Problem 1. Let a and b be natural numbers that are not both zero and let d be their greatest common divisor. Prove that it is possible to find integers x and y such that ax + by = d. You may use without proof that the greatest common divisor of a and b exists.

Suggestion: Structure your proof as an induction on a whose induction step contains an induction on b.

Hint: You may want to make use of the facts that gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a) and gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, b - a).

Solution. For each $a \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$S_a = \{b \in \mathbb{N} : ax + by = \gcd(a, b) \text{ has a solution}\}.$$

We want to show that $S_a = \mathbb{N}$ for all \mathbb{N} .¹

The proof is by induction on a. Base case: If a = 0 then $b \neq 0$ and gcd(a,b) = b so the equation ax + by = d is solved by x = 0 and y = 1.

Induction step: Assume that $S_0 = S_1 = \cdots = S_{a-1} = \mathbb{N}$. We wish to deduce that $S_a = \mathbb{N}$. We prove this by induction on b.

The base case of the inner induction is b = 0. In this case, $a \neq 0$ and gcd(a,b) = a so the equation ax + by = d is soved by x = 1 and y = 0.

Inner induction step: We assume that a'x + b'y = gcd(a', b') has a solution if a' < a or if a' = a and b' < b and we wish to deduce that ax + by = gcd(a, b) has a solution.

We consider three possibilities based on b < a or $b \ge a$. If b < a then $bx + ay = \gcd(b, a)$ has a solution by the induction hypothesis. Switching x and y gives a solution to $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ since $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, a)$.

If $a \leq b$ then $b-a \geq 0$. Therefore $ax + (b-a)y = \gcd(a, b-a)$ has a solution in integers x and y. But $\gcd(a, b-a) = \gcd(a, b)$: If e divides a and b then it divides a and b-a by exercise 5.11; similarly, if e divides a and b-a then it divides a and (b-a)+a = b, also by exercise 5.11. Thus $ax + (b-a)y = \gcd(a, b)$ has a solution.

But rearranging this we get a(x + y) + by = gcd(a, b). Since x + y and y are both integers, this completes the proof of inner inductive step. By induction, this completes the outer inductive step as well. Then by the outer induction, we deduce that $S_a = \mathbb{N}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution. Let S be the set of all numbers in \mathbb{N} that can be written as ax + by where a and b are integers. We would like to show that S contains d. It certainly has a least element, say e.

Certainly $e \leq a$ and $e \leq b$ since both a and b are in S. I claim that this e must divide both a and b. Suppose that e did not divide a. Then by the division algorithm, there would be an integer q and an integer r with 0 < r < e such that a = qe + r. (Note that $r \neq 0$ because e does not divide a.) Written another way,

$$r = a - qd = a - q(ax + by) = (1 - qx)a + yb.$$

¹If gcd(a, b) does not exist, we declare that ax + by = gcd(a, b) has a solution. This only applies when a = b = 0.

This means that $r \in S$. But r < e, contradicting the minimality of e. This shows that the assumption that e did not divide a was false. A similar argument with the roles of a and b exchanged shows that e also divides b. Therefore $e \leq d$ since d is the greatest common divisor of a and b.

On the other hand, any number of the form ax + by must be divisible by d since d|a|ax and d|b|by. Therefore $d \leq e$. Put together, we have $d \leq e \leq d$ so d = e.