Elliptic Nets

Katherine Stange

Department of Mathematics Brown University http://www.math.brown.edu/~stange/

Number Theory and Computability, Edinburgh, Scotland, 2007

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 1 / 52

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Definitions

Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definitions

Definition and Examples

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2$$
.

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2.$$

• Generated by W_1, \ldots, W_4 via the recurrence.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2.$$

- Generated by W_1, \ldots, W_4 via the recurrence.
- By convention $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 W_3 \neq 0$.

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2.$$

- Generated by W_1, \ldots, W_4 via the recurrence.
- By convention $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 W_3 \neq 0$.
- ► Example: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, ...

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2$$
.

- Generated by W_1, \ldots, W_4 via the recurrence.
- By convention $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 W_3 \neq 0$.
- ► Example: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, ...
- ► Example: 1, 3, 8, 21, 55, 144, 377, 987, 2584, 6765,...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Definition

A sequence W is an *elliptic divisibility sequence* if for all positive integers m > n,

$$W_{m+n}W_{m-n}W_1^2 = W_{m+1}W_{m-1}W_n^2 - W_{n+1}W_{n-1}W_m^2$$
.

- Generated by W_1, \ldots, W_4 via the recurrence.
- By convention $W_1 = 1$ and $W_2 W_3 \neq 0$.
- ► Example: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, ...
- ► Example: 1, 3, 8, 21, 55, 144, 377, 987, 2584, 6765, ...
- Example: 1, 1, -3, 11, 38, 249, -2357, 8767, 496036, -3769372, -299154043, -12064147359, ...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

If W_1, \ldots, W_4 are integer with $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$, and $W_2|W_4$, then the sequence ...

If W_1, \ldots, W_4 are integer with $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$, and $W_2|W_4$, then the sequence ...

1. is entirely integer;

If W_1, \ldots, W_4 are integer with $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$, and $W_2|W_4$, then the sequence ...

- 1. is entirely integer;
- 2. satisfies the Divisibility Property

 $m|n \implies W_m|W_n$; and

If W_1, \ldots, W_4 are integer with $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$, and $W_2|W_4$, then the sequence ...

1. is entirely integer;

2. satisfies the Divisibility Property

$$m|n \implies W_m|W_n$$
; and

3. if $gcd(W_3, W_4) = 1$, it satisfies the Strong Divisibility Property

$$W_{gcd(m,n)} = gcd(W_m, W_n)$$
.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let σ be the Weierstrass sigma function associated to the complex uniformization of an elliptic curve.

Definition

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{\sigma(nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let σ be the Weierstrass sigma function associated to the complex uniformization of an elliptic curve.

Definition

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{\sigma(nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}$$

1

Elliptic functions.

Let σ be the Weierstrass sigma function associated to the complex uniformization of an elliptic curve.

Definition

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{\sigma(nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}$$

- Elliptic functions.
- Simple zeroes at non-zero *n*-torsion points.

Let σ be the Weierstrass sigma function associated to the complex uniformization of an elliptic curve.

Definition

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{\sigma(nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}$$

- Elliptic functions.
- Simple zeroes at non-zero *n*-torsion points.

• Divisor is
$$\sum_{P \in E[n]} (P) - n^2(0)$$
.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Curve-Sequence Correspondence

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ correspond to a rational point P on E. Then

 $W_n := \Psi_n(z)$

forms an elliptic divisibility sequence.

Curve-Sequence Correspondence

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ correspond to a rational point P on E. Then

 $W_n := \Psi_n(z)$

forms an elliptic divisibility sequence. Furthermore, every elliptic divisibility sequence satisfying $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$ arises in this way.

Curve-Sequence Correspondence

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ correspond to a rational point P on E. Then

 $W_n := \Psi_n(z)$

forms an elliptic divisibility sequence. Furthermore, every elliptic divisibility sequence satisfying $W_1 = 1$, $W_2W_3 \neq 0$ arises in this way.

▶ We call this the sequence associated to *E*, *P*.

