

## MATH 6280 - CLASS 21

### CONTENTS

1. Triads and homotopy excision	1
2. Some remarks about homotopy fiber and cofiber	5
3. Excision : Proof sketch	5

These notes are based on

- *Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint*, M. Aguilar, S. Gitler, C. Prieto
- *A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology*, J. Peter May
- *More Concise Algebraic Topology*, J. Peter May and Kate Ponto
- *Algebraic Topology*, A. Hatcher

### 1. TRIADS AND HOMOTOPY EXCISION

- Definition 1.1.** (1) An *excisive triad*, denoted  $(X; A, B)$ , consists of a space  $X$  and subspaces  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $X = \text{int}(A) \cup \text{int}(B)$ .
- (2) A *CW-triad* denoted  $(X; A, B)$ , consists of a CW-complex  $X$  and subcomplexes  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $X = A \cup B$ .

Here are two results which we will not prove.

**Theorem 1.2.** A map  $e : (X; A, B) \rightarrow (X'; A', B')$  of excisive triads which induces weak equivalences  $A \simeq A'$ ,  $B \simeq B'$  and  $A \cap B \simeq A' \cap B'$  induces a weak equivalence  $X \simeq X'$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** An excisive triad  $(X; A, B)$ , then there is a CW-triad  $e : (\Gamma X; \Gamma A, \Gamma B) \rightarrow (X, A, B)$  with  $e$  inducing weak equivalences  $\Gamma A \simeq A$ ,  $\Gamma B \simeq B$ ,  $\Gamma A \cap \Gamma B \rightarrow A \cap B$ , and,  $\Gamma X \simeq X$ . The approximation can be made functorial up to homotopy. Further, if  $(A, A \cap B)$  is  $n$ -connected, we can choose  $(\Gamma A, \Gamma A \cap \Gamma B)$  to have no cells for  $q \leq n$  and the same holds for  $(B, A \cap B)$ .

Recall the following fact about homology:

**Theorem 1.4** (Excision). *Given excisive triad, denoted  $(X; A, B)$ , the inclusion  $(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow (X, A)$  gives an isomorphism on relative homology*

$$H_*(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow H_*(X, A).$$

Here, the relative homology groups  $H_*(X, A)$  are computed using the complex  $C_*(X, A) = C_*(X)/C_*(A)$ .

Often, we use excision when we want to compute  $H_*(X, B)$  by choosing  $A$  to be a neighborhood of  $X - B$ . Then what this is saying is that relative homology is somehow local: you only need chains in  $A$  relative to  $A \cap B$  to compute it.

Some important results one can deduce from excision are:

- (1) Homology of quotients: If  $A \rightarrow X$  is a cofibration, then  $H_*(X, A) \cong \tilde{H}(X/A)$ .
- (2) Suspension isomorphism:  $\tilde{H}_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \cong \tilde{H}_n(X)$
- (3) Local orientations: By excision,  $H_n(X, X - \{x\}) \cong H_n(U, U - \{x\})$ . If  $X$  is an  $n$ -manifold, then  $U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$H_n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) \cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) \cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}S^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

A local orientation is a choice of generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In homotopy, we don't quite get as good of a result.

**Theorem 1.5** (Homotopy excision). *Let  $(X; A, B)$  be an excisive triad with  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that*

- $(A, A \cap B)$  is  $n$ -connected for  $n \geq 1$ .
- $(B, A \cap B)$  is  $m$ -connected for  $m \geq 0$ .

Then  $(A, A \cap B) \rightarrow (X, B)$  is an  $m + n$  equivalence.

**Definition 1.6.** Recall,

- (1)  $(X, A)$  is  $n$ -connected if  $\pi_0 A \rightarrow \pi_0 X$  is surjective and  $\pi_q(X, A) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq n$ .
- (2)  $(X, A) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, B)$  is an  $n$ -equivalence if
  - $f(i_*(\pi_0(A))) = i_*(\pi_0(B))$ , where  $i$  are the inclusions  $A \rightarrow X$  and  $B \rightarrow Y$ .
  - $\pi_q f$  is an isomorphism for  $1 \leq q < n$  and surjective if  $q = n$ .

Let's see some consequences of excision.

First, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.7.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $n$ -equivalence, then  $(M_f, X)$  is  $n$ -connected.*

*Proof.* We use the long exact sequence on homotopy groups for the pair.

$$\pi_n X \rightarrow \pi_n M_f \rightarrow \pi_n(M_f, X) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1} X \rightarrow \pi_{n-1} M_f \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $n$ -equivalence and factors through  $X \rightarrow M_f \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$ , then  $X \rightarrow M_f$  is an  $n$ -equivalence. The claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $n$ -equivalence and  $X$  is  $m$ -connected for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $(M_f, X) \rightarrow (C_f, *)$  is a  $n + m + 1$ -equivalence.*

*Proof.* We use excision. Consider the following subsets of  $C_f$ :

$$A = Y \cup_f X \times [0, 2/3] / (X \times 1) \qquad B = X \times [1/3, 1] / (X \times 1).$$

Note that  $A$  and  $B$  intersect in a collar  $A \cap B = X \times [1/3, 2/3]$ . We have homotopy equivalences

$$(M_f, X) \simeq (A, A \cap B)$$

$$(C_f, *) \simeq (C_f, B),$$

and up to homotopy,  $(M_f, X) \rightarrow (C_f, *)$  factors through  $(A, A \cap B) \rightarrow (C_f, B)$ . So we will use the excisive triad

$$(C_f; A, B)$$

We need to prove that

- $(A, A \cap B)$  is  $n$ -connected
- $(B, A \cap B)$  is  $m + 1$ -connected.

The first is obvious since  $(M_f, X) \simeq (A, A \cap B)$ . For the second, note that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$(B, A \cap B) \simeq (CX, X)$$

and  $\pi_{q+1}(CX, X) \cong \pi_q X$  by the long exact sequence on homotopy groups for a pair. So the connectivity of  $X$  gives the claim.  $\square$