Integral points on elliptic curves and explicit valuations of division polynomials

Katherine E. Stange Stanford University

JMM Joint Meetings, Rational Points on Varieties, January 5th, 2012

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Integral points on elliptic curves

Theorem (Siegel) Any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} has only finitely many integral points.

Restrict to a cyclic subgroup of $E(\mathbb{Q})$, say $\langle P \rangle$. There are only finitely many [n]P integral.

How many?

Hindry and Silverman: A uniform bound assuming *abc* or Szpiro's conjecture, or for integral *j*-invariant.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

How big?

i.e. How big can n be such that [n]P is integral?

Lang's Conjecture

Conjecture (Lang)

There is a uniform constant C such that for any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} in minimal Weierstrass form, and point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ of infinite order,

 $\widehat{h}(P) > Ch(E)$

The heights \hat{h} and *h* measure arithmetic complexity;

e.g.
$$h(a/b) = \log \max\{|a|, |b|\}.$$

Specifically,

 $h(E) = \max\{h(j), \log |\Delta_E|, 1\}$ (complexity of E),

 $\widehat{h}(P) = rac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} rac{h(x([2^n]P))}{4^n} \quad (ext{complexity of cyclic group } \langle P \rangle).$

How big can *n* be such that [*n*]*P* is integral? Theorem (Ingram)

There is a uniform constant *C* such that for all minimal elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} , and non-torsion $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, there is at most one value of $n > CM(P)^{16}$ such that [n]P is integral.

 $M(P) = \operatorname{lcm} \{ \text{order of } P \text{ in } E(\mathbb{Q}_p) / E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

How big can *n* be such that [*n*]*P* is integral? Theorem (Ingram)

There is a uniform constant *C* such that for all minimal elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} , and non-torsion $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, there is at most one value of $n > CM(P)^{16}$ such that [n]P is integral.

$$M(P) = \operatorname{lcm} \{ \text{order of } P \text{ in } E(\mathbb{Q}_p) / E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \}$$

Theorem (S.)

There are uniform constants C and C' such that for all minimal elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} , and non-torsion $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, there is at most one value of

$$n>\max\left\{Crac{h(E)}{\widehat{h}(P)}\log\left(rac{h(E)}{\widehat{h}(P)}
ight),C'
ight\}$$

such that [n]P is integral.

In particular, if Lang's conjecture holds, then we obtain a uniform bound for all but one integral multiple.

Hall-Lang Conjecture

Conjecture (Hall-Lang)

There is a uniform constant *C* such that for any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} in minimal Weierstrass form and non-torsion **integral** point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$,

 $\widehat{h}(P) < Ch(E).$

Corollary (S.)

There are uniform constants *C* and *N* such that for any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} in minimal Weierstrass form, and non-torsion **integral** point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ having at least two integral multiples [n]P, [m]P satisfying n > m > N, then

 $\widehat{h}(P) < Ch(E).$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Note: Not conditional on Lang's conjecture.

Hall-Lang Conjecture

Another sense in which it is progress toward Hall-Lang conjecture: if the bound could be improved from

$$n>\max\left\{Crac{h(E)}{\widehat{h}(P)}\log\left(rac{h(E)}{\widehat{h}(P)}
ight),C'
ight\}$$

to

$$n > \max\left\{C\left(\frac{h(E)}{\widehat{h}(P)}\right)^{1/2}, C'
ight\},$$

then for all but one sufficiently large n where [n]P is integral,

$$\widehat{h}([n]P) < C''h(E)$$

In other words, all but one sufficiently large integral multiple of *P* would satisfy a Hall-Lang bound.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The proof

Based on Ingram's method (linear forms in elliptic logarithms), but with a modification to a lemma on elliptic divisibility sequences.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Elliptic divisibility sequences

 $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ an elliptic curve, *P* a point on *E*.

