

THE DEGREE AS A MEASURE OF COMPLEXITY OF FUNCTIONS ON A UNIVERSAL ALGEBRA



Erhard Aichinger

PALS, February 16, 2021

Institute for Algebra, Austrian Science Fund FWF P33878



JOHANNES KEPLER
UNIVERSITY LINZ

Outline

In this talk, we will

1. discuss the **degree** of a function between abelian groups,
2. use it to derive properties of algebraic sets (= solution sets of polynomial equations),
3. relate **degree** to **supernilpotency**.

Polynomial Equations over Finite Fields

The Chevalley-Warning Theorem

Theorem (C. Chevalley and E. Warning 1935)

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q := p^m$, let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let

$$v := \#\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid f_1(\mathbf{a}) = \dots = f_r(\mathbf{a}) = 0\}.$$

We assume $n > \sum_{i=1}^r \deg(f_i)$. Then

1. $v \neq 1$ (Chevalley).
2. p divides v (Warning's First Theorem).

Proof of Chevalley's Theorem

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the only solution of $f_1(\mathbf{a}) = \cdots = f_r(\mathbf{a}) = 0$.
- Then $g(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - f_i(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a})^{q-1})$ satisfies $g(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ and $g(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ for $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$.
- Hence $g(\mathbf{x})$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^{q-1})$ induce the same function.
- Hence $\deg(g) \geq n(q-1)$.
- Thus $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(f_i)(q-1) \geq n(q-1)$.
- Contradiction to $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(f_i) < n$.

Proof of Chevalley's Theorem

Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, $\chi(0) = 1$, $\chi(a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\}$.

We need an argument for:

Lemma

Every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ that induces χ has total degree $\geq n(q-1)$.

Proof using [Alon's Combinatorial Nullstellensatz](#) [N. Alon 1999]:

Suppose $\deg(p) < n(q-1)$.

Then the coefficient of $x_1^{q-1} \cdots x_n^{q-1}$ in $f := p(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i^{q-1})$ does not vanish.

Hence Alon's Theorem tells that f is not the zero-function.

Hence p does not induce χ .

Proof of Chevalley's Theorem

Lemma

Every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ that induces χ has total degree $\geq n(q - 1)$.

Proof using [Warning's argument](#):

For $i < q - 1$, we have $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} a^i = 0$.

Hence for each $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(f) < n(q - 1)$, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} f(\mathbf{a}) = 0.$$

Since $\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(\mathbf{a}) = 1$, we have $\deg(p) \geq n(q - 1)$.

The Chevalley-Warning Theorem

Lemma

For each $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(f) < n(q - 1)$, we have $\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} f(\mathbf{a}) = 0$.

The number of solutions of $f_1(\mathbf{x}) = \dots = f_r(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ modulo p is given by

$$[v]_p = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - f_i(\mathbf{a})^{q-1}).$$

Hence if $\sum_{i=1}^r \deg(f_i)(q - 1) < n(q - 1)$, then p divides v (Warning's First Theorem).

Functional degree

Definition of the degree for functions

We try to generalize the total degree of a polynomial function.

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$. (In the Chevalley-Warning Theorems $A = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $B = \mathbb{F}_q$.)

Goal:

- Find a definition for $\text{FDEG}(f)$.
- Argue that the definition is useful.

Definition of the degree of a function

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition through difference operator:

- For $a \in A$, $\Delta_a(f)(x) := f(x + a) - f(x)$.
- $\text{FDEG}(f) :=$ the minimal $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\Delta_{a_1} \Delta_{a_2} \cdots \Delta_{a_{n+1}} f = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A$.
- **Intuitive:** $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2 \Leftrightarrow f''' = 0$.
- **Problems:**
 - $\Delta_a(f \circ g) = ?$ (“Chain rule”)
 - $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3, f(0) = 1, f(1) = 2$ satisfies $\Delta_1 f = f$. Hence $\text{FDEG}(f) = \infty$.

The definition of the degree

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition through an abstract version of the difference operator:

[Vaughan-Lee 1983, Freese McKenzie 1987 (Chapter 14)]

■ Group ring $\mathbb{Z}[A] := \{\sum_{a \in A} z_a \tau_a \mid (z_a)_{a \in A} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(A)}\}$.