Example: $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$, P = (0, 0)

$$W_1 = 1$$

 $W_2 = 1$
 $W_3 = -3$
 $W_4 = 11$
 $W_5 = 38$
 $W_6 = 249$
 $W_7 = -2357$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Example: $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$, P = (0, 0)

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{9},\frac{28}{27}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{121},-\frac{267}{1331}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{1444},-\frac{77033}{54872}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{62001},-\frac{31944320}{15438249}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{5555449},-\frac{20464084173}{13094193293}\right) \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Example: $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$, P = (0, 0)

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{9},\frac{28}{27}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{121},-\frac{267}{1331}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{1444},-\frac{77033}{54872}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{62001},-\frac{31944320}{15438249}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{5555449},-\frac{20464084173}{13094193293}\right) \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 9/52

Example: $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$, P = (0, 0)

$$\begin{split} & W_1 = 1 \qquad P = (0,0) \\ & W_2 = 1 \qquad [2]P = (3,5) \\ & W_3 = -3 \qquad [3]P = \left(-\frac{11}{3^2},\frac{28}{3^3}\right) \\ & W_4 = 11 \qquad [4]P = \left(\frac{114}{11^2},-\frac{267}{11^3}\right) \\ & W_5 = 38 \qquad [5]P = \left(-\frac{2739}{38^2},-\frac{77033}{38^3}\right) \\ & W_6 = 249 \qquad [6]P = \left(\frac{89566}{249^2},-\frac{31944320}{249^3}\right) \\ & W_7 = -2357 \quad [7]P = \left(-\frac{2182983}{2357^2},-\frac{20464084173}{2357^3}\right) \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 9/52

Division Polynomials

Any elliptic curve *E* has a Weierstrass equation. Suppose

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

.

The elliptic functions $\Psi_n(z; \Lambda)$ can be written as **Division Polynomials** in terms of *x*, *y*, *A*, *B*:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_1 &= 1, \\ \Psi_2 &= 2y, \\ \Psi_3 &= 3x^4 + 6Ax^2 + 12Bx - A^2, \\ \Psi_4 &= 4y(x^6 + 5Ax^4 + 20Bx^3 - 5A^2x^2 - 4ABx - 8B^2 - A^3), \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

.

Coordinates of [n]P

Suppose we have

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B .$$

Define

$$\phi_n = x \Psi_n^2 - \Psi_{n+1} \Psi_{n-1} ,$$

$$4y \omega_n = \Psi_{n+2} \Psi_{n-1}^2 - \Psi_{n-2} \Psi_{n+1}^2 .$$

Then we have

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{\phi_n(P)}{\Psi_n(P)^2}, \frac{\omega_n(P)}{\Psi_n(P)^3}\right)$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

1. We have the Identity Property:

$$W_n=0\iff [n]P=0$$
 .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

1. We have the **Identity Property**:

$$W_n = 0 \iff [n]P = 0$$
.

2. An elliptic curve with rational coefficients can be reduced modulo a prime *p* by reducing coefficients and coordinates. The resulting map is a homomorphism of groups. The associated elliptic divisibility sequence also reduces modulo *p*.

1. We have the **Identity Property**:

$$W_n = 0 \iff [n]P = 0$$
.

- 2. An elliptic curve with rational coefficients can be reduced modulo a prime *p* by reducing coefficients and coordinates. The resulting map is a homomorphism of groups. The associated elliptic divisibility sequence also reduces modulo *p*.
- 3. The Identity Property holds on this new curve over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore there is some **Rank of Apparition** *r* of *p* in the sequence W_n such that

$$W_n \equiv 0 \mod p \iff n \equiv 0 \mod r$$
.

1. We have the **Identity Property**:

$$W_n = 0 \iff [n]P = 0$$
 .

- 2. An elliptic curve with rational coefficients can be reduced modulo a prime *p* by reducing coefficients and coordinates. The resulting map is a homomorphism of groups. The associated elliptic divisibility sequence also reduces modulo *p*.
- 3. The Identity Property holds on this new curve over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore there is some **Rank of Apparition** *r* of *p* in the sequence W_n such that

$$W_n \equiv 0 \mod p \iff n \equiv 0 \mod r$$
.

4. This implies the divisibility property for squarefree numbers.

5. From the theory of formal groups, we also have the property that for *r* the rank of apparition of *p*:

$$v_{\rho}(W_{kr}) = v_{\rho}(W_r) + v_{\rho}(k)$$

5. From the theory of formal groups, we also have the property that for *r* the rank of apparition of *p*:

$$v_p(W_{kr}) = v_p(W_r) + v_p(k)$$

6. Together with the last slide, this implies the **divisibility** of the elliptic divisibility sequence.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Singular Cases

In the case that one has a singular cubic curve

$$C: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

over \mathbb{Q} and point $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, one can still consider the sequence of division polynomials $\Psi_n(P)$.

Singular Cases

In the case that one has a singular cubic curve

$$C: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

over \mathbb{Q} and point $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, one can still consider the sequence of division polynomials $\Psi_n(P)$.

Example (Singular Elliptic Divisibility Sequences)

$$C: y^2 = x^3, P = (1, 1)$$

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, ...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Singular Cases

In the case that one has a singular cubic curve

$$C: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

over \mathbb{Q} and point $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, one can still consider the sequence of division polynomials $\Psi_n(P)$.

