

Stability in abstract elementary classes of modules

Marcos Mazari Armida
mmazaria@andrew.cmu.edu

Carnegie Mellon University

April 2021
Panglobal Algebra and Logic Seminar

- Abstract elementary classes were introduced by Shelah in the 70's.
- Shelah's eventual categoricity conjecture.
- The abstract theory has developed rapidly.
- Abelian groups and modules
- Stability.

- ① **Basic notions**
- ② Stability
- ③ Universal models

Basic notions: Model theory of modules

pp -formulas and pp -types

Let R be a ring and $L_R = \{0, +, -\} \cup \{r \cdot : r \in R\}$ be the language of R -modules.

- ϕ is a positive primitive formula if it is an existentially quantified finite system of linear equations.
- $pp(\bar{b}/A, N)$ are the pp -formulas satisfied by \bar{b} in N with parameters in A .

Pure submodules

$M \leq_p N$ if and only if $M \subseteq N$ and $pp(\bar{a}/\emptyset, M) = pp(\bar{a}/\emptyset, N)$ for every $\bar{a} \in M^{<\omega}$.

For abelian groups:

$G \leq_p H$ if and only if $nH \cap G = nG$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Basic notions: AECs

An *abstract elementary class* is a pair $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_{\mathbf{K}})$, where K is a class of $\tau(\mathbf{K})$ -structures and $\leq_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a partial order on K .

Key axioms

- 1 If $M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$, then M is a substructure of N .
- 2 Tarski-Vaught axioms: Suppose δ is a limit ordinal and $\{M_i \in K : i < \delta\}$ is an increasing chain. Then:
 - 1 $M_\delta := \bigcup_{i < \delta} M_i \in K$ and $M_i \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M_\delta$ for every $i < \delta$.
 - 2 Smoothness: If there is some $N \in K$ so that for all $i < \delta$ we have $M_i \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$, then we also have $M_\delta \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N$.
- 3 Löwenheim-Skolem-Tarski axiom: There exists a cardinal $\lambda \geq |\tau(\mathbf{K})| + \aleph_0$ such that for any $M \in K$ and $A \subseteq |M|$, there is some $M_0 \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M$ such that $A \subseteq |M_0|$ and $\|M_0\| \leq |A| + \lambda$. We write $\text{LS}(\mathbf{K})$ for the minimal such cardinal.

Examples

- 1 (T, \preceq) for T a complete first-order theory.
- 2 (Ab, \leq) and (Ab, \leq_p) .
- 3 (TF, \leq_p) .
- 4 (Tor, \leq_p) .
- 5 $(\aleph_1\text{-free}, \leq_p)$.
- 6 $(R\text{-Mod}, \subseteq_R)$ and $(R\text{-Mod}, \leq_p)$.
- 7 $(R\text{-Flat}, \leq_p)$.
- 8 $(R\text{-Absp}, \leq_p)$.
- 9 (V, \subseteq) where V is a variety.
- 10 ...

Amalgamation property (AP)

Every $M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N_1, N_2$ can be completed to a commutative square in \mathbf{K} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & N' \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & N_2 \end{array}$$

Examples

- AP: $(R\text{-Mod}, \leq_p)$, $(R\text{-Absp}, \leq_p)$.
- No AP: $(\aleph_1\text{-free}, \leq_p)$.
- AP?: (B_0, \leq_p) .

Some properties

- 1 \mathbf{K} has the *joint embedding property* (JEP): if every $M, N \in \mathbf{K}$ can be \mathbf{K} -embedded to a model in \mathbf{K} .
- 2 \mathbf{K} has *no maximal models* (NMM): if every $M \in \mathbf{K}$ can be properly extended in \mathbf{K} .

Examples

All the examples we introduced have JEP and NMM with the exception of Example 9.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 **Stability**
- 3 Universal models

Stability: Galois-types

We assume amalgamation for simplicity.

