

# Counting Homomorphisms Modulo Primes

---

Andrei A. Bulatov  
Simon Fraser University

Joint work with Amirhosein Kazeminia

# Counting CSPs

---

**Counting CSP:** Given relational structure  $G$  and  $H$ , find the number of homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$

$\#CSP(H)$  if  $H$  is fixed

$\#CSP(H)$  belongs to the class  $\#P$

When every tuple from  $H$  is assigned weight, every homomorphism is assigned a weight

$WCSP(H)$  is the problem of finding the total weight of all homomorphisms

# Counting Graph Homomorphisms

---

**Theorem** (Dyer, Greenhill, 2000)

$\#CSP(H)$ ,  $H$  is a graph, is poly time if and only if every connected component of  $H$  is a complete reflexive graph or a complete bipartite graph.

Otherwise  $\#CSP(H)$  is  $\#P$ -complete

The hardness is from the N-graph

It is also a obstruction to a Mal'tsev polymorphism

# Linear Equations

---

$\#CSP(H_{aff})$ , where the relations of  $H_{aff}$  are given by systems of linear equations over  $GF(q)$ , is poly time:

- Every instance is a system of linear equations
- Find the dimensionality  $k$  of the solution space
- The number of homomorphisms/solutions is  $q^k$

# General Counting CSP

---

**Theorem** (B., 2008, Dyer, Richerby, 2010)

$\#CSP(H)$ ,  $H$  is a relational structure, is poly time if and only if for every pp-interpretable equivalence relations  $\alpha, \beta$  the rank  $rank(M(\alpha, \beta))$  equals the number of  $\alpha \vee \beta$ -blocks. Otherwise  $\#CSP(H)$  is  $\#P$ -complete

$M(\alpha, \beta)$ :

# Beyond Just Counting

---

## Weighted #CSP:

- rational nonnegative weights, B. et al, 2009
- real and complex weights, Cai, Chen, 2012

Holant: multiple results by Cai and coauthors

## Approximation:

- Boolean (partial for weighted), Dyer et al, 2010, B. et al, 2012
- conservative (partial), Chen et al, 2013
- graphs (partial), Galanis et al, 2015

# Modular Counting

---

Counting CSP mod  $p$ : Given relational structure  $G$  and  $H$ , find the number of homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $H$  modulo  $p$ ,  $p$  prime

$\#_p \text{CSP}(H)$  if  $H$  is fixed

$\#_p \text{CSP}(H)$  belongs to the class  $\#_p P$

# Counting and Automorphisms

---

Counting 3-colorings mod 3



# Counting and Fixed Points

---

Homomorphisms to a 3-star mod 3

$\#_p CSP(H)$  is poly time equivalent to  $\#_p CSP(H^\pi)$  where  $H^\pi$  is the subgraph/substructure induced by the fixed points of automorphism  $\pi$  of order  $p$

Repeating this we eventually obtain that  $\#_p CSP(H)$  is poly time equivalent to  $\#_p CSP(H^\dagger)$ , where  $H^\dagger$  has no  $p$ -automorphisms

# Conjectures and Results

---

**Conjecture** (Faben, Jerrum, 2015)

If graph  $H$  does not have  $p$ -automorphisms, then  $\#_p CSP(H)$  is hard whenever  $\#CSP(H)$  is hard.

## Theorem

$\#_p CSP(H)$ ,  $H$  is a graph, is poly time if and only if every connected component of  $H^\dagger$  is a complete reflexive graph or a complete bipartite graph.

Otherwise  $\#_p CSP(H)$  is  $\#_p P$ -complete

# What We Know

---

- trees mod 2 Faben, Jerrum, 2015
- cactus graphs mod 2 Göbel et al, 2014
- square-free mod 2 Göbel et al, 2016
- $K_4$ -minor free Focke et al, 2021
- trees mod  $p$  Göbel et al, 2018
- square-free mod  $p$  B., Kazeminia, 2019
- $K_{3,3}$  and domino free mod  $p$  Lagodzinski et al., 2020

# Algebra for Modular Counting

---

The main steps of the algebraic approach go through, although with interesting twists

- adding constants
- conjunctions
- quantification
- pp-interpretations

# Adding Constants

---

For a relational structure  $H$  let  $H^c$  denote the expansion of  $H$  with all the constant

## Theorem

If  $H$  has no  $p$ -automorphisms,  $\#_p CSP(H^c)$  is poly time reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Proved in Faben/Jerrum 2015 for graphs (through quantum graphs)

In the general case can be done through interpolation as in

B./Dalmau 2003

# PP-Definitions

---

If  $R$  is a conjunction of predicates of  $H$ , then it is straightforward that  $\#_p CSP(H + \{R\})$  is poly time reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Quantification is trickier

# PP-Definitions II

---

$\exists^p x$  stands for `there exists  $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  values of  $x$

$Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \exists^p y R(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$  is defined in a natural way

## Theorem

If  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \exists^p y R(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$  where  $R$  is a predicate of  $H$ , then  $\#_p CSP(H + \{Q\})$ , is poly time reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Proved through old tricks and interpolation

# PP-Definitions III

---

However, we need regular quantification

## Theorem

If  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \exists y R(x_1, \dots, x_k, y)$  where  $R$  is a predicate of  $H$  and  $H$  has no  $p$ -automorphisms, then  $\#_p CSP(H + \{Q\})$ , is poly time reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Proof idea



# Möbius Inversion

---

## Lemma

If  $\text{hom}(G_1, H) \equiv \text{hom}(G_2, H) \pmod{p}$  for any  $G_1, G_2$  then  $H$  has a  $p$ -automorphism.