Example (Singular Elliptic Divisibility Sequences)

$$C: y^2 = x^3, P = (1, 1)$$

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, ...

$$C: y^2 = x^3 - \frac{25}{48}x + \frac{125}{864}, P = \left(\frac{17}{12}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

1,3,8,21,55,144,377,987,2584,6765,...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)
Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets Motivation

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

Can we do more?

The elliptic divisibility sequence is associated to the sequence of points [n]P on the curve.

$$[n]P\leftrightarrow W_n$$

The Mordell-Weil group of an elliptic curve may have rank > 1. We might dream of \ldots

$$[n]P+[m]Q\leftrightarrow W_{n,m}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation

Definitions

Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Elliptic Nets

Definition (KS)

Let *R* be an integral domain, and *A* a finite-rank free abelian group. An *elliptic net* is a map $W : A \rightarrow R$ such that the following recurrence holds for all *p*, *q*, *r*, *s* \in *A*.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}(p+q+s)\mathcal{W}(p-q)\mathcal{W}(r+s)\mathcal{W}(r) \\ &+\mathcal{W}(q+r+s)\mathcal{W}(q-r)\mathcal{W}(p+s)\mathcal{W}(p) \\ &+\mathcal{W}(r+p+s)\mathcal{W}(r-p)\mathcal{W}(q+s)\mathcal{W}(q) = 0 \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets

Definition (KS)

Let *R* be an integral domain, and *A* a finite-rank free abelian group. An *elliptic net* is a map $W : A \rightarrow R$ such that the following recurrence holds for all *p*, *q*, *r*, *s* \in *A*.

$$W(p+q+s)W(p-q)W(r+s)W(r)$$

+ $W(q+r+s)W(q-r)W(p+s)W(p)$
+ $W(r+p+s)W(r-p)W(q+s)W(q) = 0$

The recurrence generates the net from finitely many initial values.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 18 / 52

Elliptic Nets

Definition (KS)

Let *R* be an integral domain, and *A* a finite-rank free abelian group. An *elliptic net* is a map $W : A \rightarrow R$ such that the following recurrence holds for all *p*, *q*, *r*, *s* \in *A*.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}(p+q+s)\mathcal{W}(p-q)\mathcal{W}(r+s)\mathcal{W}(r) \\ &+\mathcal{W}(q+r+s)\mathcal{W}(q-r)\mathcal{W}(p+s)\mathcal{W}(p) \\ &+\mathcal{W}(r+p+s)\mathcal{W}(r-p)\mathcal{W}(q+s)\mathcal{W}(q) = 0 \end{split}$$

- ► The recurrence generates the net from finitely many initial values.
- ► The recurrence implies the elliptic divisibility sequence recurrence for A = Z.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
a	$P \rightarrow$						

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
\hat{O}	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
Q	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
a	$P \rightarrow$						

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	_1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
$\stackrel{ }{O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
S.	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
a	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
\hat{O}	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
Q	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
a	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
a	$P \rightarrow$						

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 20 / 52

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
S.	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

$$E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x; P = (0,0), Q = (1,0)$$

	4335	5959	12016	-55287	23921	1587077	-7159461
	94	479	919	-2591	13751	68428	424345
	-31	53	-33	-350	493	6627	48191
	-5	8	-19	-41	-151	989	-1466
	1	3	-1	-13	-36	181	-1535
↑	1	1	2	-5	7	89	-149
${O}$	0	1	1	-3	11	38	249
S.	$\overline{P} \rightarrow$						

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 20 / 52

Lattice Property

For an integer elliptic net, for each prime p, there exists a **Lattice of Apparition** $L \subset A$ such that

$$W(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 0 \mod p \iff \mathbf{v} \in L$$

The proof will wait until the curve-relationship is developed.

Scale Equivalence

Let B, C be abelian groups. A quadratic function f : B → C is a function such that for all x, y, z ∈ B,

$$f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = 0$$

Scale Equivalence

Let B, C be abelian groups. A quadratic function f : B → C is a function such that for all x, y, z ∈ B,

$$f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = 0$$

For any elliptic net $W : A \to K$, and quadratic $f : A \to K^*$, define $W^f : A \to K$ by $W^f(\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{v})W(\mathbf{v}) .$

This function is an elliptic net.

Scale Equivalence

Let B, C be abelian groups. A quadratic function f : B → C is a function such that for all x, y, z ∈ B,

$$f(x+y+z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = 0$$

For any elliptic net $W : A \to K$, and quadratic $f : A \to K^*$, define $W^f : A \to K$ by

$$W^f(\mathbf{v}) = f(\mathbf{v})W(\mathbf{v})$$
 .