■ $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ acts on B^A by

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_a * f)(x) &= f(x + a) \\ ((\sum_{a \in A} z_a \tau_a) * f)(x) &= \sum_{a \in A} z_a f(x + a) \\ ((\tau_a - 1) * f)(x) &= f(x + a) - f(x).\end{aligned}$$

■ In this way, B^A is a $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ -module.

The definition of the degree

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition through an abstract version of the difference operator:

[Vaughan-Lee 1983, Freese McKenzie 1987 (Chapter 14)]

- $((\tau_a - 1) * f)(x) := f(x + a) - f(x)$.
- $I :=$ augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[A] =$ ideal generated by $\{\tau_a - 1 \mid a \in A\} = \{\sum_{a \in A} z_a \tau_a \in \mathbb{Z}[A] \mid \sum_{a \in A} z_a = 0\}$
- $\text{FDEG}(f) := \min(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid I^{n+1} * f = 0\} \cup \{\infty\})$.

The definition of the degree

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition through a functional equation: For functions on \mathbb{R} , we have:

Theorem (Fréchet 1909)

A polynomial of degree n in x is a continuous function verifying the identity

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n+1}) - \sum_n f(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_n}) \\ + \sum_{n-1} f(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_{n-1}}) - \dots \\ + (-1)^n \sum_n f(x_{i_1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(0) \equiv 0, \end{aligned}$$

whatever the constants x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} are without satisfying the analogous identities obtained by replacing the integer n with a smaller integer.

The definition of the degree

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition through a functional equation:

We define $\text{FDEG}(f)$ to be the smallest $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_i\right) = \sum_{S \subset [m+1]} (-1)^{m-|S|} f\left(\sum_{j \in S} x_j\right)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in A$.

$m = 0$: $f(x_1) = f(0)$.

$m = 1$: $f(x_1 + x_2) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) - f(0)$.

$m = 2$:

$f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 + x_3) + f(x_2 + x_3) - f(x_1) - f(x_2) - f(x_3) + f(0)$.

The functional degree

Setup: We let A, B be abelian groups, $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Lemma

All three definitions yield the same degree.

Definition of the functional degree

$$\text{FDEG}(f) := \min(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid (\text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}[A]))^{n+1} * f = 0\} \cup \{\infty\}).$$

- $\text{FDEG}(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f$ is constant.
- $\text{FDEG}(f) = 1 \Leftrightarrow f = c + h$ with c constant, h group homomorphism.
- Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and assume that A, B are finite abelian p -groups. Then $\text{FDEG}(f) < \infty$. **Reason:** Nilpotency of $\text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}[A])$.

The degree of concrete functions

■ Polynomials over prime fields:

$A = \mathbb{F}_p^N$, $B = \mathbb{F}_p$, $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ with all exponents $\leq p - 1$.

Then $\text{FDEG}(\bar{f})$ is the **total degree of f** .

■ Polynomials over finite fields:

On \mathbb{F}_{25} , x^5 induces a homomorphism (\Rightarrow degree 1).

□ \mathbb{F}_q ... field with q elements of characteristic p .

□ For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_p(n)$ is the digit sum in base p .

$$s_5(25) = 1, s_5(10) = 2, s_5(24) = 8.$$

□ [Moreno Moreno 1995] The **p -weight degree** of $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ is defined by

$$\text{deg}_p(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}) := \sum_{n=1}^N s_p(\alpha_n).$$

The functional degree of polynomial functions

Theorem

\mathbb{F} a field, $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

- If $|\mathbb{F}| = q = p^m$, and if all exponents are at most $q - 1$, then $\text{FDEG}(\bar{f}) = \deg_p(f)$.
- If \mathbb{F} is infinite of characteristic $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then $\text{FDEG}(\bar{f}) = \deg_p(f)$.
- If \mathbb{F} is of characteristic 0, then $\text{FDEG}(\bar{f}) = \deg(f)$.

Properties of the functional degree

For a function $f : (A, +) \longrightarrow (B, +)$, the functional degree does not use any syntactic representation of f .

Lemma

- $\text{FDEG}(f + g) \leq \max(\text{FDEG}(f), \text{FDEG}(g))$.
- If $(B, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, then $\text{FDEG}(f \cdot g) \leq \text{FDEG}(f) + \text{FDEG}(g)$.