Consider  $\text{hom}(H, H)$ . Let  $Part(H)$  be the set of all partitions of  $V(H)$

Möbius inversion  $\text{inj}(H, H) = \sum_{\theta \in Part(H)} \omega_{\theta} \text{hom}(H/\theta, H)$ ,

where  $\omega_{=} = 1$  and  $\omega_{\theta} = -\sum_{\eta < \theta} \omega_{\eta}$

Since  $\sum_{\theta \in Part(H)} \omega_{\theta} = 0$  and  $\text{hom}(H/\theta, H) \equiv N \pmod{p}$ ,

$|Aut(H)| = \text{inj}(H, H) = \sum_{\theta \in Part(H)} \omega_{\theta} \text{hom}(H/\theta, H)$

$\equiv N \cdot \sum_{\theta \in Part(H)} \omega_{\theta} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

# PP-Interpretations

---

## Theorem

If  $H'$  is pp-interpretable in  $H$  and  $H$  has no  $p$ -automorphisms then  $\#_p CSP(H')$  is poly time reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Interpolation + Möbius inversion

# Non-Bipartite Graphs

---

Any 2-element structure can be pp-interpreted in  $H^c$ , where  $H$  is a nontrivial nonbipartite graph (B. 2005)

Therefore, Faben/Jerrum conjecture holds for nonbipartite graphs.  
More generally

## Theorem

If  $H$  is a relational structure such that it has no  $p$ -automorphisms and  $CSP(H)$  is NPC then  $\#_p CSP(H)$  is  $\#_p$ -hard

# Bipartite Graphs: #BIS

---

$\#BIS$  ( $\#_p BIS$ ) counting the number of independent sets in a bipartite graph (modulo  $p$ )

$\#BIS$  ( $\#_p BIS$ ) is equivalent to  $\#CSP(H_N)$  ( $\#_p CSP(H_N)$ )

$$\#BIS(\alpha, \beta): \sum_{I \in IS} \alpha^{|I \cap U|} \cdot \beta^{|I \cap D|}$$

There is a problem with  $\#_p BIS$

# N-Graphs and PP-Definitions

---

## Observation:

If  $H$  is a nontrivial bipartite graph then some  $N$ -graph is pp-definable in  $H^c$

If  $|A|, |B|, |C|, |D| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we are done, as some weighted  $\#_p BIS$  is reducible to  $\#_p CSP(H)$

Otherwise we have a problem

# Homomorphism Vectors

---

$Gx$  denotes graph  $G$  with a distinguished vertex  $x$

$\text{hom}(Gx, Hv)$  is the number of homs  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(x) = v$

$$\text{hom}(Gx, HW) = \sum_{v \in W} \text{hom}(Gx, Hv)$$

## Lemma

There is  $Gx$  such that  $\text{hom}(Gx, HA), \text{hom}(Gx, HC) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

# Homomorphism Vectors II

---

With a gadget  $G_x$  like this we can reduce weighted  $\#_p BIS$

# Homomorphism Vectors III

---

We only look at  $A$ . There are 3 cases.

**Case 1.** There is  $Gx$  such that  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv_1) \equiv 0$ ,  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv_2) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Then we can manufacture a smaller N-graph

**Case 2.**  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv_1) \equiv \text{hom}(Gx, Hv_2) \pmod{p}$  for all  $Gx$ ,  
 $v_1, v_2 \in A$

Then  $H$  has a  $p$ -automorphism



# Homomorphism Vectors IV

---

**Case 3.** There is  $Gx$  such that  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv_1) \not\equiv \text{hom}(Gx, Hv_2) \pmod{p}$  for some  $v_1, v_2 \in A$

$Gx$  induces an equivalence relation on  $A$ :  $v_1 \sim v_2$  iff  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv_1) \equiv \text{hom}(Gx, Hv_2) \pmod{p}$

Let  $L_1, \dots, L_S$  be the corresponding partition

Then either  $\text{hom}(G^{(i)}x, HA) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , or  $|L_j| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

# Homomorphism Vectors V

---

Let  $s = 2$ ,  $L_1, L_2$ , and  $a_1, a_2$  the corresponding numbers  $\text{hom}(Gx, Hv)$

Then

$$\text{hom}(Gx, HA) \equiv a_1|L_1| + a_2|L_2|$$

$$\text{hom}(G^{(2)}x, HA) \equiv a_1^2|L_1| + a_2^2|L_2|$$

If both equal 0 then  $|L_1| \equiv |L_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Finally, if  $|L_1| \equiv \dots \equiv |L_s| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $Gx$ , then  $H$  has a  $p$ -automorphism

---

Thank You!