$

pt.: Any b_n is an upper bound for the a_n 's,
& vice-versa:

• let $A := \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $B := \{b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

then $B \subseteq A^u =$ upper bounds of A
 $A \subseteq B^l =$ lower bounds of B

\Rightarrow A is bounded above & B is bounded below.

Since

$$\sup A = \min A^u$$

$$\inf B = \max B^l$$

exist by assump., we conclude

$$\text{all } a_n \leq \sup A$$

$$\text{all } b_n \geq \inf B$$

& since

~~$$a_n \leq \sup A \leq \inf B \leq b_n$$~~

$$a_n \leq \sup A \leq \inf B \leq b_n$$

we see that

$$\sup A, \inf B \in [a_n, b_n] = I_n$$

for all n .

Therefore

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \supseteq \{ \sup A, \inf B \} \neq \emptyset$$

may be equal, or
may not.

QED

Thm. 1.4.2 (Archimedean Property of \mathbb{R})

(1) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$x < n \quad (\mathbb{N} \text{ is not bounded above})$$

(2) For any $y > 0$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$0 < \frac{1}{n} < y$$

pf: (1) The key feature of \mathbb{N} is that it possesses the successor function: $s(n) = n+1$.

Suppose $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{N}^u \neq \emptyset$, & let $\alpha = \sup \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 1.3.8, $1 > 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\alpha - 1 \notin \mathbb{N}^u$$

i.e.

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ w/ } \alpha - 1 < n$$

But then

$$\alpha < n+1 = s(n) \in \mathbb{N}$$

contradiction

by def. of $s \notin \mathbb{N}$

!!!
~~contradiction~~

(2) Let $x = \frac{1}{y}$. By (1), $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$x < n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{y} < n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} < y$$

QED

Thm. (Integer Part of a Real Number) :

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, ~~the~~ called the integer part of x , denoted

$$n = \lfloor x \rfloor$$

& satisfying

$$x - 1 < n \leq x$$

e.g.

$$\lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3 \quad \& \quad \pi - 1 < 3 \leq \pi$$

pf: Since $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} applies to give us some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$x < N$$

& applying it to $-x$, some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ w/

$$-x < M \implies -M < x$$

i.e.

$$-M < x < N$$

Define $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ by

$$S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid -M + \boxed{n} - 1 \leq x\}$$

& observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 -M < x &\implies -M + \boxed{1} - 1 = -M < x \\
 &\implies 1 \in S \text{ so } S \neq \emptyset
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{N} - S$ has a minimum by the well-ordering property of \mathbb{N} , call it $n+1$:

$$n+1 = \min(\mathbb{N} - S)$$

$$\iff n+1 \notin S \text{ but } n \in S$$

n is smaller than $n+1 = \min \mathbb{N} - S$

$$\iff \begin{aligned}
 -M + (n+1) - 1 &\not\leq -M + n - 1 \leq x \\
 = -M + n &> x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\iff x - \boxed{1} \leq -M + n - \boxed{1} \leq x$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{= m \in \mathbb{Z}}$

Call $m = -M + n + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the integer part.

This shows existence of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x-1 < m \leq x$.

For uniqueness, supp. $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ both satisfied

$$x-1 < m_i \leq x$$

~~Supp~~ But $|m_1 - m_2| \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$x-1 < m_1 \leq x$$

$$x-1 < m_2 \leq x$$

$$\Rightarrow |m_1 - m_2| < |x - (x-1)| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |m_1 - m_2| = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow m_1 = m_2$$

QED

Thm. 1.4.3 (Density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R})

For every distinct pair $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ of real #'s,
 $a < b$

There exists an integer $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.,
 $a < r < b$

pf. By Archimede. (2), $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\frac{1}{n} < b - a \quad (i)$$

Secondly, since $na \in \mathbb{R}$, ~~the~~ Archim. (1) gives $m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$na < m$$

and if m is the smallest such integer
 (which exists by the well ordering of \mathbb{N})
 then

$$m-1 \leq na < m \quad (ii)$$

The 1st ineq. in (ii) gives

$$m-1 \leq na \implies m \leq na+1 \quad (\text{iii})$$

while (i) gives

$$\frac{1}{n} < b-a \implies a < b - \frac{1}{n} \quad (\text{iv})$$

Combining these we get

$$m \leq na+1 \quad (\text{iii}) \quad (\text{v})$$

$$< n(b - \frac{1}{n}) + 1$$

$$= nb - 1 + 1$$

$$= nb$$

So

$$na < \overset{(\text{ii})}{m} \leq nb$$

$$\implies a < \frac{\overset{m}{\text{Q}}}{n} \leq b$$

QED

(Density of Irrationals in \mathbb{R})

Corollary 1.4.4 Given any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ w/
 $a < b$

there exists an irrational # $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$
s.t.

$$a < t < b$$

pf: ~~$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$~~ by the density of
 \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}

$$\sqrt{2}a < \sqrt{2}b \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}a < r < \sqrt{2}b$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow a < \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} < b$$

~~\mathbb{Q}~~ QED

bec. $r \in \mathbb{Q}$
 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$