Properties of the functional degree

Theorem [Leibman 2002]

Let $(A, +), (B, +), (C, +)$ be abelian groups, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ with $\text{FDEG}(f) < \infty$ and $\text{FDEG}(g) < \infty$. Then $\text{FDEG}(g \circ f) \leq \text{FDEG}(g) \cdot \text{FDEG}(f)$.

Self-contained proof in [EA, Moosbauer, 2021].

The proof needs the following claim (stated here for $m = 2$): If there are $g_1, g_2, g_3 : A^2 \rightarrow B$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A^3$,

$$h(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = g_1(x_2, x_3) + g_2(x_1, x_3) + g_3(x_1, x_2),$$

then $\text{FDEG}(h) \leq 2$.

Characterization of the degree

Theorem (cf. [EA, Moosbauer, 2021])

Let $(A, +)$ and $(B, +)$ be abelian groups, let $f : A \rightarrow B$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\text{FDEG}(f) \leq m$.
2. For every $k > m$, we have $f(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i) = \sum_{S \subset [k]} (-1)^{k-|S|+1} f(\sum_{j \in S} x_j)$.
3. There exist functions $g_1, \dots, g_{m+1} : A^{m+1} \rightarrow B$ such that for all $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in A$, we have

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}),$$

and for each $i \in [m+1]$, the function g_i does not depend on its i th argument.

Maximal degree

For two abelian groups A, B , we define

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}).$$

Theorem [EA, Moosbauer 2021]

- $\delta(A, B) < \infty \iff |A| = 1$ or $|B| = 1$ or $\exists p \in \mathbb{P} : A$ is a finite p -group and B is a p -group of finite exponent.
- If $\exp(B) = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\delta(A, B) = \underbrace{\min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_n[A]))^m = 0\}}_{\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_n[A])} - 1$.
- If $\exp(B) = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the characteristic function $\chi(0) = b$ (of order n) and $\chi(a) = 0$ for $a \neq 0$ has degree $\delta(A, B)$.

General results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup(\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}).$$

Lemma (EA, Moosbauer 2021)

Let A, B be abelian groups.

- $\delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}) \leq \beta \delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- $\delta(A_1 \times A_2, B) \leq \delta(A_1, B) + \delta(A_2, B)$.

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006
$A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$	$n(p - 1)$ Karpilovski 1987	

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup(\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p-group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006
$A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$	$n(p - 1)$ Karpilovski 1987	$\leq \beta n(p - 1)$

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{\text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A\}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006
$A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$	$n(p - 1)$ Karpilovski 1987	$\leq \beta n(p - 1)$ $(\beta + n - 1)(p - 1)$

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{ \text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A \}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006
$A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$	$n(p - 1)$ Karpilovski 1987	$\leq \beta n(p - 1)$ $(\beta + n - 1)(p - 1)$
$A = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_i}}$	$\sum_{i=1}^n (p^{\alpha_i} - 1)$ Karpilovsky 1987	

Known results on $\delta(A, B)$

$$\delta(A, B) := \sup (\{ \text{FDEG}(f) \mid f \in B^A \}) = (\text{nilpotency index of } \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}_{\exp(B)}[A])) - 1$$

$\delta(A, B)$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_p$	$B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\beta}$
A is not a p -group	∞	∞
$A = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$	$p^\alpha - 1$ Karpilovsky 1987	$\beta p^\alpha - (\beta - 1)p^{\alpha-1} - 1$ R. Wilson 2006
$A = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$	$n(p - 1)$ Karpilovski 1987	$\leq \beta n(p - 1)$ $(\beta + n - 1)(p - 1)$
$A = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_i}}$	$\sum_{i=1}^n (p^{\alpha_i} - 1)$ Karpilovsky 1987	$< \infty$ OPEN

Equations over abelian groups

Warning's First Theorem

Abstract version Warning's Sum Lemma

Let p be a prime, let A be a finite abelian p -group, $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$.

If $\text{FDEG}(f) < \delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, then $\sum_{x \in A} f(x) = 0$.

