(1) Let $A$ be an amorphous set. Show that $A \times A$ is not amorphous. Conclude that $A$ is an infinite set such that $|A \times A| \neq|A|$.

The fact that $A$ is amorphous means that $A$ is infinite, but it cannot be partitioned into two infinite cells.

Assume that $A$ is amorphous and choose $a \in A$. We intend to argue that (i) $A \times\{a\}$ is an infinite subset of $A \times A$ and (ii) the complementary set $A \times(A-\{a\})$ is also an infinite subset of $A \times A$. This will show that $\Pi=\{A \times\{a\}, A \times(A-\{a\})\}$ is a partition of $A \times A$ into two infinite cells, preventing $A \times A$ from being amorphous.

We establish Claim (i) by observing that $A \times\{a\}$ is equipotent with $A$, and $A$ is infinite, so $A \times\{a\}$ must be infinite. (One may establish equipotence by observing that the first projection function $\pi_{1}:(A \times\{a\}) \rightarrow A:(x, a) \mapsto x$ is a bijection. $)$

We can establish Claim (ii) with a slight modification of the argument in the previous paragraph. The first projection function $\pi_{1}:(A \times(A-\{a\})) \rightarrow A:(x, a) \mapsto x$ is a surjection from $A \times(A-\{a\})$ onto an infinite set $A$. By the contrapositive of Theorem 2.5 of $\mathrm{HJ}^{1}$ this is enough to conclude that $A \times(A-\{a\})$ is infinite.

One may establish Claim (ii) a different way based on Theorem 2.4 of HJ. ${ }^{2}$ Argue as follows: $A$ is infinite. If $a \in A$, then $A-\{a\}$ is also infinite according to Question 1 of Quiz 5. Choose $b \in A-\{a\}$. The set $A \times\{b\}$ is infinite by the argument for Claim (i). Since $A \times\{b\}$ is an infinite subset of $A \times(A-\{a\})$, it follows from the contrapositive form of Theorem 2.4 that $A \times(A-\{a\})$ is infinite.

For the final sentence of the problem, we observe that the property of being amorphous is an equipotence invariant: If $A$ is amorphous and $|A|=|B|$, then $B$ is amorphous. To see this, assume instead that $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a bijection. If $\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}\right\}$ is a partition of $B$ into two infinite cells, then $\left\{f^{-1}\left(B_{0}\right), f^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a partition of $A$ into two infinite cells.
(2) Do Exercise 5.1.7. (Use Cantor's Theorem to show that the "set of all sets" does not exist.)

Assume that the class $\mathcal{S}$ of all sets is a set. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ consists of sets, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ and therefore the inclusion map witnesses that (i) $|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})| \leq|\mathcal{S}|$ holds. By Cantor's Theorem, (ii) $|\mathcal{S}|<|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})|$ holds. Apply the Canter-Bernstein-Schröder Theorem to (i) and (ii) to obtain $|\mathcal{S}|=|\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})|$, which contradicts Cantor's Theorem.
(3) (a) Give an example of a set that is transitive, but not well-ordered by epsilon.

$$
T=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)))=\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\},\{\{\emptyset\}\},\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\}\}
$$

$T$ is transitive, since $\emptyset,\{\emptyset\} \in T$. and the only instances of sets $R, S, T$ satisfying $R \in S \in T$ are when $R=\emptyset$ or $R=\{\emptyset\}$.
$T$ is not well-ordered by $\in$, since $\{\{\emptyset\}\}$ and $\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\}$ are incomparable under $\in$.

[^0](b) Give an example of a set that is well-ordered by epsilon, but is not transitive.
$$
W=\{\{\emptyset\}\} .
$$
$W$ is not transitive, since $\emptyset \in\{\emptyset\} \in W$, but $\emptyset \notin W$.
$W$ is well-ordered by $\in$, since $W$ has only one element and any one-element ordered set is well-ordered by $\in$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This result states that if the domain a function is finite, then the image is finite. The contrapositive asserts that if the image is infinite, then the domain must be infinite.
    ${ }^{2}$ This theorem states that a subset of a finite set is finite.

