## Polymorphisms of directed graphs

Alexandr Kazda

CU Boulder

January 21st 2021

## Polymorphisms

- $\mathbb{A} = (A; R_1, \ldots, R_k)$
- $f: A^n \to A$  is a polymorphism of  $\mathbb{A}$  if f is compatible with all operations of  $\mathbb{A}$
- A height 1 identity for is an identity of the form

 $f(?\cdots?)\approx g(?\cdots?),$ 

where question marks are variables

- CSP theory: The more height 1 identities  $\mathsf{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$  satisfies, the easier  $\mathsf{CSP}(\mathbb{A})$  is
- L. Barto, J. Opršal, M. Pinsker, The wonderland of reflections, Israel Journal of Mathematics 223/1 (2018), 363-398
- L. Barto, J. Bulin, A. Krokhin, J. Opršal, Algebraic approach to promise constraint satisfaction

- Directed graphs were one of the earliest objects for CSP
- $\mathbb{G} = (V(\mathbb{G}), E(\mathbb{G}))$  has as polymorphisms all  $f \colon V(\mathbb{G})^n \to V(\mathbb{G})$  such that whenever

$u_1$	и <sub>2</sub>	 u <sub>n</sub>
$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	 $\downarrow$ ,
$v_1$	<i>v</i> <sub>2</sub>	 vn

then

$$f(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) \\\downarrow \\ f(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$$

## Reduction to digraphs

- Feder, Vardi: Every CSP(A) is poly-time equivalent to CSP(G) for some G balanced digraph.
- Feder, Vardi: The Computational Structure of Monotone Monadic SNP and Constraint Satisfaction: A Study through Datalog and Group Theory, 1998
- How well do digraphs simulate polymorphisms of general relational structures?
- Bulín, Delic, Jackson, Niven: For every A there exists a digraph  $\mathbb{G}$  such that for any\* set of identities  $\Sigma$  we have A satisfies  $\Sigma$  iff  $\mathbb{G}$  satisfies  $\Sigma$
- Jakub Bulín and D. Delic and M. Jackson and T. Niven: A finer reduction of constraint problems to digraphs, 2015
- Warning: The asterisk hides a lot of technicalies...

# Example identities for which the BDJN reduction works

• Necessary condition: The polymorphisms must be polymorphisms of



• Example: Majority

$$M(x,x,y) \approx M(x,y,x) \approx M(y,x,x) \approx x$$

• Non-example: Maltsev (after Anatoly Ivanovich Maltsev, 1909–1967)

$$p(x,x,y)\approx p(y,x,x)\approx y$$

### Maltsev does not generally imply majority

• Maltsev & majority

$$p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$$
  
 $M(x, x, y) \approx M(x, y, x) \approx M(y, x, x) \approx x$ 

• If *M* was majority, apply to rows of

$$M\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

but this is not in R

## Maltsev polymorphism

- How do Maltsev digraphs look like?
- Recall  $p(x, x, y) \approx p(y, x, x) \approx y$
- If we have



Then

$$f\begin{pmatrix} u & w & w \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ v & v & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \downarrow \\ t \end{pmatrix},$$

• We get



• G be a Maltsev digraph; it looks like this:



Two partial equivalences

 *R*<sup>+</sup>(u, v) iff ∃z, (u, z), (v, z) ∈ E(G)

 *R*<sup>-</sup>(u, v) iff ∃z, (z, u), (z, v) ∈ E(G)

- Assume G is smooth (each vertex has in- and out-degree  $\geq 1$ )
- $R^+$  and  $R^-$  are equivalences
- Equivalences factorize stuff
- Idea: Prove Maltsev  $\Rightarrow$  majority by induction on digraph size, go from  $\mathbb{G}/R^+$  to  $\mathbb{G}$
- Induction basis:  $R^+, R^-$  are identity
- Happens for disjoint union of cycles (has Maltsev & majority)

# Graphs $\mathbb{G}/R^+$ and $\mathbb{G}/R^-$



- Bijection  $\phi$  from  $R^+$ -classes to  $R^-$ -classes
- $\mathbb{G}/R^+$  and  $\mathbb{G}/R^-$  turn out to be isomorphic via  $\phi$
- Observation: If  $u \in \phi(v/R^+)$ , then  $v \to u$

- Now onto induction step
- Easy: If  $\mathbb{G}$  has Maltsev, then  $\mathbb{G}/R^+$  has Maltsev
- Thus  $\mathbb{G}/R^+$  has majority m
- $\mathbb{G}/R^-$  has majority  $m'(x,y,z) = \phi(m(\phi^{-1}(x),\phi^{-1}(y),\phi^{-1}(z)))$
- Construct a majority map  $M\colon V(\mathbb{G})^3 o V(\mathbb{G})$  so that we have

$$M(x, y, z)/R^{+} = m(x/R^{+}, y/R^{+}, z/R^{+})$$
  
$$M(x, y, z)/R^{-} = m'(x/R^{-}, y/R^{-}, z/R^{-})$$

## M is a polymorphism

- Construct a majority map  $M \colon V(\mathbb{G})^3 \to V(\mathbb{G})$  so that we have 
  $$\begin{split} & M(x,y,z)/R^+ = m(x/R^+,y/R^+,z/R^+) \\ & M(x,y,z)/R^- = m'(x/R^-,y/R^-,z/R^-) \end{split}$$
- Suppose we do this. Then M will be a polymorphism of G
  Assume

$u_1$	$u_2$	uз
$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$
$v_1$	<i>v</i> <sub>2</sub>	V3

• Now 
$$\phi(u_i/R^+) = v_i/R^-$$
 for all  $i$ 

Thus

$$M(v_1, v_2, v_3)/R^- = m'(v_1/R^-, v_2/R^-, v_3/R^-)$$
  

$$M(v_1, v_2, v_3)/R^- = \phi(m(\phi^{-1}(v_1/R^-), \phi^{-1}(v_2/R^-), \phi^{-1}(v_3/R^-)))$$
  

$$M(v_1, v_2, v_3)/R^- = \phi(m(u_1/R^+, u_2/R^+, u_3/R^+))$$
  

$$M(v_1, v_2, v_3)/R^- = \phi(M(u_1, u_2, u_3)/R^+)$$

#### Assume

$$\begin{array}{cccc} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{array}$$

• We got 
$$M(v_1, v_2, v_3)/R^- = \phi(M(u_1, u_2, u_3)/R^+)$$

- By the definition of φ, the block M(v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>3</sub>)/R<sup>-</sup> is where all the edges from M(u<sub>1</sub>, u<sub>2</sub>, u<sub>3</sub>)/R<sup>+</sup> go!
- Thus  $M(u_1, u_2, u_3) \rightarrow M(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  is an edge

- Maltsev  $\Rightarrow$  majority shows that BDJN reduction cannot be made into a perfect correspondence
- More about Maltsev digraphs: Catarina Carvalho, Laszlo Egri, Marcel Jackson, Todd Niven. On Maltsev digraphs, 2015.
- $\bullet\,$  If  $\mathbb G$  is a Maltsev digraph,  $\mathbb G$  has both Maltsev and majority
- $\bullet$  This makes  $\mathsf{CSP}(\mathbb{G})$  very easy, doable in logarithmic space
- This uses Victor Dalmau, Benoit Larose, Maltsev + Datalog  $\Rightarrow$  symmetric Datalog, 2008