This function is an elliptic net.

► If two elliptic nets are related in the manner of W and W^f for some quadratic f, then we call them Scale Equivalent. This is clearly an equivalence relation.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 正言 ろくの

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions

Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

Elliptic Functions Ψ_n

Definition (M. Ward - Rank 1)

$$\Psi_n(z) = \frac{\sigma(nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}$$

- Elliptic functions.
- The function is zero if nz = 0.

Elliptic Functions $\Psi_{m,n}$

Definition (Rank 2)

$$\Psi_{n,m}(z,w) = \frac{\sigma(nz+mw)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2-nm}\sigma(z+w)^{nm}\sigma(w)^{m^2-nm}}$$

- Elliptic functions in each variable.
- The function is zero if nz + mw = 0.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Functions Ψ_{v}

Definition (Rank k)

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{z};\Lambda) = \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{v}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{1} + \ldots + \mathbf{v}_{k}\mathbf{z}_{k};\Lambda)}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sigma(\mathbf{z}_{i};\Lambda)^{2\mathbf{v}_{i}^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{v}_{i}\mathbf{v}_{j}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} \sigma(\mathbf{z}_{i} + \mathbf{z}_{j};\Lambda)^{\mathbf{v}_{i}\mathbf{v}_{j}}}$$

- Elliptic functions in each variable.
- The function is zero if $v_1 z_1 + \ldots + v_k z_k = 0$.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets from Elliptic Curves

Theorem (KS)

Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^k$ correspond to a vector of rational points $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_k)$ on *E*. Then

$$W(\mathbf{v}) := \Psi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u})$$

forms an elliptic net.

Elliptic Nets from Elliptic Curves

Theorem (KS)

Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^k$ correspond to a vector of rational points $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_k)$ on *E*. Then

$$W(\mathbf{v}) := \Psi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u})$$

forms an elliptic net.

► We call this the elliptic net associated to the curve *E* and points *P*₁,...,*P*_k.

Elliptic Nets from Elliptic Curves

Theorem (KS)

Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^k$ correspond to a vector of rational points $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_k)$ on *E*. Then

$$W(\mathbf{v}) := \Psi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u})$$

forms an elliptic net.

- ► We call this the elliptic net associated to the curve *E* and points *P*₁,...,*P_k*.
- We call P_1, \ldots, P_k the basis of the elliptic net.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Matrix and Homothety Actions on Elliptic Nets

Matrix Action:

A k × l integer-coefficient matrix M acts on an elliptic net
 W : Z^k → K by

$$W^M(\mathbf{v}) = W(M(\mathbf{v}))$$
 .

Matrix and Homothety Actions on Elliptic Nets

Matrix Action:

• A $k \times I$ integer-coefficient matrix M acts on an elliptic net $W : \mathbb{Z}^k \to K$ by

$$W^M(\mathbf{v}) = W(M(\mathbf{v}))$$
 .

Homothety Action:

• An element λ of K^* acts on an elliptic net $W : \mathbb{Z}^k \to K$ by

$${\it W}^{\lambda}({f v})=\lambda\,{\it W}({f v})$$
 .

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Matrix and Homothety Actions on Elliptic Curves

Matrix Action:

A k × l integer-coefficient matrix M takes E^k to E^l (integer-scalar multiplication and addition are defined via the curve group law).

Matrix and Homothety Actions on Elliptic Curves

Matrix Action:

A k × l integer-coefficient matrix M takes E^k to E^l (integer-scalar multiplication and addition are defined via the curve group law).

Homothety Action:

An element \u03c6 of K* acts on an elliptic curve in Weierstrass form by the change of coordinates

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\lambda^2 x, \lambda^3 y)$$
.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Singularity

One can define polynomials Δ and *j* in the values of an elliptic net. For elliptic divisibility sequences, these polynomials are

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= (W_2^8 W_3^3)^{-1} (-W_4^4 - 3W_2^5 W_4^3 - 3W_2^{10} W_4^2 \\ &- 8W_2^2 W_3^3 W_4^2 - W_2^{15} W_4 + 20W_2^7 W_3^3 W_4 \\ &+ W_2^{12} W_3^3 - 16W_2^4 W_3^6) \\ j &= 64\Delta^{-1} (W_2^{20} + 4W_4 W_2^{15} - 16W_3^3 W_2^{12} \\ &+ 6W_4^2 W_2^{10} - 8W_4 W_3^3 W_2^7 + 4W_4^3 W_2^5 + 16W_3^6 W_2^4 \\ &+ 8W_4^2 W_3^3 W_2^2 + W_4^4)^3 (W_3^4 W_2^8)^{-3} \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Singularity