 $\Psi_n - n$ -th division polynomial, vanishes at non-zero *n*-torsion

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{1} &= 1, \qquad \Psi_{2} = 2y, \qquad \Psi_{3} = 3x^{4} + 6Ax^{2} + 12Bx - A^{2}, \\ \Psi_{4} &= 4y(x^{6} + 5Ax^{4} + 20Bx^{3} - 5A^{2}x^{2} - 4ABx - 8B^{2} - A^{3}), \\ \Psi_{n+m}\Psi_{n-m} &= \Psi_{n+1}\Psi_{n-1}\Psi_{m}^{2} - \Psi_{m+1}\Psi_{m-1}\Psi_{n}^{2}. \end{split}$$

 Ψ_n encode multiplication-by-*n*:

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{\phi_n(P)}{\Psi_n^2(P)}, \frac{\omega_n(P)}{\Psi_n^3(P)}\right).$$

The sequence $\Psi_n(P)$ is an *elliptic divisibility sequence*.

Ward (1948): Anything satisfying (1) is $\Psi_n(P)$ for some (E, P). (Possibly singular.)

(日)

 $W_1 = 1$ $W_{2} = 1$ $W_{3} = -3$ $W_4 = 11$ $W_{5} = 38$ $W_{6} = 249$ $W_7 = -2357$

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{9},\frac{28}{27}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{121},-\frac{267}{1331}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{1444},-\frac{77033}{54872}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{62001},-\frac{31944320}{15438249}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{5555449},-\frac{20464084173}{13094193293}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{9},\frac{28}{27}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{121},-\frac{267}{1331}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{1444},-\frac{77033}{54872}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{62001},-\frac{31944320}{15438249}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{5555449},-\frac{20464084173}{13094193293}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{3^2},\frac{28}{3^3}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{11^2},-\frac{267}{11^3}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{38^2},-\frac{77033}{38^3}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{249^2},-\frac{31944320}{249^3}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{2357^2},-\frac{20464084173}{2357^3}\right) \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … 釣∝⊙

Not quite the denominator

Take P integral, E minimal. Recall:

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{\phi_n(P)}{\Psi_n^2(P)}, \frac{\omega_n(P)}{\Psi_n^3(P)}\right)$$

Then,

$$gcd(\phi_n(P), \Psi_n(P))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

is supported on bad primes (dividing Δ_E).

Primes appearing in elliptic divisibility sequences

For primes of good reduction,

$$p \mid \Psi_n(P) \iff [n]P \equiv \mathcal{O} \pmod{p}$$

Example

	n	1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	
	$\Psi_n(P$	') 1	1	2	3	-5	-2	$2^2 \cdot 7$	-67	′ –3 · 137	
п	9	9			10			11	1	2	
Ψ_r	(P)	-2 · 1	1 · 2	23	5 ·	13 · 1	67	7423	31 2	2 . ³ · 3 ² · 7 · 13	19

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Primes appearing in elliptic divisibility sequences

Let p > 2 be a prime of *good reduction* for *E*. Let v_p be a discrete valuation associated to *p*. Let N > 1 be the order of *P* modulo *p*.

$$v_{\rho}(\Psi_n(P)) = \begin{cases} v_{\rho}(\Psi_N(P)) + v_{\rho}(n/N) & N \mid n \\ 0 & N \nmid n \end{cases}$$

Example

 $v_3(\Psi_n(P))$ for sequence 1, 1, 2, 3, ...

0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, ... The underlying reason is the formal group of *E*.

Let $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the points of non-singular reduction modulo p.

There's a filtration of subgroups of $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$:

$$E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \supset E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \supset E_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \supset \ldots$$

where

$$E_k(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{ P \in E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) : P \equiv \mathcal{O} \pmod{p^k} \}$$

The theory of formal groups says that for $k \ge 1$,

$$\frac{E_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)}{E_{k+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Valuations at bad primes

Example

1,3,2 · 3,3²,3³,2² · 3⁴,3⁶ · 5,3⁷ · 13,2 · 3¹⁰,... has $v_3(\Psi_n(P))$:

0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 22, 25, 29, 32, 38, 40, 45, 49, 54, 59, 64, 70, 75, 82, 87, 94, 100, 107, 114, 121, 129, 136, 146, 152, 161, 169, 178, 187, 196, 206, 215, 226, 235, 246, 256, 267, ...

