

Exercise 5.5.24

Linear Algebra MATH 2130

SEBASTIAN CASALAINA

ABSTRACT. This is Exercise 5.5.24 from Lay [LLM16, §5.5]:

Exercise 5.5.24. Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix with the property that $A^T = A$. Show that if $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ for some nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and some complex number λ , then, in fact, λ is real and the real part of \mathbf{x} is an **eigenvector** of A .

Remark 0.1 (WARNING). **This exercise is false as stated!** As stated, it is not necessarily the case that the real part of \mathbf{x} is an **eigenvector** of A . For instance, let A be the identity matrix, and let \mathbf{x} be any nonzero vector with $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. As a concrete example, you can take $A = I$ to be the 2×2 identity matrix, and $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{x}$, but $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector, and cannot be an eigenvector for A .

The problem should have been written as follows:

Exercise 5.5.24. (CORRECTED) Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix with the property that $A^T = A$. Show that if $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ for some nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and some complex number λ , then, in fact, λ is real. Show moreover that $A(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}$ and $A(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}$.

Remark 0.2. Since at least one of $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}$ is nonzero (otherwise $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x} + i \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$), this means that at least one of $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}$ is a real eigenvector for λ .

Solution. First we will show, more generally, that if A is an $n \times n$ complex matrix with the property $\bar{A}^T A = A$, and $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ for some nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and some complex number λ , then, in fact, λ is real. To show this, consider that

$$(0.1) \quad q_A(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T A \mathbf{x} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \lambda \mathbf{x} = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{x} = \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$$

Date: October 23, 2022.

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{x} = q_I(\mathbf{x})$ (here I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix). From Exercise 5.5.23, we know that $q_A(\mathbf{x})$ and $q_I(\mathbf{x})$ are real. In fact, if $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = q_I(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{x} = \bar{x}_1 x_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_n x_n = |x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2 > 0$$

is positive, since $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, we can divide by $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ in (0.1), and we find $\lambda = q_A(\mathbf{x})/\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ is the quotient of two real numbers, and is therefore real.

Now, assuming that A is real, we will show that $A(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}$ and $A(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}$. To do this, we will use that $\operatorname{Re}(A\mathbf{x}) = A(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x})$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A\mathbf{x}) = A(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x})$ (this is asserted on the bottom of [LLM16, p.301], and is given as [LLM16, Exe. 5.5.25, p.303], but we give a proof below). Using this, we see that

$$A(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Re}(A\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}.$$

$$A(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Im}(A\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{Im}(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Here for completeness we give a proof of the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(A\mathbf{x}) = A(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x})$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A\mathbf{x}) = A(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x})$. To start, given any complex matrix Z , you can check entry-by-entry that:

$$\operatorname{Re} Z = \frac{1}{2}(Z + \bar{Z})$$

$$\operatorname{Im} Z = -\frac{i}{2}(Z - \bar{Z})$$

Then if B is any real matrix of a size so that we can multiply BZ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(BZ) = \frac{1}{2}(BZ + \overline{BZ}) = \frac{1}{2}(BZ + \bar{B} \bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}(BZ + B \bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{2}(B(Z + \bar{Z})) = B\left(\frac{1}{2}(Z + \bar{Z})\right) = B \operatorname{Re} Z.$$

The proof for $\operatorname{Im}(BZ)$ is similar.

REFERENCES

[LLM16] David Lay, Stephen Lay, and Judi McDonald, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Fifth edition, Pearson, 2016.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPUS BOX 395, BOULDER, CO 80309

Email address: casa@math.colorado.edu