One can define polynomials Δ and *j* in the values of an elliptic net. For elliptic divisibility sequences, these polynomials are

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= (W_2^8 W_3^3)^{-1} (-W_4^4 - 3W_2^5 W_4^3 - 3W_2^{10} W_4^2 \\ &- 8W_2^2 W_3^3 W_4^2 - W_2^{15} W_4 + 20W_2^7 W_3^3 W_4 \\ &+ W_2^{12} W_3^3 - 16W_2^4 W_3^6) \\ j &= 64\Delta^{-1} (W_2^{20} + 4W_4 W_2^{15} - 16W_3^3 W_2^{12} \\ &+ 6W_4^2 W_2^{10} - 8W_4 W_3^3 W_2^7 + 4W_4^3 W_2^5 + 16W_3^6 W_2^4 \\ &+ 8W_4^2 W_3^3 W_2^2 + W_4^4)^3 (W_3^4 W_2^8)^{-3} \end{split}$$

An elliptic net is called singular if $\Delta = 0$.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Curve-Net Correspondence

Theorem (KS)

Fix a field K. We have an explicit isomorphism of partially ordered sets

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{scale equivalence classes of non-singular elliptic nets} \\ W : \mathbb{Z}^k \to K \text{with } W(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_i, 2\mathbf{e}_i, 3\mathbf{e}_i \text{ or } \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{tuples } (E, \Omega, P_1, \dots, P_k), \text{ where } E \text{ is an elliptic curve} \\ \text{over } K, \Omega \text{ is a holomorphic 1-form on } E \text{ over } K, \\ P_i \in E(K) \setminus (E(K)[2] \cup E(K)[3]), \text{ and } P_i \neq \pm P_j \text{ for } i \neq j \end{array} \right\}$$

Furthermore, the matrix and homothety actions on the sets preserve the order and respect the isomorphism.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Lattice Property as a Curve Property

The elliptic net analogue of the Identity Property:

 $W(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 0 \mod p$

 \Leftrightarrow

$[v_1]P_1 + [v_2]P_2 + \cdots + [v_k]P_k = 0 \text{ on } E(\mathbb{F}_p)$

This implies the Lattice Property.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Net Polynomials

Theorem (KS)

Suppose

$$f(x,y) = y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - x^3 - a_2x^2 - a_4x - a_6x^2 - a_4x^2 - a_4x^2 - a_4x^2 - a_4x^2 - a_6x^2 - a_6$$

gives an elliptic curve E : f(x, y) = 0. The net functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}$ on E can be expressed as polynomials in the ring

$$\mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6][x_i, y_i]_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{1}{x_i - x_j}\right]_{1 \le i < j \le k} / \langle f(x_i, y_i) \rangle_{i=1}^k$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 31 / 52
$$\Psi_{-1,1} = x_1 - x_2$$
,

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 32 / 52

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{-1,1} &= x_1 - x_2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,1} &= 2x_1 + x_2 - \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right)^2 \ , \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{-1,1} &= x_1 - x_2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,1} &= 2x_1 + x_2 - \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right)^2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,-1} &= (y_1 + y_2)^2 - (2x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)^2 \ , \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{-1,1} &= x_1 - x_2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,1} &= 2x_1 + x_2 - \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right)^2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,-1} &= (y_1 + y_2)^2 - (2x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)^2 \ , \\ \Psi_{1,1,1} &= \frac{y_1(x_2 - x_3) + y_2(x_3 - x_1) + y_3(x_1 - x_2)}{(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3)} \ , \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{-1,1} &= x_1 - x_2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,1} &= 2x_1 + x_2 - \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right)^2 \ , \\ \Psi_{2,-1} &= (y_1 + y_2)^2 - (2x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2)^2 \ , \\ \Psi_{1,1,1} &= \frac{y_1(x_2 - x_3) + y_2(x_3 - x_1) + y_3(x_1 - x_2)}{(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3)} \ , \\ \Psi_{3,1} &= (4x_1^6 - 12x_2x_1^5 + 9x_2^2x_1^4 + 4x_2^3x_1^3 - 4y_2^2x_1^3 + 8y_1^2x_1^3 - 6x_2^4x_1^2 \\ &\quad + 6y_2^2x_2x_1^2 - 18y_1^2x_2x_1^2 + 12y_1^2x_2^2x_1 + x_2^6 - 2y_2^2x_2^3 - 2y_1^2x_2^3 \\ &\quad + y_2^4 - 6y_1^2y_2^2 + 8y_1^3y_2 - 3y_1^4)(x_2 - x_1)^{-3} \ . \end{split}$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 32 / 52

1. Curves give Nets over C: Check the recurrence – classical complex elliptic function theory.

- 1. Curves give Nets over C: Check the recurrence classical complex elliptic function theory.
- 2. Find a sufficiently simple baseset for nets under the recurrence: Complicated nested inductions.

