BACKGROUND ON COURSE EVALUATION DATA

Method of Administration

Teaching evaluations at CU Boulder are administered during Week 14 of the fifteen-week semesters. The course evaluations were redesigned in 2019, so there were two different methods for collecting student feedback. Prior to 2019, paper questionnaires were distributed to students by the instructors in the last ten minutes of a class period. The instructors explained the purpose of the questionnaire and mentioned that student responses were anonymous. Then the instructor left the room while students filled out the evaluation. A student volunteer collected all the questionnaires and returned them to the math department. Responses were returned to the instructor after the semester was over. After 2019, students received a link in their email that led to an online questionnaire, which was open for 10 days. Instructors could track how many students had responded, but had no other data about student responses. Instructors received the results after the semester was over.

Data from before and after 2019

When the course evaluations at CU Boulder were redesigned in 2019, the questions also changed. The summary data on the next page reflects this. Data from before and after 2019 are presented separately. Data from classes where I was the instructor versus the TA are also presented separately.

Summary of Course Evaluations for Cherry Ng as Sole Instructor

Term	Fall	Spring	Summer	Spring	Summer	Fall	Averages
Year	2015	2016	2016	2017	2017	2017	
Course	Calculus 1	Calculus 1	Calculus 1	Calculus 2	Calculus 1	Calculus 1	
Role	Instructor	Instructor	Instructor	Instructor	Instructor	Instructor	
Enrolled	32	34	24	32	25	33	30.0
Response rate	81%	74%	58%	84%	68%	85%	75%
	Rat	te each aspect o	of the course ou	t of 6:			
Challenge of the course	4.3	4.8	5.3	5.3	5.1	4.7	4.9
How much you learned	4.9	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	4.9	5.2
Course overall	5.4	5.6	4.6	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.1
Instructor effectiveness	5.5	5.7	5.2	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.5
Instructor availability	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.7
Instructor respect	5.9	6.0	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.8	5.9
Instructor overall	5.8	6.0	5.4	5.8	5.6	5.8	5.7

		These classes online during		
Note: Course evaluations	Term	Fall	Spring	Averages
were redesigned in 2019, so evaluations using the	Year	2020	2021	
new format are presented	Course	Calculus 3	Calculus 3	
here separately	Role	Instructor	Instructor Instructor	
	Enrolled	27	24	25.5
	Response rate	81.50%	75.00%	78.25%
	Rate each statement out of	5.		
In this course, I was encou	raged to:			
interact with other stud	lents respectfully	4.7	5.0	4.9
reflect on what I was le	arning	4.6	4.8	4.7
connect my learning to	the real world	4.0	4.2	4.1
collaborate		4.4	4.5	4.5
contribute my ideas		4.5	4.5	4.5
think critically		4.3	4.7	4.5
synthesize ideas		4.3	4.7	4.5
consider diverse perspe	ectives (gender, political, etc)	3.6	4.1	3.9
la dh'a anna dha instance				
In this course, the instruct		5.0	4.0	
respected diverse point		5.0	4.9 4.7	5.0
-	op my own comprehension	4.9 4.9	4.7	4.8
	required creative thinking	4.9 5.0	4.8	4.9
provided opportunities	for questions and discussion	5.0 4.9	4.9	5.0 4.9
explained grading criter	· ·	4.9	4.8	4.9
was available to provid		5.0	4.8	4.9 5.0
effectively used techno		4.9	5.0	5.0
chectively used techno	1991	7.5	5.0	5.0

