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Please answer the all of the questions, and show your work.
You must explain your answers to get credit.
You will be graded on the clarity of your exposition!
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1. Give the definition of a vector space.

## SOLUTION

See the pdf online:
www.math.colorado.edu/~casa/teaching/18spring/2135/hw/LinAlgHW.pdf
2. Let $V$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of sequences of real numbers $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with addition and scaling given by:
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$$
\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}+\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\left\{x_{n}+y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \quad \lambda \cdot\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\left\{\lambda x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}
$$

for all $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let $L^{1} \subseteq V$ be the subset of sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in V$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|$ converges. Let $L^{2} \subseteq V$ be the subset of sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in V$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n}^{2}$ converges.
2.(a). Show that $L^{1}$ is a subspace of $V$.
2.(b). Show that $L^{2}$ is a subspace of $V$.
2.(c). Is $L^{1}$ contained in $L^{2}$ ?
2.(d). Is $L^{2}$ contained in $L^{1}$ ?

## SOLUTION

We will repeatedly use the following fact from Calculus II:
(*) Given a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ with all the $a_{n}$ being nonnegative real numbers, if there exists a real number $M$ such that for all natural numbers $N$ we have $\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} \leq M$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is convergent.
(a) We must show that $L^{1}$ is closed under addition and scaling. To show it is closed under addition, let $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in L^{1}$. Since $x+y=\left\{x_{n}+y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, we must show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}+y_{n}\right|$ is convergent. To this end, for any natural number $N$, we have using the triangle inequality (for real numbers) that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n}+y_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n}\right|+\left|y_{n}\right|=\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|y_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}\right|
$$

Thus the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}+y_{n}\right|$ converges, using the fact $(*)$ above.
To show that $L^{1}$ is closed under scaling, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in L^{1}$. We must show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda x_{n}\right|$ is convergent. To this end, for any natural number $N$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|\lambda x_{n}\right|=|\lambda| \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n}\right| \leq|\lambda| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|
$$

again we conclude using $(*)$.
(b) We must show that $L^{2}$ is closed under addition and scaling. To show it is closed under addition, let $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in L^{2}$. We must show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)^{2}$
is convergent. For this we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n} y_{n}
$$

assuming the series on the right are all convergent. Thus it suffices to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n} y_{n}$ is convergent, and therefore to show that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n} y_{n}\right|$ is convergent.

To this end, first observe that it is clear that $\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\right\},\left\{\left|y_{n}\right|\right\} \in L^{2}$. Now, using the fact that the standard dot product on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is an inner product, we have from Cauchy-Schwartz that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n} y_{n}\right|=\left|\sum_{n=0}^{N}\right| x_{n}| | y_{n}| | & \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|y_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|y_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again we conclude using (*).
To show that $L^{2}$ is closed under scaling, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in L^{2}$. We must show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda x_{n}\right)^{2}$ is convergent. For this we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(\lambda x_{n}\right)^{2}=\lambda^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_{n}^{2} \leq \lambda^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n}^{2}
$$

again we conclude using ( $*$ ).
(c) Yes. The subspace $L^{1}$ is contained in the subspace $L^{2}$. Indeed, suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in L_{1}$. Then we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|$ converges. Now there is some natural number $N_{0}$ such that $0 \leq\left|x_{n}\right|<1$ for all $n \geq N_{0}$. Therefore, for all $n \geq N_{0}$, we have $0 \leq x_{n}^{2} \leq\left|x_{n}\right|$, so that consequently, for all $N \geq N_{0}$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N} x_{n}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{N_{0}-1} x_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=N_{0}}^{N} x_{n}^{2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_{0}-1} x_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=N_{0}}^{N}\left|x_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_{0}-1} x_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}\right|
$$

Thus by $(*)$ the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n}^{2}$ converges, so that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in L^{2}$.
(d) No. The subspace $L^{2}$ is not contained in the subspace $L^{1}$. For instance taking
$x_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ (and $x_{0}$ arbitrary), we have $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in L^{2}$, but $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \notin L^{1}$ (one can use the integral test, for instance, for both of these).
3. Let $L^{2}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space consisting of sequences of real numbers $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n}^{2}$ converges. We have seen there is an inner product on $L^{2}$ defined by setting

$$
(x, y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n} y_{n}
$$

for $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in L^{2}$.
Now consider the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n} & =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
y_{n} & = \begin{cases}1 & n=0 \\
0 & n>0 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

3.(a). Perform the Graeme-Schmidt process to obtain an orthonomal basis for the vector space spanned by $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$.
3.(b). Consider the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $z_{n}=1 / n$ !. Find the orthogonal projection of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ onto the vector space spanned by $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$.