- 1. Curves give Nets over C: Check the recurrence classical complex elliptic function theory.
- 2. Find a sufficiently simple baseset for nets under the recurrence: Complicated nested inductions.
- 3. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form on the baseset: Classical complex elliptic function theory.

- 1. Curves give Nets over C: Check the recurrence classical complex elliptic function theory.
- 2. Find a sufficiently simple baseset for nets under the recurrence: Complicated nested inductions.
- 3. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form on the baseset: Classical complex elliptic function theory.
- Extend these net polynomials of the baseset to any field: Choose an appropriate fibration of Eⁿ over an appropriate ring. Extend the divisors of the Ψ functions from the fibre over Q and check that there are no vertical components.

5. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form in general: Use the inductive function theory of Step 2 to show that this type of extension can be done in general.

- 5. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form in general: Use the inductive function theory of Step 2 to show that this type of extension can be done in general.
- 6. Curves give Nets over any field: Pullback from the fibration above via inclusion and base extension.

- 5. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form in general: Use the inductive function theory of Step 2 to show that this type of extension can be done in general.
- 6. Curves give Nets over any field: Pullback from the fibration above via inclusion and base extension.
- 7. Nets give Curves in Rank 1 and 2: Explicitly calculate the relevant curve and check agreement on the baseset, which implies agreement everywhere.

- 5. Show the Ψ are polynomial of a nice form in general: Use the inductive function theory of Step 2 to show that this type of extension can be done in general.
- 6. Curves give Nets over any field: Pullback from the fibration above via inclusion and base extension.
- 7. Nets give Curves in Rank 1 and 2: Explicitly calculate the relevant curve and check agreement on the baseset, which implies agreement everywhere.
- 8. Nets give Curves in All Ranks: Induction from the base case of ranks 1 and 2 by considering subnets.

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E = 9000

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

If P is an r-torsion point, W is the elliptic net associated to E, P, then

W(r+k) is not necessarily equal to W(k).

Example $E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$ over \mathbb{F}_5 . P = (0,0) has order 9. The associated sequence is 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, ...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

If P is an r-torsion point, W is the elliptic net associated to E, P, then

W(r+k) is not necessarily equal to W(k).

Example $E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$ over \mathbb{F}_5 . P = (0,0) has order 9. The associated sequence is 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, ...

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

If P is an r-torsion point, W is the elliptic net associated to E, P, then

W(r+k) is not necessarily equal to W(k).

Example $E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$ over \mathbb{F}_5 . P = (0,0) has order 9. The associated sequence is $0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, \frac{2}{2}, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, ...$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

If P is an r-torsion point, W is the elliptic net associated to E, P, then

W(r+k) is not necessarily equal to W(k).

Example $E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$ over \mathbb{F}_5 . P = (0,0) has order 9. The associated sequence is $0, 1, 1, \frac{2}{2}, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, \frac{1}{2}, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, ...$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let W be an elliptic divisibility sequence, and $p \ge 3$ a prime not dividing W(2)W(3). Let r be the least positive integer such that $W(r) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Then there exist integers a, b such that for all n,

$$W(kr+n) \equiv W(n)a^{nk}b^{k^2} \mod p$$
.

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let W be an elliptic divisibility sequence, and $p \ge 3$ a prime not dividing W(2)W(3). Let r be the least positive integer such that $W(r) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Then there exist integers a, b such that for all n,

$$W(kr+n) \equiv W(n)a^{nk}b^{k^2} \mod p$$
.

Example $(E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x, P = (0, 0) \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_5)$ $0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, \dots$ $W(9k + n) \equiv W(n)4^{nk}2^{k^2} \mod 5$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let W be an elliptic divisibility sequence, and $p \ge 3$ a prime not dividing W(2)W(3). Let r be the least positive integer such that $W(r) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Then there exist integers a, b such that for all n,

$$W(kr+n) \equiv W(n)a^{nk}b^{k^2} \mod p$$
.

Example $(E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x, P = (0, 0) \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_5)$ $0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, \dots$ $W(9k + n) \equiv W(n)4^{nk}2^{k^2} \mod 5$ $W(10) \equiv 3W(1) \mod 5$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Theorem (M. Ward, 1948)

Let W be an elliptic divisibility sequence, and $p \ge 3$ a prime not dividing W(2)W(3). Let r be the least positive integer such that $W(r) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Then there exist integers a, b such that for all n,

$$W(kr+n) \equiv W(n)a^{nk}b^{k^2} \mod p$$
.