Proof:

- Let $I := \langle \tau_a - 1 \mid a \in A \rangle = \text{Aug}(\mathbb{Z}[A])$.
- $\mathbb{Z}[A] * \chi = \mathbb{Z}_p^A$ and $\mathbb{Z}[A] * \chi = \langle \chi \rangle_{\text{vector-space}} + I * \chi$. Hence $I * \chi$ has codim 1 in \mathbb{Z}_p^A .
- $I * \chi \subseteq \{f \mid \sum_{a \in A} f(a) = 0\}$ because
$$\sum_{x \in A} (\tau_a - 1) * f(x) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x + a) - f(x) = 0.$$
- $I * \chi \subseteq \{f \mid \text{FDEG}(f) < \delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)\}$.
- Hence $\{f \mid \sum_{a \in A} f(a) = 0\} = \{f \mid \text{FDEG}(f) < \delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)\}$.

Warning's First Theorem

Theorem [EA, Moosbauer 2021]

Let p be a prime, let A be a finite abelian p -group with $|A| > 1$, and let $f_1, \dots, f_r : A^n \rightarrow A$ be functions with

$$n > \sum_{i=1}^r \text{FDEG}(f_i).$$

Then p divides $v = |\{\mathbf{a} \in A^n \mid f_1(\mathbf{a}) = \dots = f_r(\mathbf{a}) = 0\}|$.

Proof:

- $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ has degree $\delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^r \chi(f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ has degree $\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \text{FDEG}(f_i) \delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- Hence $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^r \chi(f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ has degree $< n\delta(A, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \delta(A^n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- By the Sum-Lemma $[v]_p = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A^n} \prod_{i=1}^r \chi(f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = 0$.

Warning's First Theorem

Setting $A := F$, we obtain:

Theorem (Warning 1935; Moreno and Moreno 1995)

Let p be a prime, let F be a finite field of characteristic p , let $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We assume that $n > \sum_{j=1}^r \deg_p(f_j)$. Then p divides $|V(f_1, \dots, f_r)|$.

Warning's First Thm for noncommutative rings [EA, Moosbauer 2021]

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, let R be a (not necessarily commutative) ring with $|R| = p^\alpha$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and let f_1, \dots, f_r be polynomial expressions over R in the variables X . If $n > \sum_{i=1}^r \deg(f_i)$, then p divides $|V(f_1, \dots, f_r)|$.

Warning's First Theorem with restricted domain

Restricted Domain versions have been established, e.g., by [P.L. Clark, 2014] and [D. Brink, 2011].

Theorem [EA, Moosbauer 2021]

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, and let F be a finite field with $q = p^\alpha$ elements. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, let A be a subgroup of $(F^n, +)$ with p^M elements. We assume that

$$M > \alpha \sum_{j=1}^r \deg_p(f_j).$$

Then p divides the cardinality of $\{\mathbf{a} \in A \mid f_1(\mathbf{a}) = \dots = f_r(\mathbf{a}) = 0\}$.

Warning's Second Theorem

Theorem (E. Warning, 1935)

F a finite field, $f_1, \dots, f_s \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

If $V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \neq \emptyset$, then $\#V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \geq |F|^{n - \sum_{i=1}^s \deg(f_i)}$.

Remarks:

1. Warning considered the case $s = 1$ (Satz 3).
2. The bound can be attained: $\#V(x_1, \dots, x_s) = |F|^{n-s}$.

Warning's Second Theorem is useful

Let F be a finite field.

- The problem

Input $f \in F[x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$ (possibly not in expanded form). **Output** YES iff $V(f) \neq \emptyset$

is NP-complete.

- Its **fixed parameter version** for fixed degree D with

Input $f \in F[x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$ with $\deg(f) \leq D$

is in RP (randomized polynomial time). **Proof:** If f has N variables and is solvable, then a random $a \in F^n$ is a solution with probability $\geq |F|^{-D}$.

- Such (and better) results were used in [Kawałek and Krzaczkowski, 2020] to provide a **linear time Monte-Carlo algorithm** to solve equations over nilpotent groups.

Improvements of Warning's Second Theorem

Theorem (E. Warning, 1935)

F a finite field, $f_1, \dots, f_s \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

If $V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \neq \emptyset$, then $\#V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \geq |F|^{n - \sum_{i=1}^s \deg(f_i)}$.

- [Heath-Brown, 2011]: if $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is not a linear manifold and $|F| \geq 4$, then $\#V(f_1, \dots, f_n) \geq 2q^{n-d}$. ($q := |F|$, $d := \sum_{i=1}^s \deg(f_i)$)
- [Moreno Moreno 1995]: $\deg(f)$ can be replaced by the p -weight degree $\deg_p(f)$, where $p = \text{char}(F)$,

$$\deg_p(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}) := \sum_{n=1}^N s_p(\alpha_n),$$

$s_p(n)$ is the digit sum in base p .