## SOLUTION

We start with a few useful computations, just to have them all in one place:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)^{n}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{4}}=\frac{4}{3^{\prime}} \\
& (y, x)=1 \cdot 1+0 \cdot \frac{1}{2}+\cdots=1 \\
& (y, y)=1 \cdot 1+0 \cdot 0+\cdots=1 \\
& (z, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}(1 / 2)^{n}=e^{1 / 2} \\
& (z, y)=1 \cdot 1+1 \cdot 0+\frac{1}{2} \cdot 0+\cdots=1
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) The solution to the problem is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x \\
& y^{\prime \prime}=2 y-\frac{3}{2} x \\
& 5
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is as follows. The Graeme-Schmidt process starts by constructing an orthogonal basis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} & =x . \\
y^{\prime} & =y-\frac{(y, x)}{(x, x)} x \\
& =y-\frac{1}{4 / 3} x \\
y^{\prime} & =y-\frac{3}{4} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

I.e., the vectors $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ form an orthogonal basis for the subspace spanned by $x$ and $y$.

To obtain an orthonormal basis, we should compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(y-\frac{3}{4} x, y-\frac{3}{4} x\right) & =(y, y)+\frac{9}{16}(x, x)-2 \frac{3}{4}(x, y) \\
& =1+\frac{9}{16} \cdot \frac{4}{3}-\frac{3}{2} \cdot 1=\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{x^{\prime}}{\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\frac{x}{(x, x)^{1 / 2}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x \\
y^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{y^{\prime}}{\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}}=2 y^{\prime}=2 y-\frac{3}{2} x
\end{aligned}
$$

form an orthonormal basis for the subspace spanned by $x$ and $y$. Specifically, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{1}{2^{n}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{n+1}} \\
& y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
2-\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n}}=\frac{1}{2} & n=0 \\
-\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n}}=-\frac{3}{2^{n+1}} & n>0
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 0.1. We can do a quick double check that $x^{\prime \prime}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$ are the correct solution. We clearly have that $x^{\prime \prime}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$ are in the span of $x$ and $y$, and that $x^{\prime \prime}$ is in the span of $x$. We have $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x\right)=\frac{3}{4}(x, x)=1,\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(2 y-\frac{3}{2} x, 2 y-\frac{3}{2} x\right)=4(y, y)-$ $2 \frac{3}{2} 2(x, y)+\frac{9}{4}(x, x)=4-6+\frac{9}{4} \frac{4}{3}=1,\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x, 2 y-\frac{3}{2} x\right)=\sqrt{3}(x, y)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{3}{2}(x, x)=$ $\sqrt{3}(x, y)-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4}(x, x)=\sqrt{3} \cdot 1-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4} \cdot \frac{4}{3}=0$.
(b) The solution to the problem is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) x+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right) y \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is as follows. Having computed the orthonormal basis $x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}$ in the previous part of the problem, the orthogonal projection $w=\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of $z=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is given by

$$
w=\left(z, x^{\prime \prime}\right) x^{\prime \prime}+\left(z, y^{\prime \prime}\right) y^{\prime \prime}
$$

We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x\right)=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(z, x)=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} e^{1 / 2} \\
& \left(z, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(z, 2 y-\frac{3}{2} x\right)=2(z, y)-\frac{3}{2}(z, x)=2-\frac{3}{2} e^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =\left(z, x^{\prime \prime}\right) x^{\prime \prime}+\left(z, y^{\prime \prime}\right) y^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} e^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x\right)+\left(2-\frac{3}{2} e^{1 / 2}\right)\left(2 y-\frac{3}{2} x\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\frac{3}{4} e^{1 / 2}+\left(-3+\frac{9}{4} e^{1 / 2}\right)\right] x+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right) y \\
& =\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) x+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right) y
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, the solution to the problem is that the orthogonal projection of $z$ is given by (0.1).

Specifically, we have

$$
w_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & n=0 \\ \left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{1}{2^{n}} & n>0\end{cases}
$$

Remark 0.2. We can check that we have not made an arithmetic mistake in (0.1) as follows. We clearly have $w$ in the span of $x$ and $y$. To check that $w$ is the orthogonal projection, we can check that $w^{\perp}:=z-w$ is in the orthogonal complement of the span of $x$ and $y$. In other words, we can check that $(z-w, x)=(z-w, y)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z-w, x) & =(z, x)-(w, x) \\
& =e^{1 / 2}-\left(\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) x+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right) y, x\right) \\
& =e^{1 / 2}-\left[\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right)(x, x)+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right)(y, x)\right] \\
& =e^{1 / 2}-\left[\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{4}{3}+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right)\right] \\
& =0 \\
(z-w, y) & =(z, y)-(w, y) \\
& =1-\left[\left(\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right) x+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right) y, y\right)\right] \\
& =1-\left[\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right)(x, y)+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right)(y, y)\right] \\
& =1-\left[\left(-3+3 e^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(4-3 e^{1 / 2}\right)\right] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Let $(V,(-,-))$ be a real Euclidean space. Let $W$ be the vector space of linear maps $L: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
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4.(a). For each $v \in V$, show that the map of sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-, v): V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
(-, v)\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a linear map.
4.(b). Show that the map of sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi: V \longrightarrow W \\
v \mapsto(-, v)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a linear map.