Example $(E: y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x, P = (0, 0) \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_5)$ $0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 4, 3, 4, 4, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 4, \dots$ $W(9k + n) \equiv W(n)4^{nk}2^{k^2} \mod 5$ $W(10) \equiv 3W(1) \mod 5$ $k = 2: W(18 + n) \equiv W(n)4^{2n}2^4 \equiv W(n) \mod 5$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

Reducing a Net Modulo p

Corollary (KS - Corollory to Curve-Net Theorem)

Let E be an elliptic curve over K and let P_1, \ldots, P_k be K-points of E. Let \tilde{E} and $\tilde{P}_1, \ldots, \tilde{P}_k$ be their reductions modulo a prime p. Then the elliptic net associated to $\tilde{E}, \tilde{P}_1, \ldots, \tilde{P}_k$ is the reduction modulo p of the elliptic net associated to E, P_1, \ldots, P_k .

Example of Reduction Mod 5 of an Elliptic Net



Example of Reduction Mod 5 of an Elliptic Net



Example of Reduction Mod 5 of an Elliptic Net



Example of Reduction Mod 5 of an Elliptic Net



The appropriate periodicity property should tell how to obtain the green values from the blue values.

-

Theorem (KS)

Let $W : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to K$ be an elliptic net such that $W(2,0)W(0,2) \neq 0$. Suppose $W(r_1, r_2) = W(s_1, s_2) = 0$. Then there exist $a_s, b_s, c_s, a_r, b_r, c_r, d \in K$ such that for all $m, n, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$W(kr_1 + ls_1 + m, kr_2 + ls_2 + n) = W(m, n)a_r^{km}b_r^{kn}c_r^{k^2}a_s^{lm}b_s^{ln}c_s^{l^2}d^{kl}$$

In particular, if *K* is a finite field such as \mathbb{F}_p , we obtain a statement about reduction modulo *p* (i.e. for an integer elliptic net, if $W(r_1, r_2)$ and $W(s_1, s_2)$ are trivial mod *p*, then the equation holds mod *p*).

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Example of Net Periodicity



< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Example of Net Periodicity



・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Example of Net Periodicity



・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Example of Net Periodicity



$$a_r = 2, b_r = 2, c_r = 1$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 42 / 52

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Example of Net Periodicity



 $a_r=2, b_r=2, c_r=1$

$$W(5,4) \equiv W(1,2)2^{1}2^{2}1^{1} \equiv 3W(1,2) \mod 5$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets

ICMS Edinburgh 2007 42 / 52

Periodicity from Pairings

For those that know the Tate and Weil pairings, the periodicity contains the values of these pairings. In the previous theorems,

 $\bullet a = T_r(P, P)$

► a_r, a_s, b_r, b_s are appropriate Tate pairings of multiples of *P* and *Q* The Tate and Weil pairings can therefore be calculated from elliptic nets efficiently. This is of interest to pairing-based elliptic-curve cryptographers.

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes
Primitive Divisors in Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

We may define a **Primitive Divisor** of a term W_n to be a prime *p* such that $p|W_n$ and $p \not|W_m$ for any 0 < m < n. We then have

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Primitive Divisors in Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

We may define a **Primitive Divisor** of a term W_n to be a prime *p* such that $p|W_n$ and $p \not|W_m$ for any 0 < m < n. We then have

Theorem (Silverman's Elliptic Zsigmondy Theorem)

For every elliptic divisibility sequence there is a finite bound N such that for any n > N, W_n has a primitive divisor.

Primitive Divisors in Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

We may define a **Primitive Divisor** of a term W_n to be a prime *p* such that $p|W_n$ and $p \not|W_m$ for any 0 < m < n. We then have

Theorem (Silverman's Elliptic Zsigmondy Theorem)

For every elliptic divisibility sequence there is a finite bound N such that for any n > N, W_n has a primitive divisor.

There have since been many other results...

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets Primes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

The Canonical Height Recall that a point $[n]P \in E(K)$ has coordinates

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{A_n}{D_n^2}, \frac{B_n}{D_n^3}\right)$$

.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

.