Pre-types

- 1 $\mathbf{K}^3 = \{(a, M, N) : M, N \in \mathbf{K}, M \leq_{\mathbf{K}} N \text{ and } a \in N\}$.
- 2 For $(a_1, M_1, N_1), (a_2, M_2, N_2) \in \mathbf{K}^3$, we say $(a_1, M_1, N_1)E(a_2, M_2, N_2)$ if:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & N \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow f_2 \\ M = M_1 = M_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & N_2 \end{array}$$
$$f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$$

Galois-types

- 1 For $(a, M, N) \in \mathbf{K}^3$, let $\mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(a/M; N) := [(a, M, N)]_E$.
- 2 $\mathbf{gS}(M)$ is the set of Galois-types over M .

T is a complete first-order theory: $(\text{Mod}(T), \preceq)$

$\mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(a/M; N) = \mathbf{gtp}_{\mathbf{K}}(b/M; N)$ if and only if $tp(a/M, N) = tp(b/M, N)$

Stable

- \mathbf{K} is λ -stable if $|\mathbf{gS}(M)| \leq \lambda$ for all $M \in \mathbf{K}$ of cardinality λ .
- \mathbf{K} is stable if there is a λ such that \mathbf{K} is λ -stable.

Theorem (Fisher-Bauer 70s)

If T is a complete first-order theory extending the theory of modules, then $(\text{Mod}(T), \leq_p)$ is stable.

Question 1

Let R be an associative ring with unity.

If (K, \leq_p) is an AEC of modules, is \mathbf{K} stable? Is this true if $R = \mathbb{Z}$? Under what conditions on R is this true?

Stability under Hypothesis 1

Hypothesis 1

Let $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_p)$ be an AEC of modules such that:

- 1 K is closed under direct sums.
- 2 K is closed under direct summands.
- 3 K is closed under pure-injective envelopes, i.e., if $M \in K$, then $PE(M) \in K$.

Examples

- R -modules.
- Absolutely pure modules.
- Locally injective modules.
- Locally pure-injective modules.

Stability under Hypothesis 1

Lemma (M.)

Let $M, N_1, N_2 \in \mathbf{K}$, $M \leq_p N_1, N_2$, $b_1 \in N_1$ and $b_2 \in N_2$. Then:

$$\mathbf{gtp}(b_1/M; N_1) = \mathbf{gtp}(b_2/M; N_2) \text{ iff } \text{pp}(b_1/M, N_1) = \text{pp}(b_2/M, N_2).$$

Theorem (M.)

Let $\lambda \geq \text{LS}(\mathbf{K})$. If $\lambda^{|R|+\aleph_0} = \lambda$, then \mathbf{K} is λ -stable.

Proof Sketch.

- Let $\{\mathbf{gtp}(a_i/M; N) : i < \alpha\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbf{gS}(M)$.
- Let $\Phi : \mathbf{gS}(M) \rightarrow S_{pp}^{Th(N)}(M)$ be such that $\phi(\mathbf{gtp}(a_i/M; N)) = \text{pp}(a_i/M, N)$.
- Φ is an injective function and use first-order stability.

Stability under Hypothesis 2

Hypothesis 2

Let $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_p)$ be an AEC of modules such that:

- 1 K is closed under direct sums.
- 2 K is closed under pure submodules.
- 3 K is closed under pure epimorphic images: $\ker(f) \leq_p \operatorname{dom}(f)$.

Examples

- 1 R -modules.
- 2 Torsion-free groups.
- 3 Flat modules.
- 4 Abelian p -groups.
- 5 \mathfrak{s} -torsion modules.

Stability under Hypothesis 2

Galois-types = pp -types?

- I do not know.
- We can identify Galois-types and pp -types in the class of p -groups.

Theorem (M.)

If $\lambda^{|R|+\aleph_0} = \lambda$, then \mathbf{K} is λ -stable.

Lemma

There is a non-forking relation on Galois-types that satisfies:

- ① (Lieberman-Rosický-Vasey) (Uniqueness) If $M \leq_p N$, $p, q \in \mathbf{gS}(N)$, p, q do not fork over M and $p \upharpoonright_M = q \upharpoonright_M$, then $p = q$.
- ② (M.) (Local character) If $p \in \mathbf{gS}(M)$, then there is $N \leq_p M$ such that p does not fork over N and $\|N\| \leq |R| + \aleph_0$.

Proof sketch.