Warning's Second Theorem for abelian groups

Theorem (E. Warning, 1935)

F a finite field, $f_1, \dots, f_s \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

If $V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \neq \emptyset$, then $\#V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \geq |F|^{n - \sum_{i=1}^s \deg(f_i)}$.

Theorem [EA, Moosbauer 2021]

Let $f_1, \dots, f_r: \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\beta$. If $V(f_1, \dots, f_r) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\#V(f_1, \dots, f_r) \geq p^{\alpha - \beta \sum_{i=1}^r \text{FDEG}(f_i)}.$$

Supernilpotency

Supernilpotent algebras

Definition

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent if

$\forall n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_i$ -ary term functions t of \mathbf{A} ,
 $\forall \langle (a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, k+1\} \rangle \in \prod_{i=1}^k (A^{n_i} \times A^{n_i})$, the following holds:

If for all $f : \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ such that f is not constantly 2, we have

$$t(a_{f(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{f(k)}^{(k)}, a_1^{(k+1)}) = t(a_{f(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{f(k)}^{(k)}, a_2^{(k+1)}),$$

then

$$t(a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_2^{(k)}, a_1^{(k+1)}) = t(a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_2^{(k)}, a_2^{(k+1)}).$$

Supernilpotent algebras

Definition

The algebra \mathbf{A} is 1-supernilpotent if

$\forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall n_1 + n_2$ -ary term functions t of \mathbf{A} ,
 $\forall a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)} \in A^{n_1}, a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)} \in A^{n_2}$, the following holds:

$$t(a_1^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}) = t(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}) \implies t(a_2^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}) = t(a_2^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}).$$

Hence \mathbf{A} is 1-supernilpotent iff it is abelian.

Supernilpotent algebras

Definition

The algebra \mathbf{A} is **1-supernilpotent** if

$\forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall n_1 + n_2$ -ary term functions t of \mathbf{A} ,
 $\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^{n_1}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in A^{n_2}$, the following holds:

$$t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) = t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}) \implies t(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = t(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}).$$

Hence \mathbf{A} is 1-supernilpotent iff it is **abelian**.

Supernilpotent algebras

Definition

The algebra \mathbf{A} is **2-supernilpotent** if

$\forall n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i$ -ary term functions t of \mathbf{A} ,

$\forall \langle (\mathbf{a}^{(i)}, \mathbf{b}^{(i)}) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, 3\} \rangle \in \prod_{i=1}^3 (A^{n_i} \times A^{n_i})$, the following holds:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} t(\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \mathbf{a}^{(3)}) = t(\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(3)}) \\ t(\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \mathbf{a}^{(3)}) = t(\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(3)}) \\ t(\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \mathbf{a}^{(3)}) = t(\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(3)}) \end{array} \right\} \implies t(\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \mathbf{a}^{(3)}) = t(\mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(3)}).$$

Comments on “supernilpotent”

- Supernilpotent **expanded groups** were defined in [Aichinger, Ecker 2006].
- Supernilpotent **algebras** were defined in [Aichinger, Mudrinski 2010] as those satisfying $[1, \dots, 1] = 0$ for the higher commutator operation from [Bulatov 2001].
- For algebras with **Mal'cev term**, supernilpotent implies nilpotent (nested commutator property (HC8)) [EA, Mudrinski 2010].
- Supernilpotent \Rightarrow Nilpotent:
 - not true in general [Moore, Moorhead 2019].
 - true for finite algebras [Kearnes, Szendrei 2020] and Taylor algebras [Wires 2019 and Moorhead 2021].

Supernilpotent algebras

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbf{A} an algebra. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. \mathbf{A} satisfies $[1, \dots, 1] = 0$ ($k + 1$ times 1).

Supernilpotent algebras in congruence modular varieties

Definition

A term $w(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1})$ in the language of \mathbf{A} is a **commutator term** of rank r for \mathbf{A} if

$$\mathbf{A} \models w(z, x_2, \dots, x_r, z) \approx w(x_1, z, \dots, x_r, z) \approx \dots \approx w(x_1, x_2, \dots, z, z) \approx z.$$

A commutator term $w(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1})$ is called **trivial** if $\mathbf{A} \models w(x_1, \dots, x_r, z) \approx z$.