The Canonical Height Recall that a point $[n]P \in E(K)$ has coordinates

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{A_n}{D_n^2}, \frac{B_n}{D_n^3}\right)$$

So we define the Canonical Height to be

$$\hat{h}(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} 4^{-N} log(D_{2^N})$$
.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

The Canonical Height Recall that a point $[n]P \in E(K)$ has coordinates

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{A_n}{D_n^2}, \frac{B_n}{D_n^3}\right)$$

So we define the Canonical Height to be

$$\hat{h}(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} 4^{-N} \log(D_{2^N}) \; .$$

This is a quadratic form with an associated bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The Canonical Height Recall that a point $[n]P \in E(K)$ has coordinates

$$[n]P = \left(\frac{A_n}{D_n^2}, \frac{B_n}{D_n^3}\right)$$

So we define the Canonical Height to be

$$\hat{h}(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} 4^{-N} \log(D_{2^N}) \; .$$

This is a quadratic form with an associated bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle.$ For us, what's relevant is that

$$\log |D_n| \sim \hat{h}(P) n^2$$
 ,

and in fact for elliptic nets

$$\log |W_{\mathbf{v}}| \sim \hat{h}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} v_i v_j \langle P_i, P_j \rangle$$

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ 三回目 のへで

.

Primitive Divisors for Elliptic Nets

Possible Definition

Let p be a primitive divisor for a term $W_{\mathbf{v}}$ if

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{P}) = \min\left\{\hat{h}(\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{P}) \text{ such that } p|W_{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{u}
eq 0
ight\}$$
 .

This agrees with the previous definition in the sequence case.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Primitive Divisors for Elliptic Nets

Possible Definition

Let p be a primitive divisor for a term W_v if

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P}) = \min\left\{\hat{h}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{P}) \text{ such that } \mathbf{p}|W_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u} \neq 0
ight\}$$

This agrees with the previous definition in the sequence case.

Question 1

Does there exist a bound *N* such that for all **v** of height exceeding *N*, W_v has a primitive divisor?

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 正言 ろくの

Primitive Divisors for Elliptic Nets

Possible Definition

Let p be a primitive divisor for a term $W_{\rm v}$ if

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P}) = \min\left\{\hat{h}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{P}) \text{ such that } \mathbf{p}|W_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u} \neq 0
ight\}$$

This agrees with the previous definition in the sequence case.

Question 1

Does there exist a bound N such that for all **v** of height exceeding N, Wy has a primitive divisor?

Geometrically, "for all points P of sufficient height, is it true that P is the point of least height in some kernel of reduction?"

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 正言 ろくの

Taking a different approach...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net.

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net. **Geometrically, this asks**: What groups appear as kernels of reduction mod *p* of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset E(K)$ as *p* ranges over primes?

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net. **Geometrically, this asks**: What groups appear as kernels of reduction mod *p* of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset E(K)$ as *p* ranges over primes?

Question 3

What indices of lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net. **Geometrically, this asks**: What groups appear as kernels of reduction mod *p* of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset E(K)$ as *p* ranges over primes?

Question 3

What indices of lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many integers arise as indices (ranks of apparition) for an elliptic net.

<ロ> <同> <同> <目> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同</p>

Taking a different approach...

Question 2

What lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net. **Geometrically, this asks**: What groups appear as kernels of reduction mod *p* of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset E(K)$ as *p* ranges over primes?

Question 3

What indices of lattices of apparition arise in an elliptic net?

Rank 1: all but finitely many integers arise as indices (ranks of apparition) for an elliptic net.

Geometrically, this asks: What group orders can be obtained as images of reduction mod *p* of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset E(K)$ as *p* ranges over primes?

<ロ> <同> <同> <目> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同> <同</p>

Outline

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Elliptic Nets

Motivation Definitions Curve-Net Correspondence

Periodicity

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primitive Divisors

Elliptic Divisibility Sequences Elliptic Nets

Primes

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

When are terms of an elliptic net actually prime?

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- When are terms of an elliptic net actually prime?
- Heuristically, there are finitely many primes in an elliptic divisibility sequence. This can be shown when the point is the image of an appropriate isogeny.

- When are terms of an elliptic net actually prime?
- Heuristically, there are finitely many primes in an elliptic divisibility sequence. This can be shown when the point is the image of an appropriate isogeny.
- For elliptic nets in general? By a heuristic counting argument, there should be infinitely many prime terms (except when the points of the net are in the image of an appropriate isogeny).

- When are terms of an elliptic net actually prime?
- Heuristically, there are finitely many primes in an elliptic divisibility sequence. This can be shown when the point is the image of an appropriate isogeny.
- For elliptic nets in general? By a heuristic counting argument, there should be infinitely many prime terms (except when the points of the net are in the image of an appropriate isogeny).
- Proofs??

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

For Further Reading I

G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinsky, T. Ward. *Recurrence Sequences*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol 104. American Mathematical Society, 2003.

M. Ward. Memoir on Elliptic Divisibility Sequences. American Journal of Mathematics, 70:13–74, 1948.

K. Stange.

The Tate Pairing via Elliptic Nets. To appear in PAIRING 2007, *Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science*.

Slides and Preprint at http://www.math.brown.edu/~stange/

Katherine Stange (Brown University)

Elliptic Nets