## SOLUTION

(a) Let $v \in V$. Then for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$, we have

$$
(-, v)\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right):=\left(v_{1}+v_{2}, v\right)=\left(v_{1}, v\right)+\left(v_{2}, v\right)=:(-, v)\left(v_{1}\right)+(-, v)\left(v_{2}\right)
$$

The second equality above uses the bilinearity of the inner product.
Similarly, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
(-, v)\left(\lambda \cdot v_{1}\right):=\left(\lambda \cdot v_{1}, v\right)=\lambda \cdot\left(v_{1}, v\right)=: \lambda \cdot(-, v)\left(v_{1}\right) .
$$

The second equality above uses the bilinearity of the inner product.
(b) For all $v, v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we must show that

$$
\phi\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{2}\right), \quad \phi(\lambda v)=\lambda \phi(v) .
$$

These are supposed to be equalities of maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and it suffices to check that the maps agree for all $x \in V$. First we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)(x):=\left(-, v_{1}+v_{2}\right)(x):=\left(x, v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\left(x, v_{1}\right)+\left(x, v_{2}\right) \\
=:\left(-, v_{1}\right)(x)+\left(-, v_{2}\right)(x)=:\left(\phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{2}\right)\right)(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The third equality above uses the bilinearity of the inner product. Thus $\phi\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=$ $\phi\left(v_{1}\right)+\phi\left(v_{2}\right)$.

Similarly, we have

$$
\phi(\lambda \cdot v)(x):=(-, \lambda \cdot v)(x):=(x, \lambda \cdot v)=\lambda \cdot(x, v)=: \lambda \cdot(-, v)(x)=: \lambda \cdot \phi(x)
$$

the third equality above uses the bilinearity of the inner product.

## 5. True or False.

Give a brief explanation for each answer. This should be at most a sentence
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5.(a). Every complex vector space is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for some nonnegative integer $n$.

T F FALSE. For instance, the complex vector space of polynomials with complex coefficients $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is not finite dimensional (for each natural number $n$ it contains the $(n+$ 1 )-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}[x]_{n}$ of polynomials of dimension at most $n$ ).
5.(b). Let $V$ be a vector space, and let $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$ be a subspace. Then the additive identity of $V$ is equal to the additive identity of $V^{\prime}$.
T F TRUE. This was a homework exercise. We showed for instance that for any $\overline{v \in V}$, we have $0 \cdot v=\mathscr{O}$. We can then see that $\mathscr{O}^{\prime}=0 \cdot{ }^{\prime} \mathscr{O}^{\prime}=0 \cdot \mathscr{O}^{\prime}=\mathscr{O}$.
5.(c). In the vector space $C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ of continuous maps $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the elements $1, \cos t, \sin t$ are linearly independent.
$\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ TRUE. If $a+b \cos t+c \sin t=0$, then taking the derivative, we have $c \cos t=$ $\bar{b} \sin t$, which is only possibly if $b=c=0$, since otherwise the values of $t$ for which $c \cos t$ and $b \sin t$ are zero, are different. Then $a=0$ and we are done.
5.(d). A linear map is surjective if and only if it has trivial kernel.
$\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ FALSE. Take for instance $\mathbb{R}^{0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
5.(e). Let $(V,(-,-))$ be a Euclidean vector space, and let $W$ be a subspace. Then we have $W \cap W^{\perp}=\{\mathscr{O}\}$, where $\mathscr{O}$ is the additive identity of $V$.
$\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ TRUE. We saw this in class: if $w \in W \cap W^{\perp}$, then $0=(w, w)$, which, from the definition of an inner product, is only possibble if $w=\mathscr{O}$.
5.(f). Let $(V,(-,-))$ be a Euclidean vector space, and let $W$ be a finite dimensional subspace with orthonormal basis $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$. Then for any $v \in V$ we have $v-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v, w_{i}\right) w_{i}$ is in $W$.
$\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ FALSE. This is true only if $v \in W$, since $v-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v, w_{i}\right) w_{i} \in W \Longleftrightarrow v=$ $\overline{\left(v-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v, w_{i}\right) w_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v, w_{i}\right) w_{i} \in W \text {. } . . . . . ~}$
5.(g). If $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are nonzero, mutually orthogonal elements of a Euclidean space, then they are linearly indepenent.
$\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ TRUE. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} v_{i}=0$. Then for each $v_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, we have that $\left.\overline{0=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\right.} \alpha_{i} v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j} \cdot\left(v_{j}, v_{j}\right)$, which, since $v_{j} \neq \mathscr{O}$, is only possibly if $\alpha_{j}=0$.
5.(h). If $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a surjective linear map, then $n \geq m$.

T $\quad \mathrm{F} \mid$ TRUE. The Rank-Nullity Theorem implies that $n=m+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} f \geq m$.
5.(i). A linear map $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow V$ is injective if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}(f)=n$.

T F TRUE. This was a theorem we proved in class. The argument was that we have $\overline{f \text { injective }} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ker}(f)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}(f)=n$. The first equivalence was a theorem we proved in class, and the second equivalence follows from the Rank-Nullity theorem.
5.(j). Elements $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of a vector space $V$ form a basis of $V$ if and only if they span $V$. $\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F} \mid$ FALSE: The definition requires they also be linearly independent. For instance $(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)$ span $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, but are not linearly independent, so do not form a basis.