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

The associated curve E has split multiplicative reduction at 3. The associated point P reduces to the node.

Valuations of EDS

Theorem (S.)

Let $p \neq 2$. Consider an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q}_p and $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ a non-torsion point. Then there are integers

 $a, \ell, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5$

such that

$$v_{\rho}(\Psi_n(P)) = \frac{1}{c_1} \left(R_n(a,\ell) + c_2 n^2 + c_3 + \begin{cases} c_4 + v_{\rho}(n) & c_5 \mid n \\ 0 & c_5 \nmid n \end{cases} \right).$$

where

$$R_n(a,\ell) = \left\lfloor \frac{n^2 \widehat{a}(\ell - \widehat{a})}{2\ell} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\widehat{na}(\ell - \widehat{na})}{2\ell} \right\rfloor$$

where \hat{x} denotes the least non-negative residue of x modulo ℓ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Valuations of EDS

$$v_{p}(\Psi_{n}(P)) = \frac{1}{c_{1}} \left(R_{n}(a,\ell) + c_{2}n^{2} + c_{3} + \begin{cases} c_{4} + v_{p}(n) & c_{5} \mid n \\ 0 & c_{5} \nmid n \end{cases} \right).$$

 $\ell = \nu(\Delta_E)$ (for multiplicative reduction)

a is the image of *P* in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ (for multiplicative reduction; *a* = 0 for potential good reduction) *c*₅ minimal so that $[c_5]P \equiv \mathcal{O} \mod p$

 c_3, c_2 - appear for non-minimal Weierstrass equations, additive reduction, or if $P \equiv O \mod p$.

 $c_1 \neq 1$ only for additive reduction; relates to degree of field extension needed to resolve additive reduction to either good or multiplicative

The bad primes example

Example

1,3,2 · 3,3²,3³,2² · 3⁴,3⁶ · 5,3⁷ · 13,2 · 3¹⁰,... has $v_3(\Psi_n(P))$:

0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 16, 19, 22, 25, 29, 32, 38, 40, 45, 49, 54, 59, 64, 70, 75, 82, 87, 94, 100, 107, 114, 121, 129, 136, 146, 152, 161, 169, 178, 187, 196, 206, 215, 226, 235, 246, 256, 267, ...

The associated curve E has split multiplicative reduction at 3. The associated point P reduces to the node.

 $c_1 = 1, \ c_2 = -1, \ c_3 = 1, \ c_4 = -1, \ c_5 = 18, \ a = 4, \ \ell = 9.$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

General form for torsion points

Tate gives a normal form for a curve with an *N*-torsion point.

E.g. for N = 7:

$$y^{2} + (1 - \alpha^{2} + \alpha)xy + (\alpha^{2} - \alpha^{3})y = x^{3} + (\alpha^{2} - \alpha^{3})x^{2}, P = (0, 0)$$

In this case the corresponding EDS is

$$W_n = \pm \alpha^{R_n(2,7)} (\alpha - 1)^{R_n(1,7)},$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Lemma for Diophantine estimate

Recall that

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{\phi_n(P)}{\Psi_n^2(P)}, \frac{\omega_n(P)}{\Psi_n^3(P)}\right)$$

The gcd

$$gcd(\Psi_n(P),\phi_n(P))$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

is supported on the bad primes.

Lemma (S.) Let $D_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the denominator of $[n]P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\log D_n \leq \log |\Psi_n(P)| \leq \log D_n + \frac{n^2}{8} \log |\Delta_E|.$