															aching load ese semest		
Term	Fall		Spring			Fall			Spring		Fall	Spring	Fall	Averages			
Year	2014				2015			2016			2018			2018	2019	2019	
Course	College Algebra (4 sections)				Calc 1 (3 sections)			Calc 2 (3 sections)			Calc 3 (3 sections)		Calc 3 (1 sect)	Calc 3 (1 sect)	Calc 1 (1 sect)		
Role		Т	A			ΤΑ ΤΑ			ТА			ТА	TA	TA			
Enrolled	28	27	27	21	33	34	33	35	34	35	15	29	25	23	25	19	28
Response rate	61%	59%	74%	43%	73%	68%	79%	71%	53%	57%	60%	59%	52%	61%	60%	26%	60%
					Rate e	each asp	pect of t	he cour	se out o	f 6:							
Challenge of the course	4.5	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.5	5.0	5.5	4.6	4.9
How much you learned	5.1	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.9	4.5	5.0	5.2	5.1	5.0	5.1	5.4	5.0	5.0	5.5	4.0	4.9
Course overall	5.2	4.5	4.5	4.9	4.8	4.6	5.2	4.9	4.8	4.3	5.1	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.6	4.8
Instructor effectiveness	5.1	4.7	4.8	5.1	4.7	4.7	5.3	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.8	5.4	5.4	5.1	5.7	5.2
Instructor availability	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.2	5.8	5.2	5.6	5.0	5.7	5.4
Instructor respect	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.6	5.9	6.0	5.6	5.5	6.0	5.9
Instructor overall	5.7	5.7	5.3	5.6	5.4	5.1	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.4	5.8	5.3	5.6	5.0	5.7	5.5

Term	Year	Role	Student feedback
Fall	2017	ТА	Your class is actually one of the few classes that I can take handwritten notes in. I really like the pacing you have when describing a math topic on the chalkboard as it's easy to follow and understand.
Fall	2017	Instructor	While I have taken this course already, the people who taught it managed to still attract my attention to the course even when all the material was already known.
Fall	2018	ТА	Cherry was an excellent teacher. I have taken Calc 1 and 2 at this university and Cherry was BY FAR the most passionate and experienced instructor I have met. She cares deeply about the subject matter and gives students the opportunity to get the most out of the class and succeed. Well done Cherry!
Fall	2018	ТА	Thank you for encouraging me to become an LA and for making the recitations enjoyable. I had a great semester!
Spring	2019	ТА	Cherry is an incredible teacher with a passion for math and the welfare of her students.
Fall	2020	Instructor	Cherry is one of the most fantastic teachers I've ever had the pleasure to study under. Out of the 5 teachers that I can say have had a direct impact on my life through their teaching Cherry is definitely on that list. Right from the start, her organization and dedication to this class helped give momentum to myself and my peers to treat this class just as seriously as any in-person class we would have taken. Cherry was kind, but not distracting; firm but understanding; and above all else empathetic. Her sheer joy of teaching nifty tricks and cool calculus phenomena was infectious (pun intended), and the drive my classmates showed both in and outside class for our learning was definitely due to (in a not insignificant part) to Cherry. Her teaching style's adaptability, and her willingness to roll with the tide, whether it be for individual circumstances or for the class overall ensured that we were never behind schedule and understood the concepts. The beginning of the semester was a bit rough; people were shy, and that was to be expected given the MATH2400 team's entirely new modus operandi for classes. But Cherry enthusiastically pushed through. The pacing was a bit rushed in the beginning as she tried to figure things out right alongside all of us, but given a week or two she found a rhythm that worked for both parties. Her frequent tie-ins to more applied and creative thinking of these somewhat abstract (difficult to visualize) concepts ensured not only a technical understanding, but also an intuitive one. The 3D graphing project was some of the most fun I've had all semester. Her attitude to the class or graphing, Piaza for sharing files and posting notes, discord for discussions: I have personally used every single instance of technological help she's given, and I think that I owe a huge chunk of my academic success in this class to that. Cherry used technological tools to great benefit. Whether it be Mathematica for integrals or graphing, Piaza for sharing files and posting notes, discord for discussions
Fall	2020	Instructor	I think calling on people at random when working on problems and how you real problems to the real world are effective aspects of this course. There are no aspects to this course that are not effective.
Spring	2021	Instructor	Always there to help! Made sure students knew that she would not judge us on what we needed help. I always felt very comfortable reaching out to her. She also would work with students when cold calling on them, turning what usually is a stressful situation into one where there is actually learning involved. Might be the best professor I've ever had.