

$$(24) \boxed{L(A) \in \mathcal{C}, \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}.}$$

pf: We must show $L(L(A)) \subseteq L(A)$:

Let $x \in L(L(A))$, then

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, V_\epsilon(x) \cap (L(A) - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists y \in V_\epsilon(x) \cap L(A), y \neq x$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists y \in L(A), |x - y| < \epsilon, y \neq x$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists y \in \mathbb{R},$$

- $|x - y| < \epsilon$

- $V_\delta(y) \cap (A - \{y\}) \neq \emptyset$

- $x \neq y$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists z \in A$$

- $x \neq y, y \neq z$

- $|x - y| < \epsilon$

- $|y - z| < \delta$

Taking $\delta = \epsilon/2$ & replacing ϵ with $\epsilon/2$,

if using triangle ineq., we have $x \in L(L(A)) \Rightarrow$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists z \in A, x \neq z$$

$$|x-z| = |x-y+y-z| \quad (\exists y \in L(A), \text{ recall})$$

$$\leq |x-y| + |y-z|$$

$$< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2$$

$$= \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in L(A)$$

$$\text{say } z \in V_\epsilon(x) \cap (A - \epsilon z)$$

$$\Rightarrow V_\epsilon(x) \cap (A - \epsilon z) \neq \emptyset$$

Thus, $L(L(A)) \subseteq L(A) \neq L(A)$ is closed.

Remark: If $x \in L(A)$, the above proof is unnecessary, so assume that $x \notin L(A)$, but $x \in L(L(A))$ (this may be unnecessary, in actual fact, but only ^{so} a posteriori, after we have proven that $L(L(A)) = L(A)$ Right now all we know is $L(A) \subseteq L(L(A))$.)
(has no isolated pts., why?)

$$(6) \quad \bar{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{AUL}(A) \stackrel{\text{Thm}}{=} \bigcap_{A \subseteq C \in \mathcal{C}} C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X$$

pf_o Lemma $L(\text{AUL}(A)) = L(A), \in \mathcal{C}$

i.e. $L(\bar{A}) = L(A) \in \mathcal{C}$

~~pf_o~~ pf_o $x \in L(\text{AUL}(A)) \iff$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists y \in V_{\epsilon/2}(x) \cap ((\text{AUL}(A) - \{x\}))$$

$$\iff \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists y \in \text{AUL}(A),$$

$$x \neq y, |x - y| < \epsilon/2$$

case 1: $y \in A \implies x \in L(A)$

case 2: $y \in L(A) \implies \forall \epsilon > 0,$
 $\exists z \in V_{\epsilon/2}(y) \cap (A - \{y\})$

$$\implies \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists z \in (A - \{x\}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |x - z| &= |x - y + y - z| \\ &\leq |x - y| + |y - z| \\ &< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies x \in L(A).$$

This shows $L(A \cup L(A)) \subseteq L(A)$. To
 show $L(A \cup L(A)) \supseteq L(A)$, ~~we need to~~
~~observe~~ observe that $A \subseteq A \cup L(A)$
 $\Rightarrow L(A) \subseteq L(A \cup L(A))$.

QED

pf of (6):

(1) $\bar{A} \subseteq X$: $x \in \bar{A} = A \cup L(A)$

$\Rightarrow x \in A$ or $x \in L(A)$

$\Rightarrow \forall C \in \mathcal{C}, A \subseteq C,$

$x \in A \subseteq C$ or $x \in L(A) \subseteq L(C) \subseteq C$

$\Rightarrow \forall C \in \mathcal{C}, A \subseteq C, x \in C$

$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{A \subseteq C \in \mathcal{C}} C = X$

(2) $\bar{A} \supseteq X$: By the lemma, ~~$L(\bar{A}) = L(A)$~~
 ~~$L(\bar{A}) = L(A)$~~ $L(\bar{A}) = L(A) \subseteq A \cup L(A) = \bar{A}$

$\Rightarrow \bar{A} \in \mathcal{C}$, and $A \subseteq \text{AUL}(A) = \bar{A}$

so $\bar{A} \supseteq X = \bigcap_{A \subseteq C \in \mathcal{C}} C$

QED

(6.5)

$$A^\circ = \bigcup_{\substack{O \subseteq A \\ O \in \mathcal{T}}} O \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X$$

pf: $A^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is interior to } A\}$
 $= \{a \in A \mid \exists \varepsilon_a > 0, V_{\varepsilon_a}(a) \subseteq A\}$

$$\Rightarrow A^\circ = \bigcup_{\substack{V_{\varepsilon_a}(a) \subseteq A \\ a \in A^\circ \\ V_{\varepsilon_a}(a) \in \mathcal{T}}} V_{\varepsilon_a}(a) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{O \subseteq A, \\ O \in \mathcal{T}}} O = X$$

Conversely, $O \subseteq A \Rightarrow O \subseteq A^\circ$ since
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in O \subseteq A \Rightarrow x \in A \\ \neq \\ \exists \varepsilon > 0, V_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq O \subseteq A \end{array} \right\}$

Therefore,

$$X = \bigcup_{\substack{O \subseteq A \\ \text{open}}} O \subseteq A^\circ$$

QED

$$(7) A \in \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow A = \bar{A}$$

$$A \in \mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow A = A^\circ$$

pf: (1) If $A \in \mathcal{C}$, then since $A \subseteq A$
& $\bar{A} \stackrel{(6)}{=} \bigcap_{A \subseteq C \in \mathcal{C}} C$, we have

$$\bar{A} \subseteq A$$

But also

$$A \subseteq A \cup L(A) = \bar{A},$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bar{A} \subseteq A \\ A \subseteq \bar{A} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A = \bar{A}$$

so

$$A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A = \bar{A}.$$

Conversely, if $A = \bar{A}$ then by (6) & (4)
 $A \in \mathcal{C}$, so $A = \bar{A} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{C}$

(2) If $A \in \mathcal{T}$, then all $a \in A$ are interior points of A , i.e. $a \in A^\circ$. Thus,

$$A \subseteq A^\circ$$

But $A^\circ \subseteq A$ by def. of A° , so

$$A \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow A = A^\circ$$

Conversely, $A^\circ = A \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{T}$ loc.

by (6.5) $A^\circ = \bigcup_{\substack{O \subseteq A \\ \text{open}}} O \in \mathcal{T}.$

QED