A commutator term in the language of $(\mathbf{A} + \text{constants})$ is a **commutator polynomial**.

Supernilpotent algebras

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbf{A} an algebra in a congruence modular variety. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. \mathbf{A} is nilpotent, and all nontrivial commutator **polynomials** are of rank $\leq k$.

For (1) \Rightarrow (2), [Wires 2019] produces a Mal'cev term. Then apply [EA, Mudrinski 2010].

Two descriptions of supernilpotency in cp varieties in terms of

- identities (as opposed to quasi-identities),
- invariant relations

can be found in [Opršal 2016].

Supernilpotent algebras

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbf{A} a **finite** algebra in a congruence modular variety. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. \mathbf{A} is nilpotent, and all nontrivial commutator **terms** are of rank $\leq k$.
3. $f(n) = \log_2(|\text{Clo}_n(\mathbf{A})|)$ is a polynomial of degree k .

Proof: Use [Berman, Blok 1987], [Freese, McKenzie 1987], [Hobby McKenzie 1988], [EA, Mudrinski 2010], [Wires 2019].

Supernilpotent expanded groups

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbf{A} an expanded group. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. For every $p \in \text{Pol}_{k+1}(\mathbf{A})$ with

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} : 0 \in \{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}\} \Rightarrow p(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) = 0$$

we have $\forall \mathbf{a} \in A^{k+1} : p(\mathbf{a}) = 0$. (Every nonzero absorbing polynomial function has at most k arguments).

Supernilpotent expanded abelian groups

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbf{A} an expansion of an abelian group. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. Every nonzero absorbing polynomial function has at most k arguments.
3. Every function in $\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ has functional degree at most k .

Theorem

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{A} a field, and let $\mathbf{A} = (A, +, -, 0, F)$ with $F \subseteq \text{Pol}(\mathbb{A})$. TFAE:

1. \mathbf{A} is k -supernilpotent.
2. Every nonzero absorbing polynomial function has at most k arguments.
3. Every function in $\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ has functional degree at most k .
4. Every function in $\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ can be represented by a polynomial in $\mathbb{A}[x_1, x_2 \dots]$ each of whose monomials contains only k variables.

The Structure of Supernilpotent Algebras

Structure of supernilpotent algebras

Theorem [Kearnes 1999], [Berman, Blok 1987], [Freese, McKenzie 1987]

\mathbf{A} in a cm variety, finitely many basic operations. Then \mathbf{A} is supernilpotent \iff
 \mathbf{A} is nilpotent and isomorphic to a product of algebras of prime power order.

Our goal: Find f such that

k -nilpotent and prime power order $\implies f(k, \cdot)$ -supernilpotent.

Bounds on the supernilpotency degree

Examples:

- k -nilpotent groups and rings are k -supernilpotent.
- For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, there is a k -nilpotent expanded group of of supernilpotency class m^{k-1} [EA, Mudrinski 2013].

We will now outline a proof of

nilpotent & prime power order \implies supernilpotent.

Can we do it for

- Expanded groups?
- Expansions of elementary abelian groups = reducts of fields?

Reducts of Fields

Clones of polynomials

For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we define (following [Couceiro, Foldes 2009])

$$AB = \{p(q_1, \dots, q_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in A \cap \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n], q_1, \dots, q_n \in B\}.$$

$C \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$ is a **clone of polynomials** if for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i \in C$ and $CC \subseteq C$.

A polynomial f is **homovariate** if all of its monomials contain the same variables.

- $5x_1x_2^3x_4 - 2x_1^{17}x_2x_4^3 + x_1^6x_2^3x_4^{20}$, $x_2 + 6x_2^4$, and 2 are all homovariate.
- None of $x_1 + x_2$, $1 + 3x_1^3 + x_1^5$ is homovariate.

Clones of polynomials

The function defined by

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_4) := 5x_1x_2^3x_4 - 2x_1^{17}x_2x_4^3 + x_1^6x_2^3x_4^{20}$$

is **absorbing**, meaning that $f(0, y, z) = f(x, 0, z) = f(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all x, y, z .