- Let P be the pushout of $(i : M_0 \rightarrow M_1, j : M_0 \rightarrow M_2)$ in $R\text{-Mod}$.
- $M_1 \downarrow_{M_0}^{N'} M_2$ if the unique map $t : P \rightarrow N'$ is a pure embedding.
- One extends this to Galois-types.

Hypothesis 3

Let $\mathbf{K} = (K, \leq_p)$ be an AEC with $K \subseteq TF$ such that:

- 1 K has arbitrarily large models.
- 2 K is closed under pure submodules.

Examples

- Torsion-free abelian groups.
- \aleph_1 -free abelian groups.
- Finitely Butler groups.

Stability for classes of torsion-free abelian groups

Lemma (M.)

If $\lambda^{|R|+\aleph_0} = \lambda$, then \mathbf{K} is λ -stable.

Proof sketch. One identifies Galois-types in the class with Galois-types in the class of torsion-free abelian groups.

Why does it work for classes of torsion-free groups?

If $N_1, N_2 \leq_p N$, then $N_1 \cap N_2 \leq_p N$.

Theorem (M.)

Assume R is Von Neumann regular. If \mathbf{K} is closed under submodules and has arbitrarily large models, then \mathbf{K} is λ -stable for every λ such that $\lambda^{|R|+\aleph_0} = \lambda$.

- 1 Basic notions
- 2 Stability
- 3 **Universal models**

Universal models

Notation: $\mathbf{K}_\lambda = \{M \in \mathbf{K} : M \text{ has cardinality } \lambda\}$

Universal model

- $M \in \mathbf{K}$ is a *universal model in \mathbf{K}_λ* if $M \in \mathbf{K}_\lambda$ and if given any $N \in \mathbf{K}_\lambda$, there is a \mathbf{K} -embedding $f : N \rightarrow M$, i.e., $f : N \cong f[N] \leq_{\mathbf{K}} M$.
- We say that \mathbf{K} has a universal model of cardinality λ if there is a universal model in \mathbf{K}_λ .

Example

$(\mathbb{Q}\text{-VS}, \subseteq_{\mathbb{Q}})$: For every λ , $\mathbb{Q}^{(\lambda)}$ is a universal model of size λ .

Universal models in $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$

Abelian p -groups

G is a p -group if every element $g \neq 0$ has order p^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example

$(p\text{-groups}, \subseteq)$: For every λ , $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^{(\lambda)}$ is a universal model of size λ .

Question 2 (*Abelian groups* by L. Fuchs)

For which cardinals λ , does $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ ? The same question for torsion-free abelian groups with pure embeddings.

Answer under GCH

There is a universal model for every uncountable cardinal.

Universal models in $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$

Theorem (M.)

If $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ or $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^{\aleph_0} < \lambda)$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ .

Proof sketch.

- If $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ is λ -stable.
- $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has AP, JEP and NMM.
- (Kucera-M.) $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality λ .

Lemma (Kucera-M.)

Let \mathbf{K} be an AEC with AP, JEP and NMM. Assume there is a κ such if $\theta^\kappa = \theta$, then \mathbf{K} is θ -stable.

If $\lambda^\kappa = \lambda$ or $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^\kappa < \lambda)$, then \mathbf{K} has a universal model of size λ .

Universal models in $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$

Lemma (M.)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and μ be a regular cardinal. If $\mu^+ < \lambda < \mu^{\aleph_0}$, then $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ does not have a universal model of cardinality λ .

An answer below \aleph_ω without \aleph_0 and \aleph_1

For $n \geq 2$, $(p\text{-groups}, \leq_p)$ has a universal model of cardinality \aleph_n if and only if $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_n$.

Proof sketch.

- \Leftarrow : $\aleph_n^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_n$.
- \Rightarrow : $\aleph_0^+ < \aleph_n < \aleph_n^{\aleph_0}$.

Remark

- 1 There are partial solutions for \aleph_1 .
- 2 The problem is wide open for \aleph_0 , \aleph_ω , and singular cardinals.

- Marcos Mazari-Armida, *Some stable non-elementary classes of modules*, submitted, 20 pages. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02918>
- Marcos Mazari-Armida, *A model theoretic solution to a problem of László Fuchs*, *Journal of Algebra* **567** (2021), 196–209.

Thank you!