

Let $V = M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R}) = 2 \times 2$ matrices over \mathbb{R}

let $W = \{ M \in V \mid \text{sums of all rows and columns are the same} \}$.

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{grid with entries } a_{ij} \\ \text{circled in red: } a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}; a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}; a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33} \\ \text{circled in red: } c, c, c \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{lcl} a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} & = a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} \\ & = a_{21} + a_{22} + a_{23} \\ & = a_{31} + a_{32} + a_{33} \end{array}$$

— how can we show this is a subspace?

- check the axioms?
- notice it is the kernel of a linear transformation:

$$U: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6^2} = \mathbb{R}^{36}$$

$U(M) = (\text{all differences of sums along rows and columns})$

this is pretty redundant — could use a map $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$

$$T(M) = (\text{row1 - row2, row1 - row3, row1 - col1, row1 - col2, row1 - col3})$$

- compute $\dim W$

notice that $\dim(\text{im}(T)) \leq 5$ since $\text{im}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$

but $\dim \ker(T) + \dim \text{im}(T) = \dim V = 9$

so $\dim \ker(T) \geq 9 - 5 = 4$!

- find a basis for W ?

- start listing matrices until you have a basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ N_1 & N_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ N_2 & N_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ N_3 & N_4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ N_4 & N_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

need to check this is actually linearly independent and spanning.

spanning seems hard, but can prove linear independence using these entries:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $\sum a_i N_i = 0$ then

$$\sum a_i N_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & ? \\ ? & ? & ? \\ a_4 & a_3 & ? \end{pmatrix}$$

so $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$.

just put one non-zero entry in each row and each column!

So $\dim W \geq 4$ since it contains 4 linearly independent vectors.

Therefore $\dim W = 4$ and N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4 is a basis.

We used a useful fact:

Lemma suppose $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ are lin. dep. Then $\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_n)$ are linearly dependent too.

Proof S dependent means

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = \vec{0}$ for some distinct vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and some scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$.

hence $\vec{0} = \varphi(\vec{0}) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi(v_i)$ so
 $\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_n)$ are dependent too.

Corollary If $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ such that $\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_n)$ are independent then v_1, \dots, v_n are independent too.

In the example, we used

$$\varphi \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{32}, a_{31}).$$

$$\varphi(N_i) = \vec{e}_i \text{ are lin. indep. } \Rightarrow$$

N_i are lin. indep.

Another example of this

[this has been discussed before, but not using linear trans- formations]

$P_n(F)$ = polynomials of deg $\leq n$ over F .

pick $s_0, \dots, s_n \in F$, pairwise distinct.

then let $f_j(x) = \prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, n \\ i \neq j}} \frac{(x-s_i)}{(s_j-s_i)}$

Define $\varphi: P_n(F) \longrightarrow F^{n+1}$

$$\varphi(g(x)) = (g(s_0), \dots, g(s_n))$$

then $\varphi(f_j(x)) = \vec{e}_j$.

Therefore 1) f_0, \dots, f_n are lin. indep.

2) $\text{im } \varphi \supseteq \text{span } \{\vec{e}_0, \dots, \vec{e}_n\} = F^{n+1}$

3) $\dim \text{im } \varphi = n+1 \Rightarrow \dim \ker \varphi = 0$

4) hence $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ (hence φ a bijection)