Theorem [EA, 2019]

Let \mathbb{K} be a field, let $F \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}]$, $\deg(f) \leq n$ for all $f \in F$. Let $L := \text{Clop}(\{x_1 + x_2, -x_1, 0\})$. Then there exists a set $H \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of homovariate polynomials such that

$$L \text{ Clop}(H) = \text{Clop}(F \cup \{x_1 + x_2, -x_1, 0\})$$

and $\deg(h) \leq n$ for all $h \in H$.

Nilpotency and Supernilpotency

Let C be a clone of polynomials on \mathbb{K} that contains $x_1 + x_2$ and $-x_1$. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be such that all $h \in H$ are homovariate, and $L \text{ Clop}(H) = C$.

- If the algebra $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbb{K}, \overline{C})$ is k -**nilpotent**, then each function in $\overline{\text{Clop}(H)}$ depends on $\leq n^{k-1}$ arguments.
- The algebra $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbb{K}, \overline{C})$ is s -**supernilpotent** if each absorbing polynomial function of \mathbf{K} depends on $\leq s$ arguments.

On the implication nilpotent \Rightarrow supernilpotent

Let C be a clone of polynomials on \mathbb{K} that contains $x_1 + x_2$ and $-x_1$.

Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be such that all $h \in H$ are homovariate, and

$L \text{ Clop}(H) = C$.

Then:

$\mathbf{K} = (\mathbb{K}, \overline{C})$ is k -nilpotent

\Rightarrow each function in $\overline{\text{Clop}(H)}$ depends on $\leq n^{k-1}$ arguments

\Rightarrow each absorbing polynomial function of $\mathbf{K} = (K, \overline{L \text{ Clop}(H)})$

depends on $\leq n^{k-1}$ arguments

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is n^{k-1} -supernilpotent.

Expansions of additive groups of fields

Theorem

Let $(A, +, *)$ be a field, and let $\mathbf{A} = (A, +, -, 0, (f_i)_{i \in I})$ be an algebra. Assume

- For each $i \in I$, $\deg(f_i) \leq n$,
- \mathbf{A} is nilpotent of class at most k .

Then all absorbing polynomial functions of \mathbf{A} are of essential arity at most n^{k-1} .

Theorem [EA, 2019]

Let $\mathbb{A} = (A, +, *)$ be a field, and let $\mathbf{A} = (A, +, -, 0, (f_i)_{i \in I})$ be an expansion of $(A, +)$ with polynomial functions of \mathbb{A} of total degree $\leq n$. Then:

- If \mathbf{A} is k -nilpotent, it is n^{k-1} -supernilpotent.

Coordinatization

Coordinatization

We have seen a result on the structure of **nilpotent expansions of** $((\mathbb{Z}_p)^n, +)$.

It would be nice to have a result on **nilpotent algebras of prime power order in congruence modular varieties**.

To this end, we will expand such algebras with a group operation.

Coordinatization

Theorem. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ be a nilpotent algebra in a congruence modular variety, $|A| = p^n$ with p prime.

Then there exists $+$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ and $*$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that

- $(A, +, *)$ is a field and hence $(A, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^n, +)$.
- $\mathbf{A}' = (A, (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, +)$ is nilpotent.

Structure of nilpotent algebras

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} be a finite nilpotent algebra in a congruence modular variety that is a direct product of algebras of prime power order, with all fundamental operations of arity at most m , $|A| > 1$. Let

$$s := (m(|A| - 1))^{\log_2(|A|) - 1}.$$

Then \mathbf{A} is s -supernilpotent and there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree $\leq s$ such that the free spectrum satisfies

$$f_{\mathbf{A}}(n) = \text{Clo}_n(\mathbf{A}) = 2^{p(n)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem (Vaughan-Lee 1983, Freese McKenzie 1987, EA+JM 2019)

\mathbf{A} : nilpotent, in cm variety, prime power order $q = p^\alpha$, all fundamental operations at most m -ary. $h :=$ height of $\text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$.

Then \mathbf{A} is supernilpotent of degree at most $(m^\alpha(p-1))^{h-1}$.

- The old bound was $(m(p^\alpha - 1))^{h-1}$.
- We can take h as the p -nilpotency degree of \mathbf{A} .

Written Material:

- E. Aichinger. Bounding the free spectrum of nilpotent algebras of prime power order. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 230 (2019): 919-947.
- E. Aichinger and J. Moosbauer, Chevalley-Waring type results on abelian groups, *Journal of Algebra* 569 (2021): 30-66.