

Math 2001 - Assignment 10

Due November 6, 2020

The first 4 problems are meant to be revision for the midterm. Do them before Wednesday.

- (1) (a) How many permutations of the alphabet a, \dots, z contain the word “fish”?

Solution: Consider “fish” as one item together with the remaining 22 letters to get $23!$ permutations.

- (b) How many permutations of the alphabet do not contain any of the words “fish”, “rat” or “bird”?

Solution:

permutations containing fish	23!
rat	24!
bird	23!
fish and rat	21!
fish and bird	0
bird and rat	0

So by inclusion-exclusion: $26! - 23! - 24! - 23! + 21!$ permutations without “fish”, “rat” or “bird”.

- (2) A regular poker card set has 4 suits and 13 cards for each suit.
(a) How many sets of 5 cards (out of 52) are there with 4 cards of one kind?

Solution: $13 * 48$

- (b) How many sets of 5 cards (out of 52) are there with all cards of the same suit?

Solution: $4 * \binom{13}{5}$

- (3) [1, Chapter 10, exercise 8] Show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\frac{1}{2!} + \frac{2}{3!} + \frac{3}{4!} + \dots + \frac{n}{(n+1)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$$

Proof by induction on n :

Inductive base: For $n=1$, it is true that $\frac{1}{2!} = 1 - \frac{1}{2!}$.

Induction assumption: For a fixed n we have

$$\frac{1}{2!} + \frac{2}{3!} + \frac{3}{4!} + \dots + \frac{n}{(n+1)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$$

Inductive step: Show

$$\frac{1}{2!} + \frac{2}{3!} + \frac{3}{4!} + \cdots + \frac{n+1}{(n+2)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{(n+2)!}$$

Just start with the left hand side and simplify it using the induction assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{2}{3!} + \frac{3}{4!} + \cdots + \frac{n+1}{(n+2)!} &= 1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \frac{n+1}{(n+2)!} \text{ by induction assumption} \\ &= 1 - \frac{n+2}{(n+2)!} + \frac{n+1}{(n+2)!} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(n+2)!} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the statement is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

(4) Show by induction that for every natural number $n \geq 4$:

$$2^n \geq n^2$$

Proof by induction on n :

Induction basis for $n = 4$: $2^4 \geq 4^2$ holds.

Induction assumption: Assume $2^k \geq k^2$ holds for a particular $k \geq 4$.

Induction step: Show $2^{k+1} \geq (k+1)^2$.

Note

$$2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k \geq 2k^2 \text{ by induction assumption}$$

So we want to still show that

$$(1) \quad 2k^2 \geq (k+1)^2.$$

To this end, look at the difference of both sides

$$\begin{aligned} 2k^2 - (k+1)^2 &= k^2 - 2k - 1 \\ &= (k-1)^2 - 2 \\ &\geq 3^2 - 2 \text{ because } k \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $2k^2 - (k+1)^2 \geq 0$ which proves (1) and the induction step. \square

(5) Let p_1, p_2, \dots denote the list of all primes. Show that for integers $a = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{e_i}$, $b = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{f_i}$ with $e_i, f_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}.$$

Proof:

a) First note that $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}$ is an integer multiple of a since

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)} = a \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i) - e_i}}_{\in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Similar $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}$ is an integer multiple of b .

b) Next let $m = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{g_i}$ for $g_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ a common multiple of a and b . Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p_i^{e_i}$ divides m and by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $p_i^{e_i}$ divides $p_i^{g_i}$. Hence $e_i \leq g_i$. Similarly $f_i \leq g_i$. Together they imply that $\max(e_i, f_i) \leq g_i$.

Thus for any common multiple m of a and b we have $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)} \leq m$ and the former is $\text{lcm}(a, b)$. \square

(6) Show for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{gcd}(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$$

Hint: Use the formula for gcd and lcm from class and the previous problem.

Proof: Let $a = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{e_i}$, $b = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{f_i}$. By a lemma from class

$$\text{gcd}(a, b) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\min(e_i, f_i)} \quad \text{lcm}(a, b) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}$$

Now $ab = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{e_i + f_i}$ and

$$\text{gcd}(a, b)\text{lcm}(a, b) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i^{\min(e_i, f_i) + \max(e_i, f_i)}.$$

Both numbers on the right hand side are equal since for any $e, f \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$e + f = \min(e, f) + \max(e, f).$$

The proof of that is by case distinction:

Case 1, $e \leq f$: Then $\min(e, f) = e$, $\max(e, f) = f$ and $\min(e, f) + \max(e, f) = e + f$.

Case 2, $e > f$: Similar. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Richard Hammack. The Book of Proof. Creative Commons, 3rd edition, 2018. Available for free: <http://www.people.vcu.edu/~rhammack/BookOfProof/>